

\odot -Subalgebra and \odot -Ideal in BN_1 -algebra

Santri Ayu Aminah^{#1}, Sri Gemawati^{*2}, Syamsudhuha^{#3}

*#Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Riau
Bina Widya Campus, Pekanbaru, 28293, Indonesia*

Abstract — This study is the development of the Companion BN_1 -algebra concept that researched by A. Mursalima, et al. [1]. The companion concept is developed by adding the subalgebra and ideal concepts to obtain \odot -Subalgebra and \odot -Ideal in BN_1 -algebra. The final result of this research is in the form of constructing the definitions and properties of \odot -Subalgebra and \odot -Ideal which are stated in several theorems, including the nature of the relationship.

Keywords — BN_1 -algebra, Companion, Subalgebra, Ideal.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2002, H. S. Kim and J. Neggers [15] have been defined a new algebra, namely B-algebra. B-algebra is a nonempty set $(X, *, 0)$ that satisfies (B1) $x * x = 0$, (B2) $x * 0 = x$ and (B) $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ [15]. Four years later, in 2006, C.B. Kim and H. S. Kim [5] introduced a new sub class of B-algebra that is BM-algebra. BM-algebra is algebra that satisfies (B2) and (BM) $(z * y) * (z * y) = y * x$. Furthermore in 2007, A. Walendziak [2] introduced a generalization of B-algebra which called BF-algebra.

In 2013, H. S. Kim and C. B. Kim founded a new structure algebra and a sub class of BF-algebra, namely BN-algebra [6]. BN-algebra is a nonempty set $(X, *, 0)$ that satisfies the axiom (B1), (B2) and (BN) $(x * y) * z = (0 * z) * (y * x)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. BN-algebra is also one of the wide classes of BM-algebra. In [6], H. S. Kim and C. B. Kim [6] was also introduced subalgebra of BN-algebra, BN_1 -algebra. BN_1 -algebra is a BN-algebra that satisfies $x = (x * y) * y$.

Various algebra concepts have been applied in B-algebra. L. D. Naingue and J. P. Vilela [18] developed a companion in B-algebra. Based on companion B-algebra [18], A. Mursalima, et al. developed on companion BN_1 -algebra [1]. In this article, by adding subalgebra and ideal concept, we developed the definition of \odot -subalgebra and \odot -ideal. Let S and I be a companion BN_1 -algebra, then S is called a \odot -subalgebra when $x \odot y \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$. If I is said to be \odot -ideal, if $0 \odot y \in I$, $x \odot y \in I$ and $y \in I$, then $x \in I$. We also investigate the properties of \odot -subalgebra and \odot -ideal BN_1 -algebra, the relationship between \odot -subalgebra and \odot -ideal by using some axioms

II. B-ALGEBRA, BN-ALGEBRA, BN_1 -ALGEBRA AND COMPANION BN_1 -ALGEBRA

In this section, the definition and some properties of B-Algebra, BN-algebra, BN_1 -algebra and companion BN_1 -algebra are given. J. Neggers and H. S. Kim [15] gave the definition of B-algebra by:

Definition 1.1 (Definition of B-algebra) B-algebra is a nonempty set $(X, *, 0)$ with constant 0 and binary operation $*$ that satisfies:

$$(B1) \quad x * x = 0,$$

$$(B2) \quad x * 0 = x,$$

$$(B) \quad (x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y)),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Companion B-algebra was defined by [18]:

Definition 1.2 (Companion B-algebra) Let $(X, *, 0)$ be with companion operation \odot . A operation \odot is said to be subcompanion operation if it satisfies $((x \odot y) * x) * y = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. A operation \odot is a companion operation of X if for $(z * x) * y = 0$, then $z * (x \odot y) = 0$.

