

C - Compactness And Characterization Modulo An Ideal

R. Alagar¹, R. Thenmozhy²

¹Assistant Professor, Rajeshwari Vedhachalam Government Arts College – Chengalpattu, India

²Assistant Professor in Mathematics, Periyar Government Arts College – Cuddalore, India

Abstract: An ideal on a set X is a non-empty collection of subsets of X with heredity property which is also closed under arbitrary union and finite intersections. In this paper, we introduce C -compactness with respect to ideal and discuss some of their properties. Also, this paper gives the characterizations of C -compactness with respect to ideal, some of which make use of filter.

Keywords: F -Compact \mathfrak{I} -compact, $\mathfrak{I}C$ -Compact, compatible ideal \mathfrak{I} .

AMS Subject Classification No: 54A05, 54A10, 54C08

I. INTRODUCTION

Given a non-empty set X , a collection \mathfrak{I} of subsets of X is called an ideal if

- (i) If $A \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $B \subseteq A$ implies $B \in \mathfrak{I}$ (heredity)
- (ii) If $A \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $B \in \mathfrak{I}$ implies $A \cup B \in \mathfrak{I}$ (additivity)

If $X \notin \mathfrak{I}$, then \mathfrak{I} is called a proper ideal.

An ideal \mathfrak{I} is called a σ -ideal if the following holds:

If $\{A_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a countable sub collection of \mathfrak{I} , then $\cup\{A_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\} \in \mathfrak{I}$

The notation (X, τ, \mathfrak{I}) denotes a nonempty set X , a topology τ on X and an ideal \mathfrak{I} on X . Given point $x \in X$, $\mathfrak{N}(x)$ denotes the neighbourhood system of x i.e $\mathfrak{N}(x) = \{U \in \tau : x \in U\}$. The symbol $\wp(X)$ denotes collection of all subsets of X . Given space (X, τ, \mathfrak{I}) and a subset A of X , we define $A^*(\mathfrak{I}, \tau) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin \mathfrak{I}, \text{ for every } U \in \mathfrak{N}(x)\}$

We simply write A^* for $A^*(\mathfrak{I}, \tau)$, when there is only one ideal \mathfrak{I} and only one topology τ under consideration. If we define cl^* on $\wp(X)$ as,

$$cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*, \text{ for all } A \in \wp(X),$$

then cl^* is a Kuratowski closure operator. The topology determined by this closure operator is denoted by $\tau^*(\mathfrak{I})$. $\beta(\mathfrak{I}, \tau) = \{U - I : U \in \tau, I \in \mathfrak{I}\}$ is a basis for $\tau^*(\mathfrak{I})$. The first unified and extensive study on $\tau^*(\mathfrak{I})$ -topology was done by Jankovic and Hamlett [2].

We shall use $cl(A)$, $int(A)$ will denotes closure and interior of a subset A respectively in topological space (X, τ) and $cl^*(A)$, $int^*(A)$ will denotes closure and interior of A respectively with respect to τ^* .

In topological space (X, τ) , a subset U is said to be regular- open if $int(cl(U)) = U$. A subset U in X is regular - closed if $cl(int(U)) = U$. Clearly U is regular- closed (open) if and only if its complement is regular - open (closed). A subset A of (X, τ) is said to be compact if every open cover of A has a finite sub cover. If X is compact, then every closed subset of X is also compact.

Definition : 1.1 A topological space (X, τ) is said to be \mathcal{C} -compact if and only if for every family \mathcal{U} of open sets of X and for every $a \in I$ such that for each closed subset $A \subseteq X$, each open cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ of A has a finite sub cover $\{U_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$.

Newcomb introduced the concept of compactness with respect an ideal [3]. An extensive study on compactness with respect to an ideal have been made by Hamlett and Dragan Jankovic [1].

Definition: 1.2 A subset A of a space (X, τ, \mathfrak{I}) is said to be \mathfrak{I} -compact if for every open cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ of A , there exists finite sub collection $\{U_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{I}.$$

A space (X, τ, \mathfrak{I}) is said to be \mathfrak{I} -compact if X is \mathfrak{I} -compact as a subset.

II. \mathfrak{I} \mathcal{C} -COMPACT SETS

Let us start with a definition for \mathfrak{I} \mathcal{C} -compact sets.

