

On unified subclass of univalent functions of complex order using the Frasin operator

Vidyadhar Sharma and Nisha Mathur

Research Scholar & Associate Professor,

Department of Mathematics,

M.L.V Government P.G College,

Bhilwara-311011, Rajasthan, India

Abstract — In the present investigation, we consider a unified class of univalent functions of complex order defined in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} involving the Frasin operator. Some known consequences of the results are also derived.

Keywords — Analytic functions, Univalent functions, Starlike functions, Complex order and Subordination.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z; |z| < 1\}$

Let f and g are analytic in \mathbb{U} . We say that the function f is subordinate to g and we write $f < g$ or $f(z) < g(z) (z \in \mathbb{U})$, if there exists an analytic function ω in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z)) (z \in \mathbb{U})$. if g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then the following equivalence relationship holds good see(9):

$$f(z) < g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) < g(0), f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) \quad (1.2)$$

\mathcal{S} (say) be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of univalent functions. For the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and making use of the binomial series

$$(1 - \vartheta)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^i (\vartheta)^i, \text{ where } i = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now we define the differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta}^{\xi} f(z)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta}^0 f(z) = f(z). \quad (1.3)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta}^1 f(z) = (1 - \vartheta)^k f(z) + (1 - (1 - \vartheta)^k) z f'(z). \quad (1.4)$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta} f(z), \quad \vartheta > 0; k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.5)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta}^{\xi} f(z) = \mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta} (\mathcal{D}^{\xi-1} f(z)), (\xi \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.6)$$

If f is given by (1.1), then from (1.5) and (1.6), we see that

$$\mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta}^{\xi} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{i+1} \vartheta^i \right)^{\xi} a_n z^n, \xi \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Using the relation (1.7), it is easily verified that

$$\mathcal{C}_i^k(\vartheta) z \left(\mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta}^{\xi} f(z) \right)' = \mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta}^{\xi+1} f(z) - (1 - \mathcal{C}_i^k(\vartheta)) \mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta}^{\xi} f(z). \quad (1.8)$$

where, $\mathcal{C}_i^k(\vartheta) = \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{i+1} \vartheta^i$.

We observe that for $k = 1$, we obtain the differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{1,\vartheta}^{\xi}$ defined by Al-Oboudi [11] and for $k = \vartheta = 1$, we get Salagean differential operator \mathcal{D}^{ξ} [5].

The main aim of the present investigation is to apply a method based on the differential subordination in order to derive many subordination results involving the operator $\mathcal{D}_{k,\vartheta}^{\xi}$. Furthermore, we get the previous results of Srivastava and Lashin [14] as special cases of some of the results presented here.

II. DEFINITIONS

Let $\phi(z)$ be an analytic function with positive real part of ϕ with $\phi(0) = 1, \phi'(0) > 0$ which maps \mathbb{U} onto a region Starlike with respect to $(1 - b)$.

Then the class $A^p(\xi, m, \lambda)$ consists of all analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_{H(\mu)}$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{b} \left[(1 - \beta) \frac{z (D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z))'}{D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z)} + \beta \frac{z (D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(z))'}{D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(z)} - (1 - b) \right] < \phi(z)$$

($b \in \mathbb{C}^*, \beta \geq 0, m, \xi \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$)

III. MAIN RESULTS

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout the sequel that $b \in \mathbb{C}^*, \beta \geq 0, m, \xi \dots$ and all powers are understood as principle values.

