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#### Abstract

A set $D$ of vertices is a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex not in $D$ is adjacent to at least one member of $D$. A set $D$ of vertices is said to be dom-chromatic if $D$ is a dominating set and $\chi(\langle D\rangle)=$ $\chi(G)$. A Weighted tree, $(T, w)$ a tree together with a positive weight function on the vertex set $w: V(T) \longrightarrow R^{+}$. The weighted domination number $\gamma_{w}(T)$ of $(T, w)$ is the minimum weight $w(D)=\sum_{v \in D} w(v)$ of a dominating set $D$ of $T$. The weighted dom-chromatic number $\gamma_{w c h}(T)$ of $(T, w)$ is the minimum weight $w(D)=\sum_{v \in D} w(v)$ of a dom-chromatic set $D$ of $T$. A caterpillar is a graph which can be obtained from the path on $n$ vertices by appending $x_{i}$ pendant vertices to the $i^{\text {th }}$ vertex of the path, $P_{n}$. The caterpillar with parameters $n, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ will be denoted as $P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. In this paper, the weighted dom-chromatic numbers are determined for some classes of Type-I weighted caterpillars.


Keywords: dominating set, dom-chromatic set, weighted domination, weighted dom-chromatic number, Type-I weighted labeling

MSC Subject Classification: 05C69

## 1 Introduction

A set $S$ of vertices is a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex not in $S$ is adjacent to at least one member of $S$. The minimum cardinality of a dominating set in
$G$ is called the domination number and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. The set $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is the collection of all dominating sets of $G$. A subset $S$ of $V$ is said to be a domchromatic set (or dc-set) if $S$ is a dominating set and $\chi(<S>)=\chi(G)$. The minimum cardinality of a dom-chromatic set in $G$ is called the domchromatic number (or dc-number) and is denoted by $\gamma_{c h}(G)$. The set $\mathcal{D}_{c h}(G)$ is the collection of all dom-chromatic sets of $G$

A Weighted tree, $(T, w)$ a tree together with a positive weight function on the vertex set $w: V(T) \longrightarrow R^{+}$. The weighted domination number $\gamma_{w}(T)$ of $(T, w)$ is the minimum weight $w(D)=\sum_{v \in D} w(v)$ of a dominating set $D$ of $T$. The weighted dom-chromatic number $\gamma_{w c h}(T)$ of $(T, w)$ is the minimum weight $w(D)=\sum_{v \in D} w(v)$ of a dom-chromatic set $D$ of $T$.
P. Palanikumar and S. Balamurugan [12] has introduced the concept of Type-I weighted labeling and they study the weighted dom-chromatic number of a weighted tree. Also, determine the weighted dom-chromatic number of a Type I weighted paths.

Theorem 1.1. [12] Let $(T, w)$ be a weighted tree and $[1,2, \ldots, n]$ be a leaffirst labeling of $T$ Where $w(i)=w_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. If $i$ is a leaf of $T$ then $\eta_{c h}(i)=w_{i} ; \theta_{c h}(i)=0 ; \lambda_{c h}(i)=w_{i} ; \mu_{c h}(i)=0$.

Definition 1.2. [12] Let $(T, w)$ be a weighted tree and $[1,2, \ldots, n]$ be a leaffirst labeling of $(T, w)$. Then $L$ is said to be of Type-I if $i$ is the first leaf of $T-\{1,2, \ldots, i-1\}$ from left.

Theorem 1.3. [12] For a path $P_{n},(n \geq 3)$ of Type-I,

$$
\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+n+6\right) & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\ \frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+n+10\right) & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\ \frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+n+12\right) & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)\end{cases}
$$

## 2 Caterpillar

A caterpillar is a graph which can be obtained from the path on $n$ vertices by appending $x_{i}$ pendant vertices to the $i^{\text {th }}$ vertex of the path,
$P_{n}$. The caterpillar with parameters $n, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ will be denoted as $P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

Note, this is a tree with the property that the removal of its leaves and incident edges results in a path $P_{n}$ called the spine of the caterpillar. Let $l$ denote the number of leaves, i.e., $l=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$. We say a caterpillar is complete if every vertex on the spine of the caterpillar is adjacent to at least one leaf.

