

Distance Polynomial, Distance Spectra And Distance Energy Of Some Edge Deleted Graphs

B. S. Durgi^{#1}, Umesh Poojari^{*2}, D.S.Revankar^{#3}, P. R. Hampiholi^{*4}

[#] Department of Mathematics,

^{#1}KLE Dr. M.S.Sheshgiri College of Engineering and Technology, Belagavi-590008, India.

^{*2}Research Scholar, mathematics Research Centre, KLE Dr. M.S.Sheshgiri College of Engineering and Technology, Belagavi-590008, India.

⁺³Department of Mathematics, KLE Dr. M. S. Sheshgiri College of Engineering and Technology, Belagavi-590008, India.

^{#4}Research Centre Department of Mathematics, Gogte Institute of Technology, Belgaum – 590008, India

Abstract - The distance polynomial of G is defined as the determinant $|\mu I - D|$, where I is the unit matrix of the order same as that of D . The distance spectra of a connected graph G is the collection of distance eigenvalues of G and the distance energy of G is the absolute sum of the distance eigenvalues of G . In this paper, the distance spectra and the distance energy of the graph obtained by deleting the edges of complete subgraph K_r from the complete graph K_p are obtained.

Keywords — Complete graph, Distance eigenvalue of a graph, Distance spectrum of a graph, Distance energy of a graph.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let $V(G)$ be the vertex set of a simple connected graph G with vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p . The distance between the vertices v_i and v_j in G is the length of the shortest path between v_i and v_j in G . The distance matrix of G is denoted by $D = D(G)$, is the square matrix with the diagonal entry $d_{ii} = 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and the non-diagonal entry d_{ij} , where d_{ij} is the distance between the vertices v_i and v_j in G , for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$. The distance polynomial of G is defined as the determinant $|\mu I - D|$, where I is the unit matrix of the order same as that of D . The eigenvalues of D are said to be the distance eigenvalues (D-eigenvalues) of G and the collection of distance eigenvalues of G forms the distance spectrum (D-spectrum) of G , denoted by $\text{spec}_D(G)$.

Since the distance matrix is symmetric, all its eigenvalues $\mu_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, are real and can be labeled, so that $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_p$. If $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_t$ are the distinct D-eigenvalues of G , then the D-spectrum can be written as,

$$\text{Spec}_D(G) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \dots & \mu_t \\ m_1 & m_2 & \dots & m_g \end{pmatrix}$$

Where m_g indicates the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue μ_t such that $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_g = p$.

The distance energy or simply, the D-energy $E_D(G)$ of G is defined as,

$$E_D(G) = \sum_{i=1}^p |\mu_i| \tag{1}$$

The concept of distance energy of a connected graph G is defined in [6]. This definition was motivated by the much older and nowadays extensively studied graph energy defined in a manner fully analogous to Eq. (1), but in terms of the ordinary graph spectrum (collection of eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of a graph)[1 – 17].

Two graphs are said to be distance equienergetic graphs, if they have the same distance energy.



If M is a non – singular square matrix, then $\begin{vmatrix} M & N \\ P & Q \end{vmatrix} = |M||Q - PM^{-1}N|$ (2)

The spectral graph theoretic definitions in this paper follow the book[1]. All graphs considered in this paper are simple and connected.

II. Main Results

Let K_p be the complete graph on p vertices. An edge deleted graph $G - e$ is the subgraph of G obtained by deleting the edge e from G without deleting the end vertices of G .

Two subgraphs G_1 and G_2 are said to be independent, if $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2)$ is an empty set.

Definition 2.1 [12]: Let K_{m_1} and K_{m_2} are the two independent complete subgraphs of a complete graph K_p . The graph obtained by deleting all the edges of $k_1 + k_2$ independent complete subgraphs, K_{m_1} (k_1 copies), K_{m_2} (k_2 copies) from K_p , is denoted by $K_{c_p}(p, (m_1, k_1), (m_2, k_2))$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i \leq p$.

For the sake of brevity, we use $K_{c_p}(p, m, k)$ in place of $K_{c_p}(p, (m_1, k_1), (m_2, k_2))$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $p, m_i, k_i, i = 1, 2$ are positive integers with $\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i \leq p$. Then for $p \geq 3$, the distance polynomial of

$K_{c_p}(p, (m_1, k_1), (m_2, k_2))$ is,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi[K_{c_p}(P, (m_1, k_1), (m_2, k_2)) : \mu] = & \\ & (1 + \mu)^{P - \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - 1} (2 + \mu)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i} (m_1 - \mu - 2)^{k_1 - 1} (m_2 - \mu - 2)^{k_2} \times \\ & \{ (P - m_1 - \mu)(1 + \mu) - \frac{m_2 k_2 (m_2 - m_1)(1 + \mu)}{m_2 - \mu - 2} - [(P - \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - 2(1 + \mu))(m_1 - 1)] \} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let $1, 2, \dots, m_1, m_1+1, m_1+2, \dots, 2m_1, 2m_1+1, 2m_1+2, \dots, m_1 k_1, m_1 k_1+1, m_1 k_1+2, \dots, m_1 k_1+m_2, m_1 k_1+m_2+1, m_1 k_1+m_2+2, \dots, m_1 k_1+m_2 k_2, m_1 k_1+m_2 k_2+1, m_1 k_1+m_2 k_2+2, \dots, p$ are the vertices of K_p and hence the vertices of $K_{c_p}(p, m, k)$.