To prove our main results, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1: Let ϕ be the a convex function defined on, $\phi(0) = 1$, define $F(z)$ by

$$F(z) = z \exp \left(\int_0^z \frac{\phi(t) - 1}{t} dt \right) \tag{3.1}$$

Let $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$ be analytic in, then,

$$1 + \frac{z q'(z)}{q(z)} < \phi(z) \tag{3.2}$$

If and only if for all $|s| \leq 1$ and $|t| \leq 1$, we have

$$\frac{p|tz|}{P|sz|} < \frac{SF|tz|}{tF|sz|} \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.2: Let $q(z)$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} and let $\phi(z)$ be analytic in domain containing $q'(z)$ if $\frac{z q'(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike, then

$$z p'(z) \phi(p(z)) < z q'(z) \phi(q(z))$$

then $p(z) < q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Theorem 3.1: Let $\phi(z)$ and $F(z)$ be as lemma 3.1. The function $f \in A^p(\xi, m, \lambda, \phi)$ if and only if $|s| \leq 1$, and $|t| \leq 1$, we have

$$\left[\frac{S}{t} \left(\left(\frac{D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(tz)}{D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(sz)} \right)^{1-\beta} \right) \left(\frac{D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(tz)}{D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(sz)} \right)^\beta \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} < \frac{SF(tz)}{tF(sz)}$$

Proof: let $p(z) = \left[\frac{D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z)}{z} \left(\frac{D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(z)}{D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z)} \right)^\beta \right]^{\frac{1}{b}}$

Taking logarithm derivative, we have

$$1 + \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{1}{b} \left[(1 - \beta) \frac{z (D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z))'}{D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z)} + \beta z \frac{(D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(z))'}{D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(z)} - (1 - \beta) \right]$$

Since $f \in A^p(\xi, m, \lambda, \phi) \in A^p(\xi, m, \lambda, \phi)$, then,

$$1 + \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} < \phi(z)$$

and the result now follows from Lemma 3.1. Putting $m = 0$ and $\beta = 0$

In theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary

Corollary 3.1: Let $\phi(z)$ and $F(z)$ be as lemma 3.1. The function $f \in A(\bar{S}, \phi)$ if and only if for all $|s| \leq 1$ and $|t| \leq 1$, we have

$$\left[\frac{S f(tz)}{t f(sz)} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} < \frac{SF(tz)}{tF(sz)} \tag{3.4}$$

For $m = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ in theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.2: Let $\phi(z)$ and $F(z)$ be as lemma 3.1. The function $f \in A(\bar{S}, \phi)$ if and only if for all $|s| \leq 1$ and $|t| \leq 1$, we have

$$\left[\frac{f'(tz)}{f'(sz)} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} < \frac{SF(tz)}{tF(sz)} \tag{3.5}$$

Theorem 3.2: Let $\phi(z)$ be starlike with respect to 1 and $F(z)$ given by (3.1) be starlike if $f \in A^p(\xi, m, \lambda, \phi)$, then,

$$\left[\frac{D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z)}{z} \left(\frac{D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(z)}{D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z)} \right)^\beta \right] < \left[\frac{F(z)}{z} \right]^b$$

Proof: let $q(z) = \frac{F(z)}{z}$

On solving, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{1}{b} \left[(1 - \beta) \frac{z \left(D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z) \right)'}{D_{m,\lambda}^\xi f(z)} + \beta z \frac{\left(D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(z) \right)'}{D_{m,\lambda}^{\xi+1} f(z)} - (1 - b) \right]$$

Since $f \in A^p(\xi, m, \lambda)$, we have $\frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} < \frac{z q'(z)}{q(z)}$

The result now follows by an application of Lemma 3.2. Taking $\xi = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ in theorem 3.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3: Let $\phi(z)$ be starlike with respect to (1-b) and $F(z)$ given by (3.1) be starlike iff $f \in A^p(\xi)$ then $\frac{f(z)}{z} < \left(\frac{F(z)}{b} \right)^b$.

Taking $\xi = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ in theorem 3.2, we have the following corollary

Corollary 3.4: If $f \in A^p(0,1)$, let $\phi(z)$ be starlike with respect to (1-b) and $F(z)$ given by (3.1) be starlike. If $f \in A^m(0,1)$, then we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \left[\frac{(1-\lambda)^m f(z) z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right] < \left(\frac{F(z)}{b} \right)^b.$$

Taking $\xi = 0, \lambda = 1$ we get following corollary

Corollary 3.5: $\frac{f(z)}{z} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\beta < \left(\frac{F(z)}{b} \right)^b$.