Let $P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a caterpillar. We first consider the case of caterpillars where $x_{1}=x_{n}=l$ and $x_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. It is observe that it can have three cases that is a path with $n$ vertices where $n=3 k, 3 k+1$, $3 k+2$ to determine $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}(l, 0,0, \ldots 0, l)\right)$.

Theorem 2.1. For a caterpillar, $P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, where $x_{1}=x_{n}=l$, $x_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ of Type-I, then

$$
\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n+6\right)+\frac{(n+6) l}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\ \frac{1}{6}(n+1)(n+2)+\frac{(n+5) l}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\ \frac{1}{6}(n+1)(n+2)+\frac{(n+7) l}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $G=\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), w\right)$ be a weighted caterpillar with $x_{1}=$ $x_{n}=l$ and $x_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $V\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Attach $l$ pendent vertices, namely $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{l}\right\}$, the left siblings, at $v_{1}$ and Attach $l$ pendent vertices, namely $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l}\right\}$, the right siblings, at $v_{n}$ as shown in Figure 2.1.


Figure 2.1: A caterpillar $P_{n}(l, 0,0, \ldots, 0, l)$
Let $L=[1,2, \ldots, n]$ be a leaf-first labeling of $\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), w\right)$ and $L$ is of Type-I.

If $l=1$, then $G=P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ reduces to a path on $n+2$ vertices. Thus, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n+2}\right)$. By Theorem 1.3, the result is obvious.

Now we consider for $l>1$. To dominate the left sibling vertices $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{l}\right\}$, the minimum weighted vertex is $v_{1}$ and to dominate the right sibling vertices $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l}\right\}$, the minimum weighted vertex is $v_{n}$. Thus $v_{1}$ and $v_{n}$ are must be in $\gamma_{w^{-}}$set of $G$. We consider the following cases.
Case (1): Suppose $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. Then $n=3 k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{3 k}\right\}$ be the vertices of $P_{3 k}$. As the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k}$ dominates the vertex $v_{3 k-1}$, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-2}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-3}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-4}$ and $v_{3 k-2}$ are dominated. Similarly, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-5}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-6}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-7}$ and $v_{3 k-5}$ are dominated.

Proceeding in this way, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{4}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Then the vertices $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are dominated. Thus the set of vertices $\left\{v_{3 k-3}, v_{3 k-6}, \ldots, v_{6}, v_{3}\right\}$ belongs to the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Since the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{3 k}$ are included in any weighted dominating set of $G$, the set $D=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{6}, \ldots, v_{3 k-3}, v_{3 k}\right\}$ will be a minimum weighted dominating set of $G$.

For chromatic preserving, add a neighbor of least weight vertex to this set. Obviously, it is $r_{1}$. Thus the least weight dom-chromatic set $D$ is $\left\{r_{1}, v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{6}, \ldots, v_{3 k-6}, v_{3 k-3}, v_{3 k}\right\}$.

Hence, the minimum weight of a dom-chromatic set is, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $w(D)=\sum w\left(v_{i}\right)=1+(l+1)+[(l+3)+(l+6)+\ldots+(l+3 k-3)]+(2 l+3 k-1)=$ $\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n+6\right)+\frac{(n+6) l}{3}$

Case(2): Suppose $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Then $n=3 k+1$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{3 k+1}\right\}$ be the vertices of $P_{3 k+1}$. As the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k+1}$ dominates the vertex $v_{3 k}$, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-1}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-2}$ for the $\gamma_{w^{-}}$ set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-3}$ and $v_{3 k-1}$ are dominated. Similarly, to dominate
the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-4}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-5}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-6}$ and $v_{3 k-4}$ are dominated.

Proceeding in this way, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{5}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{4}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Then the vertices $v_{3}$ and $v_{5}$ are dominated. Thus the set of vertices $\left\{v_{3 k-2}, v_{3 k-5}, \ldots, v_{7}, v_{4}\right\}$ belongs to the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Since the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{3 k+1}$ are included in any weighted dominating set of $G$, the set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{7}, \ldots, v_{3 k-2}, v_{3 k+1}\right\}$ will be a minimum weighted dominating set of $G$.

For chromatic preserving, add a neighbor of least weight vertex to this set. Naturally, it is $r_{1}$. Thus the least weight dom-chromatic set $D$ is $\left\{r_{1}, v_{1}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{3 k-2}, v_{3 k+1}\right\}$.

