

## GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS TYPE STABILITY OF ADDITIVE TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS WITH $2k$ -VARIABLE IN $(l, \beta)$ -NORMED SPACES

LY VAN AN

*Faculty of Mathematics Teacher Education, Tay Ninh University, Ninh Trung, Ninh Son, Tay Ninh Province, Vietnam. Email address: lyvanan145@gmail.com.*

**ABSTRACT.** *In this paper, we study to solve the Hyers – Ulam – Rassias stability type of the Cauchy functional equation and then Jensen functional equation in non – Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space. and that of the pexiderized Cauchy functional equation in  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space Then I will show that the solutions of equation are additive mapping. These are the main results of this paper.*

**Keywords:** Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability,  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space, non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space, complete non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space, Cauchy functional equation with  $2k$ -variable, Jensen functional equation with  $2k$ -variable, pexiderized Cauchy functional equation.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 39B82, 39B72

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  be a normed spaces on the same field  $\mathbb{K}$ , and  $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be a mapping. We use the notation  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta_1}$  ( $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ ) for corresponding the norms on  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$ . In this paper, we investigate the stability of the Cauchy *functional equation* and then Jensen *functional equation in Non – Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space*. In fact, when  $\mathbf{X}$  is a non-Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta_1}$  and that  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a Banach non-Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space with norm with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ .

We solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the *functional equation in non – Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space*, associated to the Cauchy type additive functional equation and Jensen type additive functional equation with  $2k$  variable:

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$2kf\left(\frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) \quad (1.2)$$

The study of the functional equation stability originated from a question of S.M. Ulam [24], concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Let  $(\mathbf{G}, *)$  be a group and let  $(\mathbf{G}', \circ, d)$  be a metric group with metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}'$  satisfies

$$d(f(x * y), f(x) \circ f(y)) < \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{G}$  then there is a homomorphism  $h : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}'$  with

$$d(f(x), h(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{G}$  ?, if the answer, is affirmative, we would say that equation of homomorphism  $h(x * y) = h(y) \circ h(y)$  is stable. The concept of stability for a functional equation arises when we replace functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. Thus the stability question of functional equations is that how do the solutions of the inequality differ from those of the given function equation?

The Hyers [8] gave firsts affirmative partial answer to the equation of *Ulam* in *Banach* spaces.

. Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Rassias [17] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [7] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias approach. The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation.

The functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}f(y)$$

is called the Jensen additive functional equation.

The first work on the stability problem for functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces was started by Moslehian and Rassias [11]. Moslehian and Sadeghi [10] investigated the stability of cubi functional equations in non-Archimedean normed space. Next the mathematicians Xiuzhong Yang, Lidan Chang, Guofen Liu and Guannan Shen stability of functional equation in non-Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space

concerning to the following Cauchy functional equation and Jensen functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

$$2f\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) = f(x) + f(y)$$

. Recently, in [9, 10, 11, 25] the authors studied the on Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability the stability of the *functional equation in non – Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space*, associated to the Cauchy type following additive functional equation and Jensen type additive functional equation.

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right)$$

and

$$2kf\left(\frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right)$$

,  
ie the functional equation with  $2k$ -variables. Under suitable assumptions on spaces  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$ , we will prove that the mappings satisfying the functional (1.1) and (1.2). Thus, the results in this paper are generalization of those in [ 10, 11, 25] for functional equation with  $2k$ -variables.

The paper is organized as follows:

In section preliminarie we remind some basic notations in [10,11,25] such as Banach space, Banach non-Archimdean space, non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space, Banach non-Archimdean and solutions of the Cauchy function equation and Jensen function equation.

Section 3: is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the Cauchy type additive functional equations in non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space when  $\mathbf{X}$  is a non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta_1}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ .

Section 4: is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the Jensen type additive functional equations in non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space when  $\mathbf{X}$  is a vector and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ .

Section 5: is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the pexiderized Cauchy type functional equations in non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space when  $\mathbf{X}$  is a vector and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

### 2.1. $(n, \beta)$ -normed spaces.

#### Definition 2.1.

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a normed space  $\mathbf{X}$ .

- (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in a space  $\mathbf{X}$  is a Cauchy sequence iff the sequence  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero.
- (2) The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be convergent if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are a positive integer  $N$  and  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  such that

$$\|x_n - x\| \leq \epsilon, \forall n \geq N,$$

for all  $n, m \geq N$ . Then the point  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  is called the limit of sequence  $x_n$  and denote  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

- (3) If every sequence Cauchy in  $\mathbf{X}$  converges, then the normed space  $\mathbf{X}$  is called a Banach space.

#### Definition 2.2.

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\dim \mathbf{X} \geq n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  let  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\| : \mathbf{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . be a function satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_{\beta} = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent,
- (2)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_{\beta}$  is invariant under permutations of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$
- (3)  $\|\alpha x_1, \dots, x_n\|_{\beta} = |\alpha|^{\beta} \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$
- (4)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n, y + z\|_{\beta} \leq \|x_1, \dots, x_n, y\|_{\beta} + \|x_1, \dots, x_n, z\|_{\beta}, \forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y, z \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  is called an  $(n, \beta)$ -norm on  $\mathbf{X}$  and the pair  $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is called a linear  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space or an  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space.