Definition : 2.1 A space (X, τ, \mathfrak{I}) is said to be $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact space if for each closed subset $A \subseteq X$ and each open cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ of A has a finite sub collection $\{U_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{I}$.

The following results can be verified easily.

Results:

- i) Every \mathcal{C} -compact space is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact.
- ii) Every closed subspace of $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact space is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact.
- iii) A space is \mathcal{C} -compact if and only if it is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact, for the ideal $\mathfrak{I} = \{\emptyset\}$.
- iv) Let (X, τ, \mathfrak{I}) be a $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact. If \mathfrak{I} is an ideal on X such that $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}$, then (X, τ, \mathfrak{J}) is $\mathfrak{J}\mathcal{C}$ -compact.
- v) Let \mathfrak{I}_f denotes the ideal of all finite subsets of X . Then (X, τ) is \mathcal{C} -compact if and only if $(X, \tau, \mathfrak{I}_f)$ is $\mathfrak{I}_f\mathcal{C}$ -compact.

Example for a space which is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact, but not \mathcal{C} -compact.

Example: 2.2 Let $X = [0,1] \cup \{2, 3, \dots\}$. The topology τ be the induced topology obtained from the usual topology on the real line. Let $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_c$, the ideal of countable subsets of X .

Then (X, τ, \mathfrak{I}) is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact, but not \mathcal{C} -compact.

We recall the following results [1]. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and let \mathfrak{I} be an ideal on X . Then $f(\mathfrak{I}) = \{f(I) : I \in \mathfrak{I}\}$ is a ideal on Y .

We know that the continuous image of compact set is compact. This result can be extended to $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact.

Theorem: 2.3 Let $f : (X, \tau, \mathfrak{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a continuous surjection and let $f(\mathfrak{I})$ be an ideal on Y . If X is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact, then Y is $f(\mathfrak{I})\mathcal{C}$ -compact.

Proof: Let $f : (X, \tau, \mathfrak{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a continuous surjection. Let A be a closed subset in Y and let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be an open cover of A in Y . Since f is continuous, $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is an open cover of $f^{-1}(A)$ in X . Since X is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact and $f^{-1}(A)$ is closed in X , there is a finite subfamily $\{U_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such

that $f^{-1}(A) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) \in \mathfrak{I}$.

Therefore $f(f^{-1}(A) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}))) \in f(\mathfrak{I})$. Since

$$A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}))) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(A) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}))), \text{ we have } A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}))) \in f(\mathfrak{I}).$$

We note that $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}))$ and $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}))$ is closed in X .

Hence $\text{cl}(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}))$. Therefore $f(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})))) \subseteq \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$

Hence $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}) \in f(\mathfrak{I})$. This shows that Y is $f(\mathfrak{I})\mathcal{C}$ -compact.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathfrak{I} be an ideal in X . We say that the topology τ is compatible with the ideal \mathfrak{I} , denoted by $\tau \sim \mathfrak{I}$, if the following holds for every $A \subseteq X$: if for every $x \in A$, there exist a $U \in \mathfrak{N}(x)$ such that $U \cap A \in \mathfrak{I}$, then $A \in \mathfrak{I}$.

T. R. Hamlett, D. A. Rose [4] has proved the following:

Theorem: 2.4 Let (X, τ) be a topological space with an ideal \mathfrak{I} on X . If $\tau \sim \mathfrak{I}$, then

- (i) $\tau^* = \{V - I : V \in \tau, I \in \mathfrak{I}\}$
- (ii) A set $A \subseteq X$ is closed with respect to τ^* if and only if it is a union of a set which is closed with respect to τ and a set in \mathfrak{I} .

In [8], R. Vaidyanathaswamy has proved the following theorem.

Theorem: 2.5 Let (X, τ) be a topological space with \mathfrak{I} an ideal on X . Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) $\tau \sim \mathfrak{I}$
- (ii) For every $A \subseteq X$, $A - \overline{A} \in \mathfrak{I}$

We now prove that if $\tau \sim \mathfrak{I}$ and $\overline{A} \in \mathfrak{I}$, for all $A \in \mathfrak{I}$, then X is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact with respect to τ implies X is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact with respect to τ^* .

Theorem: 2.6 Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathfrak{I} be an ideal on X which is compatible with τ and $\overline{I} \in \mathfrak{I}$, for all $I \in \mathfrak{I}$. If X is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact with respect to τ , then X is $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{C}$ -compact with respect to τ^* .