Hence, the minimum weight of a dom-chromatic set is, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $w(D)=\sum w\left(v_{i}\right)=1+[(l+1)+(l+4)+(l+7)+\ldots+(l+3 k-2)]+(2 l+3 k)=$ $\frac{1}{6}(n+1)(n+2)+\frac{(n+5) l}{3}$

Case $(3)$ : Suppose $n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. Then $n=3 k+2$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{3 k+2}\right\}$ be the vertices of $P_{3 k+2}$. As the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k+2}$ dominates the vertex $v_{3 k+1}$, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-1}$ for the $\gamma_{w^{-}}$ set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-2}$ and $v_{3 k}$ are dominated. Similarly, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-3}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-4}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-5}$ and $v_{3 k-3}$ are dominated.

Proceeding in this way, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{2}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Then the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ are dominated. Thus the set of vertices $\left\{v_{3 k-1}, v_{3 k-4}, \ldots, v_{6}, v_{2}\right\}$ belongs to the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Since the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{3 k+2}$ are included in any weighted dominating set of $G$, the set $D=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{5}, \ldots, v_{3 k}, v_{3 k+2}\right\}$ will be a minimum weighted dominating set of $G$.

Clearly, the set $D$ preserves the chromticity of least weight in $G$. Hence, the minimum weight of a dom-chromatic set is, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $w(D)=\sum w\left(v_{i}\right)=(l+1)+[(l+2)+(l+5)+\ldots+(l+3 k-1)]+(2 l+3 k+1)=$ $\frac{1}{6}(n+1)(n+2)+\frac{(n+7) l}{3}$.

We next consider the case of caterpillars where $x_{1}=l$ and $x_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. We observe that it can have three cases that is a path with $n$ vertices where $n=3 k, 3 k+1,3 k+2$ to determine $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}(l, 0,0, \ldots 0,0)\right)$.

Theorem 2.2. For a caterpillar, $P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, where $x_{1}=l, x_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ of Type-I, then

$$
\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+n+6\right)+\frac{(n+3) l}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\ \frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+n+10\right)+\frac{(n+2) l}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\ \frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+n+6\right)+\frac{(n+1) l}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $G=\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), w\right)$ be a weighted caterpillar with $x_{1}=l$ and $x_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Let $V\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Attach $l$ pendent vertices, namely $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{l}\right\}$, the left siblings, at $v_{1}$ as shown in Figure 2.2.


Figure 2.2: A caterpillar $P_{n}(l, 0,0, \ldots, 0,0)$
Let $L=[1,2, \ldots, n]$ be a leaf-first labeling of $\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), w\right)$ and $L$ is of Type-I.

If $l=1$, then $G=P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ reduces to a path on $n+1$ vertices. Thus, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n+1}\right)$. By Theorem 1.3, the result is obvious.

Now we consider for $l>1$. To dominate the left sibling vertices $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{l}\right\}$, the minimum weighted vertex is $v_{1}$. Thus $v_{1}$ must be in $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. We consider the following cases.
Case (1): Suppose $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. Then $n=3 k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{3 k}\right\}$ be the vertices of $P_{3 k}$. It is obvious that $v_{3 k}$ admits the maximum weight in $G$. Hence to dominate the vertex $v_{3 k}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-1}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set. Then the vertices $v_{3 k-2}$ and $v_{3 k}$
are dominated. Similarly, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-3}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-4}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-5}$ and $v_{3 k-3}$ are dominated.

Proceeding in this way, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{2}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Then the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ are dominated. Thus the set of vertices $\left\{v_{3 k-1}, v_{3 k-4}, \ldots, v_{5}, v_{2}\right\}$ belongs to the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Since the vertex $v_{1}$ is included in any weighted dominating set of $G$, the set $D=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{6}, \ldots, v_{3 k-3}, v_{3 k}\right\}$ will be a minimum weighted dominating set of $G$.

Naturally, the set $D$ preserves the chromaticity of least weight in $G$. Hence, the minimum weight of a dom chromatic set is, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $w(D)=\sum w\left(v_{i}\right)=(l+1)+[(l+2)+(l+5)+\ldots+(l+3 k-1)]=$ $\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+n+6\right)+\frac{(n+3) l}{3}$.