\* Note that the concept of a linear  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space is a generalization of a linear  $n$ -normed space ( $\beta = 1$ ) and of a linear  $n$ -normed space ( $n = 1$ )

#### Definition 2.3.

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a linear  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space  $\mathbf{X}$  is called a convergent sequence if there is  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|_{\beta} = 0$  for all  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in \mathbf{X}$ .

\*Note we call that  $\{x_n\}$  convergent to  $x$  or that  $x$  is the limit of  $\{x_n\}$ , witer  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

**Definition 2.4.**

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a linear  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space  $\mathbf{X}$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|_\beta = 0$  for all  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in \mathbf{X}$ .

**Definition 2.5.**

A linear  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is called a complete  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space

**2.2. The properties of  $(n, \beta)$ -normed spaces.**

**Lemma 2.6.**

Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\beta)$  be a linear  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . If  $x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $\|x_1, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|_\beta = 0$  for all  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in \mathbf{X}$ , then  $x_1 = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.7.**

For a convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a linear  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space  $\mathbf{X}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|_\beta = \|\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\|_\beta = 0$$

for all  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in \mathbf{X}$ .

**2.3. non-Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -normed spaces.** In this subsection we recall some basic notations from such as non-Archimedean fields, non-Archimedean normed spaces and non-Archimedean Banach spaces.

A valuation is a function  $|\cdot|$  from a field  $\mathbb{K}$  into  $[0, \infty)$  such that 0 is the unique element having the 0 valuation,

$$\begin{aligned} |r| = 0 &\Leftrightarrow r = 0 \\ |r \cdot s| &:= |r| |s|, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{K} \end{aligned}$$

and the triangle inequality holds, i.e.,

$$|r + s| \leq |r| + |s|, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{K}.$$

A field  $\mathbb{K}$  is called a valued field if  $\mathbb{K}$  carries a valuation. The usual absolute values of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are examples of valuation. Let us consider a valuation which satisfies a stronger condition than the triangle inequality. If the strong triangle inequality is replaced by

$$|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{K},$$

then the function  $|\cdot|$  is called a non-Archimedean valuation. Clearly,  $|1| = |-1| = 1$  and  $|n| \leq 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A trivial example of a non-Archimedean valuation is the function  $|\cdot|$  taking everything except for 0 into 1 and  $|0| = 0$ . In this paper, we assume that the base field is a non-Archimedean field with  $|2| \neq 1$ , hence call it simply a field.

**Definition 2.8.** Let be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  with a non-Archimedean  $|\cdot|$ . A function  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said a non-Archimedean norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1)  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;

- (2)  $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\| (r \in \mathbb{K}, x \in X)$ ;
- (3)  $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} x, y \in X$  hold.

Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a norm -Archimedean norm space.

**Definition 2.9.**

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a norm -Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space  $\mathbf{X}$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if  $\{x_n - x_m\} \rightarrow 0$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a norm -Archimedean normed space  $X$ .

- (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in a non -Archimedean space is a Cauchy sequence iff the sequence  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero.
- (2) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be convergent if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are a positive integer  $N$  and  $x \in X$  such that

$$\|x_n - x\| \leq \epsilon, \forall n \geq N,$$

for all  $n, m \geq N$ . Then we call  $x \in X$  a limit of sequence  $x_n$  and denote  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

- (3) If every sequence Cauchy in  $X$  converges, then the norm -Archimedean normed space  $X$  is called a norm -Archimedean Bnanch space.

**Definition 2.11.**

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a real space with  $\dim \mathbf{X} \geq n$  over a scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  with a non -Archimedean nontrivial valuation  $|\cdot|, \beta$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer and  $\beta$  is a constant with  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . A real-valued function let  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\| : \mathbf{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . is called an  $(n, \beta)$ -norm on  $\mathbf{X}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_{\beta} = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent,
- (2)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_{\beta}$  is invariant under permutations of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$
- (3)  $\|\alpha x_1, \dots, x_n\|_{\beta} = |\alpha|^{\beta} \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$
- (4)  $\|x_0 + x_1, \dots, x_n\|_{\beta} \leq \max\{\|x_0, \dots, x_n\|_{\beta}, \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_{\beta}\}, \forall x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then the function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  is called an  $(n, \beta)$ -norm on  $\mathbf{X}$  and the pair

$(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is called a non -Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space or an  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space.

\* Note that the concept of a non -Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -normed space is a non -Archimedean  $n$ -normed space if  $(\beta = 1)$  and a non -Archimedean  $\beta$ -normed space if  $n=1$  respectively.

**2.4. Solutions of the equation.** The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an *additive mapping*.

The functional equation  $f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}f(y)$  called the *Jensen equation*. . In particular, every solution of the Jensen equation is said to be a *Jensen additive mapping*.

Note:  $n$  is positive integer and  $l \geq 2$ .

### 3. STABILITY OF THE CAUCHY TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN NON-ARCHIMDEAN $(l, \beta)$ -NORMED SPACE

In section, we assume that  $|2k| \neq 1$ . Under this condition we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the Cauchy type additive functional equations in non-Archimedean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space when  $\mathbf{X}$  is a non-Archimedean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta_1}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimedean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ . or  $\mathbf{X}$  is a vector space and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimedean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ .

Under this setting, we can show that the mapping satisfying (1.1) is additive. These results are given in the following.