Proof: Let A be a closed subset with respect to τ^* . Then $A = B \cup I$, for some closed set B with respect to τ and $I \in \mathfrak{S}$. (This is possible as $\tau \sim \mathfrak{S}$).

Let $\xi = \{V_\alpha - I_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be an τ^* - open cover for A. Therefore $B \subseteq \bigcup \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$

As B is closed subset of τ and (X, τ) is $\mathfrak{S}C$ - compact, there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that

$$B - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}. \dots\dots\dots(1) \text{ Note that } \text{cl}^*(V_\alpha) = V_\alpha \cup (V_\alpha)^*.$$

We claim that $\text{cl}(V_{\alpha_i}) - \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let $x \in \text{cl}(V_{\alpha_i}) - \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i})$. Then there is one $U_X \in \tau$ and $I_X \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $x \in U_X - I_X$ and $(U_X - I_X) \cap V_{\alpha_i} = \phi$, $U_X \cap V_{\alpha_i} = I_X \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $U_X \cap V_{\alpha_i} \neq \phi$.

Let $y \in U_X \cap \text{cl}(V_{\alpha_i})$. Then for every neighborhood W of y in τ , $(W \cap U_X) \cap V_{\alpha_i} \neq \phi$. i.e. $W \cap (U_X \cap V_{\alpha_i}) \neq \phi$. Then we get that $U_X \cap \text{cl}(V_{\alpha_i}) \subseteq \overline{U_X \cap V_{\alpha_i}} \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Hence to each $x \in \text{cl}(V_{\alpha_i}) - \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i})$, there is a neighborhood $U_X \in \tau$ such that

$$U_X \cap (\text{cl}(V_{\alpha_i}) - \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i})) \subseteq U_X \cap \text{cl}(V_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}. \text{ As } \tau \sim \mathfrak{S}, \text{ it follows that}$$

$\text{cl}(V_{\alpha_i}) - \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}$. This is true for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$$\text{From (1), } B - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}.$$

$$\text{As } \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i}) \subseteq \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i} - I_{\alpha_i}) \cup \overline{I_{\alpha_i}}, \text{ we have } (B \cup I) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i} - I_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}.$$

$$1) \text{ i.e. } A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}^*(V_{\alpha_i} - I_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}.$$

Hence X is $\mathfrak{S}C$ - compact with respect to τ^* .

It is well known that compact subset of Hausdorff space is closed. We generalize this as follows.

Theorem: 2.7 Let (X, τ, \mathfrak{S}) be a Hausdorff space. If $Y \subseteq X$ is $\mathfrak{S}C$ - compact, then Y is τ^* - closed.

Proof: Let $x \in X - Y$. Since X is Hausdorff, for each $y \in Y$, there exist τ - neighbourhood U_y, V_y of x and y respectively such that $U_y \cap V_y = \phi$. The family $\{V_y : y \in Y\}$ is an open cover of Y. Since Y is $\mathfrak{S}C$ -

compact, then there exist a finite sub collection $\{V_{y_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, such that $Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{y_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}$.

$$\text{Let } I = Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{y_i}). \text{ Define } U = \bigcap U_{y_i}. \text{ Then } U \text{ is } \tau \text{- open and } U \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{y_i})) = \phi.$$

Therefore $U \cap Y \subseteq I$ and hence $x \notin Y$. Thus $x \notin Y \Rightarrow x \notin Y^*$. Hence Y is τ^* - closed

We now give the equivalent meaning of definition [2.1].

Theorem: 2.8 A space X is $\mathfrak{S}C$ -compact if and only if for each closed set $A \subseteq X$ and regular - open cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ for A , there exist finite sub collection $\{U_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}.$$

Proof: If X is $\mathfrak{S}C$ -compact, then from the definition (2.1), we get the required result.

Conversely, Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ be an open cover of A , then $\{\text{int}(\text{cl}(U_\alpha)) : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ is a regular open cover for A . So by assumption, there exist finite sub collection $\{\text{int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}))) \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Since $U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})) \subseteq \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}) \Rightarrow \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}))) = \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$

Therefore $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}$. Hence X is $\mathfrak{S}C$ -compact.

III. Characterization of $\mathfrak{S}C$ – Compact sets

We recall the following definitions.