The distance polynomial of $K_{c_p}(p, m, k)$ is equal to the $\det|\mu I - D|$. In the computations followed, we take $\det|D - \mu I|$ in place of $\det|\mu I - D|$ and at the end the result obtained will be multiplied by $(-1)^p$.

Now, $\det|D - \mu I|$ is equal to

1	2	\dots	m_1	m_1+1	m_1+2	\dots	$2m_1$	$2m_1+1$	$2m_1+2$	\dots	$m_1 k_1$	$m_1 k_1+1$	$m_1 k_1+2$	\dots	$m_1 k_1+m_2$	$m_1 k_1+m_2+1$	$m_1 k_1+m_2+2$	\dots	$m_1 k_1+m_2 k_2$	$m_1 k_1+m_2 k_2+1$	$m_1 k_1+m_2 k_2+2$	\dots	p
-----	-----	---------	-------	---------	---------	---------	--------	----------	----------	---------	-----------	-------------	-------------	---------	---------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------	-------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------	-----

$2m_1 + 2, 2m_1 + 3, \dots, 2m_1$ from $2m_1$

.....

$\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - m_2 + 2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i$ from $\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i + 1$

$$= (1+X)^{m_1-1} \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & m_2 - m_1 & m_2 - m_1 & \dots & m_2 - m_1 \\ c & X & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ c & 0 & X & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c & 0 & 0 & \dots & X & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -X & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1+X & -(1+X) & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1+X & 1 & \dots & -(1+X) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -X & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1+X & -(1+X) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1+X & 0 & \dots & -(1+X) \end{pmatrix}$$

From second column blocks onwards, in each of the column blocks, adding all the columns to first column, we get,

$$= (1+X)^{m_1-1} \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & m_2^2 - m_1 m_2 & m_2 - m_1 & \dots & m_2 - m_1 \\ c & X & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ c & 0 & X & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c & 0 & 0 & \dots & X & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -X + m_1 - 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -(1+X) & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -(1+X) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -X + m_2 - 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -(1+X) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -(1+X) \end{pmatrix}$$

Dividing first column of each column blocks by $-X + m_i - 1$ and subtract all these from C_1 ,

i.e. $C_1 + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 C_{j+1}}{-X + m_2 + 1}$ and setting $\beta = t - \frac{k_2(m_2^2 - m_1 m_2)}{-X + m_2 - 1}$, we get,

$$= (1+X)^{m_1-1} \begin{vmatrix} \beta & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & m_2^2 - m_1 m_2 & m_2 - m_1 & \cdots & m_2 - m_1 \\ c & X & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ c & 0 & X & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c & 0 & 0 & \cdots & X & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -X + m_1 - 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -(1+X) & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -(1+X) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -X + m_2 - 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -(1+X) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -(1+X) \end{vmatrix}$$

On simplification, we get,

$$|M| = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i - m_1 + 1} (1+X)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i} (m_1 - X - 1)^{k_1 - 1} (m_2 - X - 1)^{k_2} \begin{vmatrix} \beta & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ c & X & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & X & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & X \end{vmatrix}$$

Applying $R_i - R_2$ for $i=3,4,\dots,m_1$, we get,

$$|M| = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i - m_1 + 1} (1+X)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i} (m_1 - X - 1)^{k_1 - 1} (m_2 - X - 1)^{k_2} \begin{vmatrix} \beta & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ c & X & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -X & X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -X & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & -X & 0 & 0 & X \end{vmatrix}$$

Operating $C_2 + \sum_{i=3}^{m_1} C_i$, gives

$$= (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i - m_1 + 1} (1+X)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i} (m_1 - X - 1)^{k_1 - 1} (m_2 - X - 1)^{k_2} X^{m_1 - 2} \begin{vmatrix} \beta & m_1 - 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ c & X & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i - m_1 + 1} (1+X)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i} (m_1 - X - 1)^{k_1 - 1} (m_2 - X - 1)^{k_2} X^{m_1 - 2} \begin{vmatrix} \beta & m_1 - 1 \\ c & X \end{vmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Simplification of (5) gives,

$$|M| = X^{m_1 - 2} (1+X)^{\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i} (m_1 - X - 1)^{k_1 - 1} (m_2 - X - 1)^{k_2} \times \left[(p - m_1 - \mu)X - \frac{m_2 k_2 (m_2 - m_1) X}{m_2 - X - 1} - (p - \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - 2X)(m_1 - 1) \right] \quad (5)$$

Using (5) in (3), and multiplying the obtained result by $(-1)^p$ we get the result of Theorem 2.1.