#### Theorem 3.1.

Suppose That  $\mathbf{X}$  is a non-Archimedean  $\beta_1$ -normed space and that  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimedean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space, where  $l \geq 2$ ,  $0 < \beta, \beta_1 \leq 1$ . Let  $\epsilon \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $p, q \in (0, \infty)$  with  $l\beta_1(p+q) > \beta$  and let

$$\varphi : \mathbf{Y}^{l-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

be a function. Suppose that a mapping

$$f : \mathbf{X}^{2k} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \epsilon \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|_{\beta_1}^p \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \|x_{k+j}\|_{\beta_1}^q \right) \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping

$$H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

satisfying

$$\left\| f(x) - H(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \epsilon \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \right| \|x\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $x_j = x, x_{k+j} = kx$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (3.1) and dividing both sides by  $|(2k)^{-\beta}|$ , we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(2kx)}{2k} - f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} |(2k)^{-\beta}| \left\| x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $(2k)^n x$  in (3.1)

and dividing both sides by  $|(2k)^{n\beta}|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f((2k)^{n+1}x)}{(2k)^{n+1}} - \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{n\beta}} \right| \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{\beta}} \right| |(2k)^{nk\beta_1(p+q)}| \left\| x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & = \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{\beta}} \right| |(2k)^{k\beta_1(p+q)-\beta}| \left\| x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Since  $k(p+q)\beta_1 > \beta$  and  $|2k| \neq 1$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f((2k)^{n+1}x)}{(2k)^{n+1}} - \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$

. It follows from (3.5) that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n} \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y}$  is completes space, the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n} \right\}$  coverges. So one can define the mapping  $H: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n} \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ .

It follows from (3.1) and (3.6) and lemma 2.7 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| (2k)^{-n\beta} \left\| f\left[\left(2k\right)^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{k+j}\right)\right] - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\left(2k\right)^n x_j\right) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\left(2k\right)^n \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right| \\
 & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \left| (2k)^{-n\beta} \left| \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \left\| (2k)^n x_j \right\|_{\beta_1}^p \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \left\| (2k)^n x_{k+j} \right\|_{\beta_1}^q \right) \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right| \right| \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \left| (2k)^{k\beta_1(p+q)-\beta} \left| \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \left\| (2k)^n x_j \right\|_{\beta_1}^p \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \left\| (2k)^n x_{k+j} \right\|_{\beta_1}^q \right) \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right| \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

and so for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Since  $k\beta_1(p+q) > \beta$  and  $|2k| \neq 1$ , we get

$$\left\| H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\| = 0$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . By lemma 2.6, we get

$$H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) = 0$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . So mapping  $H$  is additive. replace  $x$  by  $2kx$  in (3.3) and dividing both sides by  $\left|(2k)^{\beta}\right|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{f((2k)^2 x)}{(2k)^2} - \frac{f(2kx)}{2k}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| (2k)^{-2\beta} \right| \left\| 2kx \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

and keep replacing  $x$  by  $2kx$  in (3.7) and dividing both sides by  $\left|(2k)^{\beta}\right|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{f((2k)^3 x)}{(2k)^3} - \frac{f((2k)^2 x)}{(2k)^2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| (2k)^{-3\beta} \right| \left\| (2k)^2 x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

... and so on until

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{f((2k)^{n+1} x)}{(2k)^{n+1}} - \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{n\beta}} \right| \left\| (2k)^n x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$



Thus by (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) We get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| f(x) - \frac{f((2k)^{n+1}x)}{(2k)^{n+1}}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{f((2k)x)}{2k} - f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta}, \right. \\
 & \left. \left\| \frac{f((2k)^2x)}{(2k)^2} - \frac{f(2kx)}{2k}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right. \\
 & \left. , \dots, \left\| \frac{f((2k)^{n+1}x)}{(2k)^{n+1}} - \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right\} \\
 & \leq \max \left\{ \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \right\| \left\| x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right. \\
 & \left. , \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{2\beta}} \right\| \left\| (2k)^2 x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1}) \right. \\
 & \left. , \dots, \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{n\beta}} \right\| \left\| (2k)^n x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Since  $k(p+q)\beta_1 > \beta$  and  $|2k| \neq 1$ , we get

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{f(2kx)}{2k}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \right\| \left\| x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ .

By induction on, n we can conclude that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \right\| \left\| x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Replacing x with 2kx in (d) and dividing both sides by  $\left| (2k)^{\beta} \right|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{f(2kx)}{2k} - \frac{f((2k)^{n+1}x)}{(2k)^{n+1}}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| (2k)^{-2\beta} \right\| \left\| (2k)x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \quad (3.12)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows from (3.3) and (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - \frac{f((2k)^{n+1}x)}{(2k)^{n+1}}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \right| \left\| (2k)x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is completes the proof of (3.13). Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.13) we can obtain (3.2) Now we prove the uniqueness of  $H$ . Assume that  $H_1 : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an additive mapping satisfying (3.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H(x) - H_1(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & = \left| (2k)^{-n\beta} \right| \left\| H((2k)^n x) - H_1((2k)^n x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \left| (2k)^{-n\beta} \right| \max \left\{ \left\| H((2k)^n x) - f((2k)^n x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta}, \right. \\ & \left. \left\| f((2k)^n x) - H_1((2k)^n x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right\} \\ & \leq \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| (2k)^{-n\beta} \right| \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \right| \left\| (2k)^n x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & = \epsilon \cdot k^{kq\beta_1} \left| (2k)^{k(p+q)\beta_1 - \beta} \right|^n \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \right| \left\| x \right\|_{\beta_1}^{k(p+q)} \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\left\| H(x) - H_1(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} = 0$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . By lemma 2.6, we get  $H(x) = H_1(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . So  $H$  is the unique additive mapping satisfying (3.2)  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.**