Definition: 3.1 \mathbf{H} is called a filter in X if and only if

- (i) $\mathbf{H} \subseteq \wp(X)$, $\wp(X)$ is the power set of X .
- (ii) $\phi \notin \mathbf{H}$ and $X \in \mathbf{H}$.
- (iii) \mathbf{H} is closed under finite intersection.
- (iv) If $F \in \mathbf{H}$ and $F \subseteq G$, then $G \in \mathbf{H}$

It is clear that dual of a ideal in X is a filter in X .

Definition: 3.2 β is called filter base in X if and only if

- (i) $\beta \subseteq \wp(X)$
- (ii) $\phi \notin \beta$ and $\beta \neq \phi$
- (iii) The intersection of two sets of β contains a set of β .

Definition: 3.3 An ultra-filter on a set X is a filter H such that there is no filter on X which is strictly finer than H .

We will say that a filter base β is a filter base on $\wp(X) - \mathfrak{S}$ if $\beta \subseteq \wp(X) - \mathfrak{S}$.

The following theorem gives several Characterizations of $\mathfrak{S}C$ -compact sets.

Theorem : 3.4 Let (X, τ) be a topological space and let \mathfrak{S} be a ideal in X . The following are equivalent.

- (i) X is $\mathfrak{S}C$ -compact.
- (ii) For every closed set A of X and each regular open- cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ for A ,

there exist a finite sub collection $\{ U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n} \}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

(iii) For each closed set $A \subseteq X$ and each collection of regular- closed sets $\{ F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ such that $(\bigcap_{\alpha} F_\alpha) \cap A = \phi$, there exist a finite sub collection $\{ F_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$ such that

$$\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int}(F_{\alpha_i}) \right) \cap A \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

(iv) For each set $A \subset X$ and each filter base $\mathbf{H} = \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ in $\wp(X) - \mathfrak{F}$, there exist an $a \in A$ such that $A_\alpha \cap \text{cl}(V) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, for each open set V in X containing a .

(v) For each closed set $A \subset X$ and each ultra-filter $\mathbf{M} = \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ in $\wp(X) - \mathfrak{F}$, there is an $a \in A$ such that to each open set V containing a , $A_\alpha \subset \text{cl}(V)$, for some $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): If X is $\mathfrak{F}C$ - compact, then from the definition 1.2, we get required result.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $\{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ be an open cover of A , then $\{ \text{int}(\text{cl}(U_\alpha)) : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ is a regular open cover for A .

So by assumption, there exist finite sub collection $\{ \text{int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$ such that

$$A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}))) \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

Since $U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})) \subseteq \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}) \Rightarrow \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}))) = \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$

Therefore $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence X is $\mathfrak{F}C$ - compact.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Let A be a closed set in X and $\{ F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ be a collection of regular- closed sets such that $(\bigcap F_\alpha) \cap A = \phi$.

Let $G_\alpha = X - F_\alpha$. Then $\{ G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ is a regular- open cover for A . So there exist

finite sub collection $\{ G_{\alpha_1}, G_{\alpha_2}, \dots, G_{\alpha_n} \}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(G_{\alpha_i}) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

But $X - \text{cl}(G_{\alpha_i}) = \text{int}(F_{\alpha_i})$. Hence $A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int}(F_{\alpha_i})) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) : Let $\{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ be a regular- open cover for A , then $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha} U_\alpha$ implies

$(\bigcap (X - U_\alpha)) \cap A = \phi$. Since each $X - U_\alpha$ is regular- closed, by (iii), there exist finite

sub collection $\{ X - U_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$ such that $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int}(X - U_{\alpha_i})) \cap A \in \mathfrak{F}$.

$$(ie) A \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X - \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})) \right) \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

$$(ie) A - \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}) \right) \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

(i) \Rightarrow (iv): Assume that there is a filter base $\mathbf{H} = \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda \}$ such that (a) $A_\alpha \notin \mathfrak{F}$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and (b) to each $x \in A$, there is an open set $V_{(x)}$ and some $A_{\alpha(x)} \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $A_{\alpha(x)} \cap \text{cl}(V_{(x)}) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then the collection

$\{V_{(x)} : x \in A\}$ is an open cover for A . Hence there is a finite sub collection $\{V_{(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A -$

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{(x_i)}) \in \mathfrak{S}.$$

Let $A_{\alpha 0} \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $A_{\alpha 0} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha(x_i)}$.