BN-algebra was introduced by C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim [6] in 2013 and was defined by :

Definition 1.3 (Definition of BN-Algebra) BN-algebra is a nonempty set $(X, *, 0)$ with binary operation $*$ that satisfies the following axioms:

(B1) $x * x = 0$,

(B2) $x * 0 = x$,

(BN) $(x * y) * z = (0 * z) * (y * x)$,

for any $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 1.1 Let $X := \{0,1,2,3\}$ be a set with Cayley table as follows:

Table 1: Tabel Cayley of BN-algebra

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	1	1
2	2	1	0	1
3	3	1	1	0

Then based on definition 1.3, it is easy to show that X is BN-algebra.

BN-algebra is also satisfies some of addition axioms, such as [6]:

Theorem 1.1 If a nonempty set $(X, *, 0)$ is a BN-algebra, then for any $x, y, z \in X$

(i) $0 * (0 * x) = 0$,

(ii) $y * x = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$,

(iii) $(0 * x) * y = (0 * y) * x$,

(iv) $x * y = 0 \Rightarrow y * x = 0$,

(v) $0 * x = 0 * y \Rightarrow x = y$,

(vi) $(x * z) * (y * z) = (z * y) * (z * x)$.

Proof: we can see in [6].

A subalgebra of BN-algebra is defined by [6]:

Definition 1.4 (Definition of Sub BN-Algebra) Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a BN-algebra and $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq X$. S is called to be a subalgebra of X if $x * y \in S$ for any $x, y \in S$.

One of the subalgebra of BN-algebra is BN1-algebra that is defined C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim [6] define BN1-algebra as follows:

Definition 1.5 (BN₁-algebra) BN₁-algebra is a nonempty set $(X, *, 0)$ of BN-algebra satisfies i (BN1) $x = (x * y) * y$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 1.2 Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with Cayley table as follows:

Table 2: Tabel Cayley of BN_1 -algebra

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Then based on definition 1.3 and definition 1.5, it is easy to show that X is BN_1 -algebra.

Some additional axioms is satisfied on BN_1 -algebra, such as [6]:

Theorem 1.2 If $(X, *, 0)$ is a BN_1 -algebra, then it satisfies

- (i) $0 * x = x$,
- (ii) $x * y = y * x$

for any $x, y \in X$.

Proof. (i) Let $x, y, z \in X$. By using (BN1) $x = (x * y) * y$ and (B1) $x * x = 0$, for $y = x$, we get $(x * y) * y = (x * x) * x = 0 * x = x$.

(ii) From theorem 1.1, we have $y * x = (0 * x) * (0 * y) = x * y$.

Theorem 1.3 Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a BN_1 -algebra. If $x * y = 0$, then $x = y$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Proof. We can see in [6].

Based on definiton of subalgebra, the Subalgebra of BN_1 -algebra can be defined by

Definition 1.6 (Subalgebra of BN_1 -Algebra) Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a BN_1 -algebra and $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq X$. S is said to be a subalgebra of X if $x * y \in S$ for $x, y \in S$.

While Ideal of BN_1 -algebra can be defined as follows:

Definition 1.7 (BN_1 -ideal) Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a BN_1 -algebra and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq X$. I is called BN_1 -ideal of X if $0 \in I$, $y \in I$ dan $x * y \in I$, then $x \in I$ for any $x, y \in I$.

Example 1.3 Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a BN_1 -algebra in example 1.2. $I_1 = \{0, 1\}$, $I_2 = \{0, 2\}$ and $I_3 = \{0, 3\}$ are ideal of BN_1 -algebra, but $I_4 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is not a ideal because $1 * 2 = 2 * 1 = 3$ and $3 \notin I_4$.

Companion concept has been applied in BN_1 -algebra. A. Mursalima, et al.[1] defined companion BN_1 -algebra as follows:

Definition 1.8 (Companion BN_1 -algebra) Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a BN_1 -algebra with operation \odot . Operation \odot is called subcompanion, if it satisfies $((x \odot y) * x) * y = 0$ for any $x, y \in X$ and subcompanion operation \odot is a companion of X if satisfies (C) if $(z * x) * y = 0$, then $z * (x \odot y) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Exampe 1. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a BN_1 -Algebra with Cayley table as follows:

Table 3: Companion BN_1 -Algebra

\cdot	$*$	0	1	2	3
0		0	1	2	3
1		1	0	3	2
2		2	3	0	1
3		3	2	1	0

\cdot	\odot	0	1	2	3
0		0	1	2	3
1		1	0	3	2
2		2	3	0	1
3		3	2	1	0

Based on definition 1.8, it is easy to show that $(X, *, \odot, 0)$ is a companion BN_1 -algebra.