Suppose That  $\mathbf{X}$  be a vector space and that  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimedean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space, where  $l \geq 2$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . Let

$$\varphi : \mathbf{X}^{2k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| (2k)^{n\beta} \right| \varphi \left( \frac{(2k)^n x_1}{(2k)^n}, (2k)^n x_2, \dots, (2k)^n x_k, (2k)^n kx_{k+1}, (2k)^n kx_{k+2}, \dots, (2k)^n kx_{2k} \right) = 0 \quad (3.15)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ , and

suppose that a mapping

$$\psi : \mathbf{Y}^{l-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

be a function. The limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{i\beta}} \right| \varphi((2k)^{i-1}x_1, (2k)^{i-1}x_2, \dots, (2k)^{i-1}x_{2k}), 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} \quad (3.16)$$

exists for  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ , and it is denoted by  $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ . Suppose that a mapping

$$f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{2k}) \cdot \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping

$$H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

satisfying

$$\left\| f(x) - H(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x) \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \quad (3.18)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Moreover, if

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{i\beta}} \right| \varphi((2k)^{i-1}x_1, (2k)^{i-1}x_2, \dots, (2k)^{i-1}x_k, \right. \\ & \left. (2k)^{i-1}kx_{k+1}, (2k)^{i-1}kx_{k+2}, \dots, (2k)^{i-1}kx_{2k}), 1+h \leq i \leq n+h \right\} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ , then  $H$  is a unique additive mapping satisfying (3.18).

*Proof.* Put  $x_j = x, x_{k+j} = kx$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (3.17) and dividing both sides by  $|(2k)^\beta|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f(2kx)}{2k} - f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \right| \varphi(x, x, \dots, x, kx, kx, \dots, kx) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $(2k)^i x$  in (3.20)

and dividing both sides by  $|(2k)^{i\beta}|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f((2k)^{i+1}x)}{(2k)^{i+1}} - \frac{f((2k)^i x)}{(2k)^i}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \right| \left| (2k)^{-i\beta} \right| \varphi((2k)^i x, (2k)^i x, \dots, (2k)^i x, \\ & (2k)^i kx, (2k)^i kx, \dots, (2k)^i kx) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Taking the limit as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and considering (3.15)

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f((2k)^{i+1}x)}{(2k)^{i+1}} - \frac{f((2k)^i x)}{(2k)^i}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} = 0 \quad (3.22)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$

. It follows from (3.22) that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n} \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y}$  is completes space, the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n} \right\}$  coverges. So one can define the mapping  $H: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n} \quad (3.23)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ .

It follows from (3.17), (3.23) and lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (2k)^{-n\beta} \left\| f\left[\left(2k\right)^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{k+j}\right)\right] - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\left(2k\right)^n x_j\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\left(2k\right)^n \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right\| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (2k)^{-n\beta} \left| \varphi\left(\left(2k\right)^n x, \left(2k\right)^n x, \dots, \left(2k\right)^n x, \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left(2k\right)^n kx, \left(2k\right)^n kx, \dots, \left(2k\right)^n kx\right) \psi\left(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1}\right) \right| \right\| \end{aligned}$$

and so for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ .we get

$$\left\| H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\| = 0$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . By lemma 2.6, we get

$$H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) = 0$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . So mapping  $H$  is additive.

Replace x by 2kx in (3.20) and dividing both sides by  $\left|(2k)^{\beta}\right|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f((2k)^2 x)}{(2k)^2} - \frac{f(2kx)}{2k}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \left\| (2k)^{-2\beta} \left| \varphi\left(\left(2k\right)^n x, \left(2k\right)^n x, \dots, \left(2k\right)^n x, \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left(2k\right)^n kx, \left(2k\right)^n kx, \dots, \left(2k\right)^n kx\right) \psi\left(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \right| \right\| \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Considering (3.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - \frac{f((2k)^2x)}{(2k)^2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \left| (2k)^{-\beta} \left| \varphi(x, x, \dots, x, kx, kx, \dots, kx) \right| (2k)^{-2\beta} \left| \varphi((2k)x, (2k)x, \dots, (2k)x, \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \left. (2k)kx, (2k)kx, \dots, (2k)kx) \right| \right\} \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . By induction on n, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varphi((2k)^{h-1}x, (2k)^{h-1}x, \dots, (2k)^{h-1}x, (2k)^{h-1}kx, (2k)^{h-1}kx, \dots, (2k)^{h-1}kx)}{|(2k)^{h\beta}|}, \right. \\ & \left. 1 \leq h \leq n \right\} \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