Then $A_{\alpha 0} \cap \text{cl}(V_{(x_i)}) \in \mathfrak{S}$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

i.e. $A_{\alpha 0} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{(x_i)})) \in \mathfrak{S}$ and

$A_{\alpha 0} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{(x_i)})) \subseteq A - (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{(x_i)})) \in \mathfrak{S}$, which is a contradiction as $A_{\alpha 0} \notin \mathfrak{S}$

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): Let A be a closed set in X and $\mathbf{M} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ be an ultra-filter in $\wp(X) - \mathfrak{S}$. Then $A_\alpha \notin \mathfrak{S}$, for all $\alpha \in \wedge$. By (iv), there is an $a \in A$ such that $A_\alpha \cap \text{cl}(V) \notin \mathfrak{S}$, for each open set V in X containing a . As \mathbf{M} is an ultra-filter and $A_\alpha \cap (\text{cl}(V) \cap A) \notin \mathfrak{S}$, for all $\alpha \in \wedge$. Hence $\text{cl}(V) \cap A \in \mathbf{M}$.

Let $A_{\alpha 0} = \text{cl}(V) \cap A$. Thus $A_{\alpha 0} \subseteq \text{cl}(V)$.

(v) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let A be a closed set in X and let $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ be a collection of regular- closed set such that $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int}(F_{\alpha_i})) \cap A \notin \mathfrak{S} \dots \dots (1)$, for any finite subset $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq \wedge$.

In particular $A \cap \text{int}(F_\alpha) \notin \mathfrak{S}$, for all $\alpha \in \wedge$.

From (1), $\{A \cap \text{int}(F_\alpha) : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ is an ultra filter base for some ultra filter \mathbf{H} in $\wp(X) - \mathfrak{S}$. By (v), there is a point $a \in A$ such that for every neighborhood V of a , $\text{cl}(V)$ intersects every member of \mathbf{H} . Therefore $\text{cl}(V) \cap (\text{int}(F_\alpha) \cap A) \neq \phi$, for all $\alpha \in \wedge$.

Let $y \in \text{cl}(V) \cap (\text{int}(F_\alpha) \cap A)$. Then $\text{int}(F_\alpha)$ is itself an open neighborhood of y . As $y \in \text{cl}(V)$, $\text{int}(F_\alpha) \cap V \neq \phi$. This is true for all open neighborhood V of a . Therefore $a \in \overline{\text{int}(F_\alpha)} \subseteq F_\alpha$

$\Rightarrow a \in (F_\alpha \cap A)$, for all $\alpha \in \wedge$.

Hence $A \cap (\bigcap_{\alpha} F_\alpha) \neq \phi$. Thus (v) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

A space is said to be quasi H-closed (denoted by QHC) if every open cover of the space contains finite sub collection whose closures cover the space. A Hausdorff space which is QHC is said to be H-closed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hamlett, T.R and Dragan Jankovic: Compactness with respect to an ideal, Bollo . un. Mat. Ital. B(7) 4 (1990) 849 - 861.
- [2] Jankovic, D. and Hamlett, T.R: New topologies from old via ideals, American Math monthly, 97, (1990), 295 - 310 .
- [3] Newcomb, R.L.: Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Cal. at Santa, Barbara, (1967).
- [4] T. R. Hamlett, D. A. Rose; Topological properties, Int. J. Math Sci., 13(3),(1990), 507-512
- [5] T. R. Hamlett, D. Jankovic; Ideals in topological and the set operator,. Boll UMI, 7(4-B) (1990), 863-974
- [6] K. Kuratowski; Topology, Vol.1 (Academic Press, New york,1966)
- [7] A. Nasef, E.Hatir; On pre-I-open sets and a decomposition of I - continuity, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 40 (2007), 1185-1189
- [8] A. Nasef, R. A. Mahmoud; Some topological applications via ideals; Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 13 (2002), 825-831
- [9] T. Natkaniec; On I-continuity and I-semicontinuity points, Math. Slovaca,36(3) (1986), 297-312
- [10] D.A.Rose, T. R. Hamlett; Ideally equivalent topologies and semi-topological properties, Math Chronicle, 20 (1991), 149-156
- [11] Vaidyanathaswamy, R: Set topology, Chelsea publishing company, (1960)
- [12] Vaidyanathaswamy, R: The localization theory in set topology, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 20 (1945), 51 – 61.