III. \odot -SUBALGEBRA AND \odot -IDEAL OF BN_1 -ALGEBRA

In this section, we construct the definition \odot -Subalgebra and \odot -Ideal BN_1 -algebra. We also construct the properties of \odot -subalgebra and \odot -Ideal BN_1 -algebra on some theorems.. \odot -Sub of BN_1 -algebra is defined by

Definition 1.9 (\odot -Subalgebra) Let $(X, *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion BN_1 -algebra and S is a nonempty subset of X . S is said to be a \odot -subalgebra of X if $x \odot y \in I$ for $x, y \in S$.

Example 1.5 Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a BN_1 -algebra in example 1.4. A set $I_1 = \{0,1\}$ is a \odot -subalgebra, while $I_2 = \{0,2,3\}$ is not because $2 \odot 3 = 3 \odot 1 = 1 \notin I_2$.

A \odot -subalgebra of BN_1 -algebra has a relation with BN_1 -ideal that we can see in this theorem

Theorem 1.4 Let $(X, *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion BN_1 -algebra. If I is a ideal of X , then I is a \odot -subalgebra of X .

Proof. Let $x, y \in I$ and $(x * y) * z = (x * y) * z$. By using (SC), we have $((x \odot y) * x) * y = 0 \in I$. Since I is a BN_1 -ideal, $x \in I$ and $y \in I$, we get $(x \odot y) * x \in I$ and $x \odot y \in I$. Hence I is a \odot -sub BN_1 -algebra.

Another relation A \odot -subalgebra of BN_1 -algebra with BN_1 -ideal as follows:

Theorem 1.5 Let $(X, *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion BN_1 -algebra that satisfies associative law $(x * y) * z = (x * y) * z$. If I is a \odot -subalgebra of X and $0 \in I$, then I is a BN_1 -ideal.

Proof. Let I is a \odot -subalgebra of X and $0 \in I$, and $b \in I$. Since X satisfies $(x * y) * z = (x * y) \odot z$, thus we obtain

$$a = (a \odot b) * b$$

$$a = (a \odot b) \odot b$$

I is a \odot -subalgebra, so we have $a \odot b \in I$ and $b \in I$ and then $a \in I$. Based on definition i 1.7, I is a BN_1 -ideal.

\odot -ideal of BN_1 -algebra is defined by

Definition 1.10 (\odot -ideal) Let $(X, *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion BN_1 -algebra and I is a subset of X . I is said to be \odot -ideal if satisfies

- (i) $0 \in I$
- (ii) for $x \odot y \in I$ and $y \in I$, then $x \in I$

for $x, y \in I$.

Example 1.6 Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a BN_1 -algebra in example 1.4. Set $I_1 = \{0,1\}$ and $I_2 = \{0,2\}$ is a \odot -ideal of X .

Theorem 1.6 Let $(X, *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion BN_1 -algebra that satisfies $(x * y) * z = (x * y) \odot z$. If I is a \odot -ideal of X , then I is a \odot -subalgebra of X .

Proof. X satisfies $(x * y) * z = (x * y) \odot z$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(x \odot y) * y &= x \\ (x \odot y) \odot y &= x\end{aligned}$$

Now $x \in I$, so $(x \odot y) \odot y \in I$. Since $(x \odot y) \odot y \in I$, $y \in I$ and I \odot -ideal of X , then $x \odot y \in I$. By using definition 1.6, thus I is a \odot -subalgebra of X .

Theorem 1.7 Let $(X, *, \odot, 0)$ is a companion BN_1 -algebra satisfies $(x * y) * z = (x * y) \odot z$. If I is a \odot -subalgebra of X and $0 \in I$, then I is a \odot -ideal of X .