Case (2): Suppose $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Then $n=3 k+1$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{3 k+1}\right\}$ be the vertices of $P_{3 k+1}$. It is obvious that $v_{3 k+1}$ admits the maximum weight in $G$. Hence to dominate the vertex $v_{3 k+1}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set. Then the vertices $v_{3 k-1}$ and $v_{3 k+1}$ are dominated. Similarly, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-2}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-3}$ for the $\gamma_{w^{-}}$ set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-4}$ and $v_{3 k-2}$ are dominated.

Proceeding in this way, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{4}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Then the vertices $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are dominated. Thus the set of vertices $\left\{v_{3 k}, v_{3 k-3}, \ldots, v_{6}, v_{3}\right\}$ belongs to the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Since the vertex $v_{1}$ is included in any weighted dominating set of $G$, the set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{6}, \ldots, v_{3 k-3}, v_{3 k}\right\}$ will be a minimum weighted dominating set of $G$.

For chromatic preserving, a least weight vertex is to be added which is $r_{1}$. Thus the least weight dom-chromatic set $D$ is $\left\{r_{1}, v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{6}, \ldots, v_{3 k-3}, v_{3 k}\right\}$.

Hence, the minimum weight of a dom-chromatic set is, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $w(D)=\sum w\left(v_{i}\right)=1+(l+1)+[(l+3)+(l+6)+\ldots+(l+3 k)]=$ $\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+n+10\right)+\frac{(n+2) l}{3}$

Case (3): Suppose $n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. Then $n=3 k+2$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{3 k+2}\right\}$ be the vertices of $P_{3 k+2}$. It is obvious that $v_{3 k+2}$ admits the maximum weight in $G$. Hence to dominate the vertex $v_{3 k+2}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k+1}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set. Then the vertices $v_{3 k}$ and $v_{3 k+2}$ are dominated. Similarly, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-1}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-2}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-3}$ and $v_{3 k-1}$ are dominated.

Proceeding in this way, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{5}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{4}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Then the vertices $v_{3}$ and $v_{5}$ are dominated. Thus the set of vertices $\left\{v_{3 k+1}, v_{3 k-2}, \ldots, v_{7}, v_{4}\right\}$ belongs to the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Since the vertex $v_{1}$ is included in any weighted dominating set of $G$, the set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{7}, \ldots, v_{3 k-2}, v_{3 k+1}\right\}$ will be a minimum weighted dominating set of $G$.

For chromatic preserving, a least weight vertex is to be added, Naturally, it is $r_{1}$. Thus the least weight dom-chromatic set $D$ is $\left\{r_{1}, v_{1}, v_{4}, v_{7}, \ldots, v_{3 k-2}, v_{3 k+1}\right\}$.

Hence, the minimum weight of a dom-chromatic set is, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $w(D)=\sum w\left(v_{i}\right)=1+[(l+1)+(l+4)+\ldots+(l+3 k+1)]=\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+n+6\right)+$ $\frac{(n+1) l}{3}$

Next let us consider the case of caterpillars where $x_{n}=l$ and $x_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We observe that it can have three cases that is a path with $n$ vertices where $n=3 k, 3 k+1,3 k+2$ to determine $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}(0,0, \ldots 0, l)\right)$.

Theorem 2.3. For a caterpillar, $P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, where $x_{n}=l, x_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ of Type-I, then

$$
\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n+6\right)+l & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\ \frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n+8\right)+l & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\ \frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n+2\right)+l & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. : Let $G=\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), w\right)$ be a weighted caterpillar with $x_{n}=$ $l$ and $x_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $V\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Attach $l$
pendent vertices, namely $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l}\right\}$, the right siblings, at $v_{n}$ as shown in Figure 2.3


Figure 2.3: A caterpillar $P_{n}(0,0, \ldots, 0, l)$
Let $L=[1,2, \ldots, n]$ be a leaf-first labeling of $\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), w\right)$ and $L$ is of Type-I.

If $l=1$, then $G=P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ reduces to a path on $n+1$ vertices. Thus, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n+1}\right)$. By Theorem 1.3, the result is obvious.