Taking $\xi = 0$ and $\phi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$; $(-1 \leq B \leq A \leq 1)$

In theorem 3.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6: $f \in A^m(0, \beta, b), f \in A^p\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, 0, \beta, b\right); (-1 \leq B \leq A \leq 1)$.

Then we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^p < (1 + Bz)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} (B \neq 0)$$

For $e^{-iy} \cos y$ in theorem 3.2. We get the following result.

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} < (1 - z)^{-2b}$$

For $\xi = 0, \phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \beta = 0, \lambda = 1$ in theorem 3.2. We get the following corollary

Corollary 3.7: $f \in A^m(b)$, then we have

$$f'(z) < (1 - z)^{-2b}$$

For $\xi = 0, \phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \beta = 0$ and replacing b by $b e^{-iy} \cos y$ ($|y| < \frac{\pi}{2}, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ in theorem 3.2, we get the following result of Aouf et. Al [11].

Corollary 3.8: $f \in A^y(b)$, then we have

$$\xi = 0, \phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \beta = 0, \lambda = 1$$

then,

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} < (1 - z)^{-2b e^{-iy} \cos y}$$

For $m = 0, \phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \beta = 1, b \rightarrow b e^{-iy} \cos y$ ($|y| < \frac{\pi}{2}, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$), $\lambda = 1$ in theorem 3.2 we get following result.

Corollary 3.9: If $f \in A^y.m(b)$ then we have

$$f'(z) < (1 - z)^{-2b e^{-iy} \cos y}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M.S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. Math. 37 (1936) 376-408
- [2] P. Wiatrowski, On the coefficient of some family of holomorphic functions, ZeszytyNauk. Uniw.OdzNauk. Mat. -Przyrod. 39 (1970) 75-85.
- [3] M.A. Nasr, M.K. Aouf, On convex functions of complex order, Mansoura Bull. Sci. 8 (1982) 565-582.
- [4] S.Ruscheweyh, Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory, Presses Univ. Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, 1982.
- [5] G.S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecturer Notes in Mathematics, vol. 12. Springer- verlag, 1983, pp. 362-372.

- [6] M.A. Nasr, M.K. Aouf, Starlike functions of complex order, *J. Nat. Sci. Math.* 23 (1985) 1-12.
- [7] M. Obradovic, M.K. Aouf, S. Owa, On some results for starlike functions of complex, *Publ. Inst. Math. Nour. Ser.* 46 (60) (1989) 79-85.
- [8] W.C. Ma, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in: *Proceeding of the conference on complex analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 157-169.
- [9] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, *Differential subordination: theory and applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in pure and applied Mathematics, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel, 2000.
- [10] F.M. Al-Oboudi, M.M. Haidan, Spirallike functions of complex order, *J. Not. Geom.* 19 (2000), 53-72.
- [11] F.M. Al-Oboudi, on univalent functions defined by a generalized, Salagean operator, *int. J. Math. Sci.* (2004). No.25-28, 1429-1436.
- [12] M.K. Aouf, F.M. Al-Oboudi, M.M. Haidan, On some results for λ -Spirallike and λ -Robertson functions of complex order, *Publ. Instit. Math. Belgrade* 77 (91) (2005) 93-98.
- [13] V. Ravichandran, Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal, A. Sen, Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order, *Hacetetepe J. Math. Stat.* 34 (2005) 9-15.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava, A .Y. Lashin, some application of the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, *J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math.* 6(2) (2005) 1-7 (Art. 41).
- [15] B.A. Frasin, Family of analytic functions of complex order, *Acta. Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyhazi. (NS)* 22 (2) (2006) 179-191.
- [16] T.N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian, S. Kavitha, On certain subclasses of functions of complex order, *South. Asian Bull. Math.* 33 (2009) 535-541.
- [17] T.M. Seoudy, On unified subclass of univalent functions of complex order involving the Salagean operator, *J. Egyptain Math. Soc.* (2013) 21, 194-196.