Proof. Since X satisfies $(x * y) * z = (x * y) \odot z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x \odot y) * y &= x \\ (x \odot y) \odot y &= x\end{aligned}$$

Now $x \in I$, so we have $(x \odot y) \odot y \in I$. Since $(x \odot y) \odot y \in I$, $y \in I$ and I \odot -ideal dari X , we obtain $x \odot y \in I$. By using definition 1.6, I is a \odot -subalgebra of X .

Theorem 1.8 Let $(X, *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion BN_1 -algebra that satisfies $(x * y) * z = (x * y) * z$. If I is a \odot -subalgebra of X and $0 \in I$, then I is a \odot -ideal of X .

Proof. I is a \odot -subalgebra of X and $0 \in I$. Let $a * b \in I$ and $b \in I$ and X satisfies $(x * y) * z = (x * y) \odot z$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}a &= (a \odot b) * b \\ a &= (a \odot b) \odot b\end{aligned}$$

Since I is a \odot -subalgebra, so $a \odot b \in I$ and $b \in I$ and then $a \in I$. By using definition 1.10, I is a \odot -ideal of X .

IV. CONCLUSION

In this article, the the definition of \odot -subalgebra and \odot -ideal are developed from the concepts of Companion B-algebra [15] and companio BN_1 -algebra [1]. We also obtained the relationship between \odot -subalgebra and \odot -ideal BN_1 -algebra which is expressed in several theorems, such as the \odot -ideal is definitely a \odot -sub BN_1 -algebra. However, a sub BN_1 -algebra is not necessarily be a \odot -ideal and can be declared be a \odot -idea if it satisfies certain axioms.

V. REFERENCES

- [1] A. Mursalima, S. Gemawati and Syamsudhuna, On Companion BN_1 -algebras, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 66 (2020), 292–296.
- [2] A. Walendziak, On BF-algebras, Scientiae Mathematica Slovaca, 57 (2007), 119–128.
- [3] A. Walendziak, Some Results On BN_1 -algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae 78, 3 (2015), 335–342
- [4] C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, On BG-algebras, Demo. Math., 41 (2008), 497–505
- [5] C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, On BM-algebras, Sci. Math. Japonicae 63 (2006), 421–427.
- [6] C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, On BN-algebras, Kyungpook Math, 53 (2013), 175–184.
- [7] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat, On Derivations of BCC-algebras, Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.), 43 (2009), 398–401.
- [8] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote, Abstract Algebra, 3rd Edition, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc, 2003.
- [9] E. Fitria, S. Gemawati and A. Hadi, On Derivasi BN-Algebra, preprint, 2019.
- [10] E. Fitria, S. Gemawati and Kartini, Prime Ideals in B-Algebras, International Journal of Algebra, 11 (2017), 30–309.
- [11] G. Dymek and A. Walendziak, (Fuzzy) Ideals of BN-Algebras, Scientific World Journal,(2015), 1–9.
- [12] H. A. S. Abujabal and N. O. Al-Shehri, On Left Derivations Of BCIalgebras, Soochow Journal Of Mathematics, 33 (2007), 435–444.
- [13] H. A. S. Abujabal and N. O. Al-Shehri, Some Results On Derivations Of BCI-algebras, PK ISSN 0022- 2941 CODEN JNSMAC, 46 (2006), 13–19.
- [14] J. C. Endam and J. P. VilelaThe, Second Isomorphism Theorem for Balgebras, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 8(2014), 1865–1872
- [15] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, On B-algebras, Mate. Vesnik, 54 (2002), 21–29.
- [16] J. S. Durbin, Modern Algebra: An Introduction, 3rd Edition, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, 1992.
- [17] K. Iseki, On BCI-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 8 (1980), 125–130.
- [18] L. D. Naingue dan J. P. Vilela, On Companion B-algebra, EJPAM, 12 (2019), 1248-1259.