Now we consider for $l>1$. To dominate the right sibling vertices $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l}\right\}$, the minimum weighted vertex is $v_{n}$. Thus $v_{n}$ must be in $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. We consider the following cases.
Case (1): Suppose $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. Then $n=3 k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{3 k}\right\}$ be the vertices of $P_{3 k}$. Since $v_{3 k}$ dominates $v_{3 k-1}$, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-2}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-3}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set. Then the vertices $v_{3 k-4}$ and $v_{3 k-2}$ are dominated. Similarly, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-5}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-6}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-7}$ and $v_{3 k-5}$ are dominated.

Proceeding in this way, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{4}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Then the vertices $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are dominated. Thus the set of vertices $\left\{v_{3 k}, v_{3 k-3}, \ldots, v_{6}, v_{3}\right\}$ belongs to the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$.

Now, by choosing the minimum weighted vertex $v_{1}$ to the $\gamma_{w}$-set, it is necessary to select the vertex $v_{2}$ for the chromatic preservation. Hence, the weighted vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$ alongwith $v_{3}$ contributes a weight of 6 to the $\gamma_{w c h}$-set. While, we choose the weighted vertex $v_{2}$ for $\gamma_{w}$-set, it dominates
$v_{1}$ and the $\gamma_{w}$-set unioned with $v_{2}$ preserves the chromaticity. Moreover, it contributes a minimum weight of 5 to the $\gamma_{w c h}$-set. Hence, any $\gamma_{w}$-set unioned with $v_{2}$ will be the least weight dom-chromatic set of $G$. Thus, $D=\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{6}, \ldots, v_{3 k-3}, v_{3 k}\right\}$ is a minimum weighted dom-chromatic set in $G$.

Hence, the minimum weight of a dom-chromatic set is, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $w(D)=\sum w\left(v_{i}\right)=2+(3+6+\ldots+3 k-3)+(3 k-1+l)=l+\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n+6\right)$ Case (2): Suppose $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Then $n=3 k+1$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{3 k+1}\right\}$ be the vertices of $P_{3 k+1}$. Since $v_{3 k+1}$ dominates $v_{3 k}$, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-1}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-2}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set. Then the vertices $v_{3 k-3}$ and $v_{3 k-1}$ are dominated. Similarly, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-4}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-5}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-6}$ and $v_{3 k-4}$ are dominated.

Proceeding in this way, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{2}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{1}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Then the vertex $v_{2}$ is dominated. Thus the set of vertices $\left\{v_{3 k+1}, v_{3 k-2}, \ldots, v_{4}, v_{1}\right\}$ belongs to the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$.

For chromatic preserving, a least weight vertex is to be added which is $v_{2}$. Therefore the least weight dom-chromatic set $D$ is $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{3 k-2}, v_{3 k+1}\right\}$. Hence, the minimum weight of a dom-chromatic set is, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $w(D)=\sum w\left(v_{i}\right)=2+(1+4+\ldots+3 k-2)+3 k+l=l+\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n+8\right)$.

Case (3): Suppose $n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. Then $n=3 k+2$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{3 k+2}\right\}$ be the vertices of $P_{3 k+2}$. Since $v_{3 k+2}$ dominates $v_{3 k+1}$, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-1}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set. Then the vertices $v_{3 k-2}$ and $v_{3 k}$ are dominated. Similarly, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-3}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{3 k-4}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set so that the vertices $v_{3 k-5}$ and $v_{3 k-3}$ are dominated.

Proceeding in this way, to dominate the maximum weighted vertex $v_{3}$, choose the minimum weighted vertex $v_{2}$ for the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$. Then the vertices
$v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ is dominated. Thus the set of vertices $\left\{v_{3 k+2}, v_{3 k-1}, \ldots, v_{5}, v_{2}\right\}$ belongs to the $\gamma_{w}$-set of $G$.

For chromatic preserving, a least weight vertex is to be added which is $v_{1}$. Therefore the least weight dom-chromatic set $D$ is $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{5}, \ldots, v_{3 k-1}, v_{3 k+2}\right\}$.

Hence, the minimum weight of a dom-chromatic set is, $\gamma_{w c h}\left(P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $w(D)=\sum w\left(v_{i}\right)=1+(2+5+\ldots+3 k-1)+3 k+1+l=l+\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{2}+3 n+2\right)$.
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