replacing x by 2kx in (3.26) and dividing both sides by  $|(2k)^{\beta}|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f((2k)x)}{(2k)} - \frac{f((2k)^{n+1}x)}{(2k)^{n+1}}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varphi((2k)^h x, (2k)^h x, \dots, (2k)^h x, (2k)^h kx, (2k)^h kx, \dots, (2k)^h kx)}{|(2k)^{(h+1)\beta}|}, \right. \\ & \left. 1 \leq h \leq n \right\} \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which together with (3.20) implies .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| f((2k)x) - \frac{f((2k)^{n+1}x)}{(2k)^{n+1}}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\varphi(x, x, \dots, x, kx, kx, \dots, kx)}{|(2k)^{\beta}|}, \right. \\
 & \frac{\varphi((2k)^h x, (2k)^h x, \dots, (2k)^h x, (2k)^h kx, (2k)^h kx, \dots, (2k)^h kx)}{|(2k)^{(h+1)\beta}|} \\
 & \left. , 1 \leq h \leq n \right\} \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\
 & = \max \left\{ \frac{\varphi((2k)^h x, (2k)^h x, \dots, (2k)^h x, (2k)^h kx, (2k)^h kx, \dots, (2k)^h kx)}{|(2k)^{(h+1)\beta}|} \right. \\
 & \left. , 1 \leq h \leq n \right\} \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\
 & = \max \left\{ \frac{\varphi((2k)^h x, (2k)^h x, \dots, (2k)^h x, (2k)^h kx, (2k)^h kx, \dots, (2k)^h kx)}{|(2k)^{h\beta}|} \right. \\
 & \left. , 1 \leq h \leq n+1 \right\} \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \tag{3.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is completes the proof of (3.26) Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.26). Now we need to prove the uniqueness of  $H$ . Let  $H'$  be another additive mapping satisfying (3.18). Sence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{h\beta}} \right| \tilde{\varphi}((2k)^h x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{i\beta}} \right| \varphi((2k)^{i+h-1} x_1, (2k)^{i+h-1} x_2, \dots, (2k)^{h+i-1} x_k, \right. \\
 & \left. (2k)^{h+i-1} kx_{k+1}, (2k)^{h+i-1} kx_{k+2}, \dots, (2k)^{h+i-1} kx_{2k}), 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{i\beta}} \right| \varphi((2k)^{i-1} x_1, (2k)^{i-1} x_2, \dots, (2k)^{i-1} x_k, \right. \\
 & \left. (2k)^{i-1} kx_{k+1}, (2k)^{i-1} kx_{k+2}, \dots, (2k)^{i-1} kx_{2k}), 1+h \leq i \leq n+h \right\} \tag{3.29}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ , it follows from then  $H$  is a unique additive mapping satisfying (3.19) that.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| H(x) - H'(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{h\beta}} \right| \left\| H((2k)^h x) - H'((2k)^h x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{h\beta}} \right| \max \left\{ \left\| H((2k)^h x) - f((2k)^h x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left\| f((2k)^h x) - H'((2k)^h x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{h\beta}} \right| \tilde{\varphi}((2k)^{-h\beta} x) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) = 0 \tag{3.30}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Considering lemma 2.6 we prove that  $H$  is unique  $\square$

#### 4. STABILITY OF THE JENSEN TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN NON-ARCHIMDEAN $(l, \beta)$ -NORMED SPACE

In section, we assumer that  $|2| \neq 1$ . Under this condition we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the Jensen type additive functional equations in non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space when  $\mathbf{X}$  is a vector and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimdean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ .

Under this setting, we can show that the mapping satisfying (1.2) is Jensen additive. These results are give in the following.

##### **Theorem 4.1.**

Suppose That  $\mathbf{X}$  be a vector space and that  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimedean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space, where  $l \geq 2$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . Let

$$\varphi : \mathbf{X}^{2k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| 2^{n\beta} \right| \varphi \left( \frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^n}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2^n}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2^n} \right) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ , and

suppose that a mapping

$$\psi : \mathbf{Y}^{l-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

be a function. The limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \left| 2^{i\beta} \right| \varphi \left( \frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^i}, 0, 0, \dots, 0 \right), 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \right\} \tag{4.2}$$

exists for  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ , which is denoted by  $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ . Suppose that a mapping

$$f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

and  $f(0) = 0$  satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2kf \left( \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{2k}) \cdot \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping

$$H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

satisfying

$$\left\| f(x) - H(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x) \varphi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1}) \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Moreover, if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \left| 2^{i\beta} \left| \varphi \left( \frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^i}, 0, 0, \dots, 0 \right), h \leq i \leq n + h - 1 \right| \right\} = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ , then  $H$  is a unique additive mapping satisfying (4.4).

*Proof.* Put  $x_j = x, x_{k+j} = 0$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) - f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq |k^{-\beta}| \varphi(x, x, \dots, x, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^n}$  in (4.6)

and multiplying both sides by  $|2^{n\beta}|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^{n+1} f \left( \frac{x}{2^{n+1}} \right) - 2^n f \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq |k^{-\beta}| \left| 2^{n\beta} \right| \varphi \left( \frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^n}, 0, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Taking the limit as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and considering (4.1)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2^{n+1} f \left( \frac{x}{2^{n+1}} \right) - 2^n f \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$

. It follows from (3.22) that the sequence  $\left\{ 2^n f \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right) \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ .

Since  $\mathbf{Y}$  is completes space, the sequence  $\left\{ 2^n f \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right) \right\}$  coverges. So one can define the mapping  $H: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f \left( \frac{x}{2^n} \right) \quad (4.9)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ .



By induction on  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \left| 2^{h\beta} \right| \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^h}, \frac{x_2}{2^h}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^h}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right), 1 \leq h \leq n-1 \right\} \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^n}$  in (4.10)

and multiplying both sides by  $\left| 2^\beta \right|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \left| 2^{(h+1)\beta} \right| \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{h+1}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{h+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{h+1}}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right), 1 \leq h \leq n-1 \right\} \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Considering the above inequality and (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, 0, 0, \dots, 0), \right. \\ & \left. \left| 2^{(h+1)\beta} \right| \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{h+1}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{h+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^{h+1}}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right), 1 \leq h \leq n-1 \right\} \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & = \max \left\{ \left| 2^{h\beta} \right| \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^h}, \frac{x_2}{2^h}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^h}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right), 1 \leq h \leq n-1 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This completes the proof of (4.6) Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.10), we obtain (4.4)

Next, we prove that  $H$  is additive. Considering (4.1), (4.3) and (4.9)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2kH\left(\frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| 2^{n\beta} \right| \left\| 2kf \left[ \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_{k+j}}{2^{n+1}} \right) \right] - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j}{2^n}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{2^n k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, \right. \\ & \left. z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| 2^{n\beta} \right| \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^n}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and so for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . we get

$$\left\| 2kH\left(\frac{1}{2k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{2k^2}\sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\| = 0$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . By lemma 2.6, we get

$$H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) = 0$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . So mapping  $H$  is additive.

. Now we need to prove the uniqueness of  $H$ . Let  $H'$  be another additive mapping satisfying (4.4). Sence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{h\beta}} \right| \tilde{\varphi}((2k)^h x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{i\beta}} \right| \varphi((2k)^{i+h-1} x_1, (2k)^{i+h-1} x_2, \dots, (2k)^{h+i-1} x_k, \right. \\ &\quad \left. (2k)^{h+i-1} kx_{k+1}, (2k)^{h+i-1} kx_{k+2}, \dots, (2k)^{h+i-1} kx_{2k}), 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{i\beta}} \right| \varphi((2k)^{i-1} x_1, (2k)^{i-1} x_2, \dots, (2k)^{i-1} x_k, \right. \\ &\quad \left. (2k)^{i-1} kx_{k+1}, (2k)^{i-1} kx_{k+2}, \dots, (2k)^{i-1} kx_{2k}), 1+h \leq i \leq n+h \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ , it follows from then  $H$  is a unique additive mapping satisfying (3.19) that.

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| H(x) - H'(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{h\beta}} \right| \left\| H((2k)^h x) - H'((2k)^h x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{h\beta}} \right| \max \left\{ \left\| H((2k)^h x) - f((2k)^h x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left\| f((2k)^h x) - H'((2k)^h x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right\} \\ &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(2k)^{h\beta}} \right| \tilde{\varphi}((2k)^{-h\beta} x) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Considering lemma 2.6 we prove that  $H$  is unique  $\square$

5. STABILITY OF THE PEXIDERIZED CAUCHY FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the pexiderized Cauchy type functional equations in non-Archimedian  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space when  $\mathbf{X}$  is a vector and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimedian  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ .

**Theorem 5.1.**

Suppose That  $\mathbf{X}$  be a vector space and that  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a complete non-Archimedean  $(l, \beta)$ -normed space, where  $l \geq 2$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . Let

$$\varphi : \mathbf{X}^{2k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

be a function such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{2k}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k^{i\beta} \left( \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_2}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^{i-1}}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \right. \\ &+ \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^{i-1}}\right) \\ &+ \left. \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_2}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^{i-1}}\right) \right) \\ &< \infty \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| k^{n\beta} \right| \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^n}, \frac{x_2}{2k^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^n}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^n}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^n}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^n}\right) = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ , and

suppose that a mapping

$$\psi: \mathbf{Y}^{l-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

be a function.

If mapping

$$f, g, p : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k g(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k p\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_\beta \\ & \leq \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{2k}) \cdot \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ , then there exists a unique additive mapping

$$H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - H(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_\beta \\ & \leq \Gamma(x) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \left\| p(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_\beta + \left\| g(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_\beta \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| g(x) - H(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \Gamma(x)\psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \left\| g(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + 2 \left\| p(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & + \varphi((2k)^{i-1}x_1, (2k)^{i-1}x_2, \dots, (2k)^{i-1}x_k, 0, 0, \dots, 0)\psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \tag{5.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| p(x) - H(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \Gamma(x)\psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \left\| p(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + 2 \left\| g(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & + \varphi(0, 0, \dots, 0, (2k)^{i-1}x_{k+1}, (2k)^{i-1}x_{k+2}, \dots, (2k)^{i-1}x_{2k})\psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \tag{5.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $x_j = \frac{x}{2k}, x_{k+j} = \frac{x}{2}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (5.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| f(x) - kg\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) - kp\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, \frac{x}{2}\right)\psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \tag{5.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ .

Put  $x_j = \frac{x}{2k}, x_{k+j} = 0$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (5.3)

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - kg\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) - kp(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right)\psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \tag{5.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . It then follows from (5.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - kg\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right)\psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \left\| kp(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \tag{5.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ .

Put  $x_j = 0, x_{k+j} = \frac{x}{2}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$  in (5.3)

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - kg(0) - kp\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, \frac{x}{2}\right)\psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \tag{5.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - kp\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, \frac{x}{2}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \left\| kg(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta\left(\frac{x}{2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k, z_{k+1}, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \\ & = \left\| kg(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \left\| kp(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, \frac{x}{2}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \\ & + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \\ & + \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, \frac{x}{2}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Using (5.7), (5.9) and (5.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \left\| f(x) - kg\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) - kp\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \left\| kg\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & + \left\| kp\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \left\| kg(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \left\| kp(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, \frac{x}{2}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, \frac{x}{2}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & = \eta\left(\frac{x}{2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ .

So

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq 2^{-\beta} \eta\left(\frac{x}{2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \quad (5.14)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (5.14), we get

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \eta\left(\frac{x}{2^2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \quad (5.15)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . It then follows from (5.14) and (5.15)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2^2}f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & + 2^{-\beta} \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \eta\left(\frac{x}{2^2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) + 2^{-\beta}\eta\left(\frac{x}{2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Applying an induction argument on  $n$ , we will prove that

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - \frac{1}{2^n}f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{(i-1)\beta}} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \quad (5.17)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In view of (5.14) is true for  $n=1$ . Assume that (5.14) is true for  $n > 1$ . Substituting  $\frac{x}{2}$  for  $x$  in (5.14), we obtain

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \frac{1}{2^n}f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{(i-1)\beta}} \eta\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \quad (5.18)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Hence, it follows from (5.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \frac{1}{2^n}f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & + 2^{-n\beta} \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{(i-1)\beta}} \eta\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1-i}}x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) + 2^{-n\beta} \eta\left(\frac{x}{2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{(i-1)\beta}} \eta\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1-i}}x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ , which proves inequality (5.17) by (5.17), we have

$$\left\| 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{n\beta}}{2^{(i-1)\beta}} \eta\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \quad (5.20)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, if  $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n < q$ , then it follows from (5.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| 2^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=n}^{q-1} \left\| 2^i f\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right) - 2^{(i+1)} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=n}^{q-1} 2^{(i+1)\beta} \left\| 2^{-1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & = \sum_{i=n}^{q-1} 2^{(i+1)\beta} \eta\left(\frac{1}{2^i} x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{(i+1)\beta} \left[ \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^i}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right. \\
 & \quad + \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2^i}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2^i}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\
 & \quad + \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^i}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2^i}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2^i}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\
 & \quad \left. + \left\| p(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \left\| g(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right] \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(i+1)\beta} \left[ \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^i}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) + \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2^i}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2^i}\right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^i}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2^i}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2^i}\right) \right] \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\
 & \quad + 2^{-n} \left\| p(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \left\| g(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \tag{5.21}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$   $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Hence, it follows from

Taking the limit as  $n, q \rightarrow \infty$  and considering (5.1)

$$\lim_{n, q \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 2^q f\left(\frac{1}{2^q} x\right) - 2^n f\left(\frac{1}{2^n} x\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} = 0 \tag{5.22}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . According to Definition 2.4, we know that

that the sequence  $\left\{ 2^q f\left(\frac{1}{2^q} x\right) \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y}$  is completes

$(n, \beta)$  space, the sequence  $\left\{ 2^q f\left(\frac{1}{2^q} x\right) \right\}$  converges.

So one can define the mapping  $H: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{1}{2^n} x\right) \tag{5.23}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . in (5.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k g(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k p\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| 2^{n\beta} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^n}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2^n}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2^n}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

and so for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . It follows from (5.9)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| k^n f\left(\frac{x}{2k^n}\right) - k^n g\left(\frac{x}{2k^n}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq k^{n\beta} \left[ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{x}{k}, \dots, \frac{x}{k}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \left\| kp(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Considering (5.1)

$$\begin{aligned} & k^{n\beta} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^n}, \frac{x_2}{2k^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^n}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & \leq k^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k^{(i+1)\beta} \left[ \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^i}, \frac{x_2}{2k^i}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^i}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right. \\ & \quad + \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^i}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^i}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^i}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & \quad \left. + \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^i}, \frac{x_2}{2k^i}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^i}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^i}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^i}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^i}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

$\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

It follows from (5.25) that

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{1}{2k^n}x\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n g\left(\frac{1}{2k^n}x\right) \tag{5.26}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Also, by (5.11)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| k^n f\left(\frac{x}{2k^n}\right) - k^n p\left(\frac{x}{2k^n}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq k^{n\beta} \left[ \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2k^n}, \frac{x}{2k^n}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k^n}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) + \left\| kg(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Similarly, it follows from (5.27) that

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n f\left(\frac{1}{2k^n}x\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k^n p\left(\frac{1}{2k^n}x\right) \tag{5.28}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Thus, by (5.2), (5.25), (5.28) and lemma 2.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| k^{n\beta} \right| \left\| f\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2k^n} x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2k^n} x_{k+j}\right)\right] - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{2k^n} x_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{2k^n} \frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \left. z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| k^{n\beta} \right| \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^n}, \frac{x_2}{2k^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^n}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^n}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^n}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^n}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ .



we get

$$\left\| H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\| = 0$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . By lemma 2.6, we get

$$H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) = 0$$

for all  $x_j, x_{k+j} \in \mathbf{X}$  for all  $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ . So mapping  $H$  is additive. Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (5.17)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H(x) - f(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_l \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2k^{(i-1-n)\beta}} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k^{n-1}}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}\right) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - 2k^{n\beta}) \left( \left\| kg(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \left\| kp(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right) \\ & + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n k^{(i-n-1)\beta} \left( \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_2}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^{i-1}}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right. \\ & + \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^{i-1}}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & + \left. \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_2}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^{i-1}}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right) \\ & = \left\| kg(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \left\| kp(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \Gamma(x) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \quad (5.29) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ , Which prover (5.4) Prover the uniqueness of  $H$ . Assume That

$$H' : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

is another additive mapping which satisfying (5.4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H(x) - H'(x), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & \leq k^{n\beta} \left\| H\left(\frac{x}{2k^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2k^n}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + k^{n\beta} \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2k^n}\right) - H'\left(\frac{x}{2k^n}\right), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \\ & k^{n\beta+1} \left( \left\| kg(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \left\| kp(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2k^n}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right) \\ & = k^{n\beta-1} \left( \left\| kg(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} + \left\| kp(0), z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \right\|_{\beta} \right. \\ & + \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_2}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^{i-1}}, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2k-1}) \\ & + \varphi\left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^{i-1}}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \\ & + \left. \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_2}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{2k^{i-1}}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{2k^{i-1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{2k}}{2k^{i-1}}\right) \psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1}) \right) \quad (5.30) \end{aligned}$$

$n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{l-1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ . Which together with lemma 2.6 implies that  $H(x) = H'(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ .  $\square$

## 6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, I have shown that the solutions of the (1.1) and (1.2) are additive mappings. The Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for these given from theorems. These are the main results of the paper, which are the generalization of the results [10, 11, 25].

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 2(1950), 64-66.
- [2] J.M. Almira, U. Luther, Inverse closedness of approximation algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 314 (2006) 30-44.
- [3] Nazek Alessa, K. Tamilvanan, K. Loganathan, and K. Kalai Selvi Hyers-Ulam Stability of Functional Equation Deriving from Quadratic Mapping in Non-Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -Normed Spaces *Hindawi Journal of Function Spaces* Volume 2021, Article ID 9953214, 10 pages <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9953214>.
- [4] Y. Benyamini, J. Lindenstrauss. *Y. Benyamini, J. Lindenstrauss, Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis, vol. 1, Colloq. Publ., vol. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.*
- [5] Cholewa, P, W: Remarks on the stability of functional equations. *Aequationes Math.*, 27, 76-86 (1984).
- [6] Cieplinski, K.: Applications of fixed point theorems to the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations a survey. *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, 3, 151164 (2012).
- [7] Pascus. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *Journal of mathematical Analysis and Applications* 184 (3) (1994), 431-436. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1211>.
- [8] Donald H. Hyers, On the stability of the functional equation, *Proceedings of the National Academy of the United States of America*, 27 (4) (1941), 222. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>,
- [9] Yachai Lui, Xiuzhong Yang\*, and Goen Liu Hyers-Ulam Stability of an AQCQ functional equation in non-Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -normed spaces *Research Artic Demonstr. Math.*, 2019, 52: 130-146. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema/2019-0009>.
- [10] Moslehian M. S., Sadeghi, Gh: Stability of two types of cubi functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces. *Real Anal Exchange*, 33, 375-383 (2008).
- [11] Moslehian and M.Th. Rassias, Stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, 1 (2007), 325-334.
- [12] Choonkil.Park\*. *Additive  $\beta$ -functional inequalities, Journal of Nonlinear Science and Appl.* 7(2014), 296-310.
- [13] Choonkil.Park<sup>1</sup>. *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras Bull. Sci.math.* 132(2008) 87-96).
- [14] C. Park, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 275 (2002) 711-720. *States of America, J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 275 (2002) 711-720. *States of America,*,
- [15] J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal.* 46 (1982) 126-130. , [15] J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 108 (1984) 445-46.
- [16] J.M. Rassias. J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 108 (1984) 445-446..
- [17] Themistocles M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach space, *proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 27 (1978), 297-300. <https://doi.org/10.2307/s00010-003-2684-8>.
- [18] J.M. Rassias, M.J. Rassias, On some approximately quadratic mappings being exactly quadratic, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 69 (2002) 155-160.
- [19] J. M. Rassias. Solution of a problem of Ulam, *J. Approx. Theory* 57 (1989) 268-273.
- [17] J.M. Rassias, Solution of a stability problem of Ulam, *Discuss. Math.* 12 (1992) 951-103.
- [20] J. M. Rassias, Solution of a stability problem of Ulam, *Discuss. Math.* 12 (1992) 951-103.
- [22] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN-Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984.
- [21] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN-Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984.
- [22] J.M. Rassias, Complete solution of the multi-dimensional problem of Ulam, *Discuss. Math.* 14 (1994) 1011-107.
- [23] Skof, F. Proprie locali e approssimazione di operatori. *Rend. Sen. Mat. Fis. Milano*, 53, 113-129 (1983)
- [24] S M. Ulam. *A collection of Mathematical problems*, volume 8, Interscience Publishers. New York, 1960.
- [25] Xiuzhong. Yang, Lidan Chang, Guofen Liu, and Guannan. Shen, Stability of functional equation in non-Archimedean  $(n, \beta)$ -normed spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2015, no. 1, p. 118, 2015

- [26] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. X. Xu. Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 28(3):529–560, 2012.