III. DISTANCE SPECTRA AND DISTANCE ENERGY OF $K_{c_p}(p, (m_1, k_1), (m_2, k_2))$

Theorem 3.1. For $p \geq 3$, $\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i < p$, the distance spectra of $K_{c_p}(p, m, k)$ contains the D-eigenvalues

$$\begin{array}{ll} -1 & (p - \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - 1 \text{ times}), \\ -2 & (\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i \text{ times}), \\ m_1 - 2 & (k_1 - 1 \text{ times}), \\ m_2 - 2 & (k_2 - 1 \text{ times}), \\ \alpha_1 & (1 \text{ time}), \\ \alpha_2 & (1 \text{ time}), \\ \alpha_3 & (1 \text{ time}) \end{array}$$

Where α_1, α_2 and α_3 are the roots of the equation

$$\{(p - m_1 - \mu)(m_2 - \mu - 2) - m_2 k_2 (m_2 - m_1)\}(1 + \mu) - \{p - \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - 2(1 + \mu)\}(m_2 - \mu - 2)(m_1 - 1) = 0$$

Proof: Proof follows easily by Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.2. For $p \geq 3$, $\sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i < p$, the distance energy of $K_{c_p}(p, m, k)$ is

$$E_D[K_{c_p}(p, m, k)] = p + 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i k_i - 4 \sum_{i=1}^2 k_i - \sum_{i=1}^2 m_i + 3 + |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\alpha_3|$$

Proof. D-energy of G is given by $E_D(G) = \sum_{i=1}^p |\mu_i|$, where μ_i is D-eigenvalue of G, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Hence the result of

Theorem 3.2. follows by using the D-spectra obtained in Theorem 3.1.

Definition 3.1 [12]: Let $K_{m_i}; i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, are the l independent complete subgraphs of K_p . The graph obtained by deleting all

the edges of $\sum_{i=1}^l k_i$, independent complete subgraphs $K_{m_1}(k_1 \text{ copies}), K_{m_2}(k_2 \text{ copies}), \dots, K_{m_l}(k_l \text{ copies})$ from a complete

graph K_p is denoted by $K_{c_p}(p, (m_1, k_1), (m_2, k_2), \dots, (m_l, k_l))$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^l m_i k_i \leq p$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $p, m_i, k_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ are positive integers with $\sum_{i=1}^l m_i k_i \leq p$. Then for $p \geq 3$, the distance polynomial

of $K_{c_p}(p, (m_1, k_1), (m_2, k_2), \dots, (m_l, k_l))$ is,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(K_{c_p}(p, (m_1, k_1), (m_2, k_2), \dots, (m_l, k_l)) : \mu) = & \\ (1 + \mu)^{p - \sum_{i=1}^l m_i k_i - 1} (2 + \mu)^{\sum_{i=1}^l m_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^l k_i} (m_1 - \mu - 2)^{k_1 - 1} \times & \\ \prod_{i=2}^l (m_i - \mu - 2)^{k_i} \times \{[(p - m_1 - \mu)(1 + \mu) - \sum_{i=2}^l \frac{k_i (1 + \mu)(m_i^2 - m_1 m_i)}{m_i - \mu - 2} - (p - \sum_{i=1}^l m_i k_i - 2\mu - 2)(m_1 - 1)]\} & \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Proof of this theorem is omitted as it follows on the same lines as that of Theorem 2.1.

IV. REFERENCES

- [1] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs. Theory and Applications, Academic Press, New York – London, (1980).
- [2] K. Balasubramanian, Computer Generation of Distance Polynomials of Graphs, Journal of Computational Chemistry, 11 (1990) 829–836.
- [3] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph: Old and new results, in: A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Laue, A. Wassermann(Eds.), Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 001(196–211).
- [4] P. W. Fowler, G. Caporossi and P. Hansen, Distance matrices, Wiener indices, and related invariants of fullerenes, J. Phys. Chem. A. 105 (2001) 6232–6242.
- [5] F. Buckley and F. Harary, Distance in Graphs, Addison Wesley, (1990).
- [6] G. Indulal, I. Gutman and A. Vijayakumar, On distance energy of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60 (2008) 461–472.
- [7] G. Indulal and I. Gutman, On the distance spectra of some graphs, Math. Commun. 13 (2008) 123–131.
- [8] R. Laskar, Eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of cubic lattice graphs, Pacific J. Math. 29(1969) 623–629.
- [9] M. Marcus and H. Mine, A survey of Matrix theory and Matrix inequalities, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, Mass., 1964.
- [10] B. D. McKay, On the spectral characterization of trees, Ars Combin. 3 (1977) 219–232.
- [11] H. S. Ramane, I. Gutman, D. S. Revankar, Distance Equienergetic graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 60, (2008) 473-484
- [12] D. Stevanović and G. Indulal, The distance spectrum and energy of the compositions of regular graphs, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009) 1136–1140.
- [13] P. R. Hampiholi and B. S. Durgi, Characteristic polynomial of some cluster graphs, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics, 37(2) (2013) 369 - 373.
- [14] P. R. Hampiholi, H. B. Walikar and B. S. Durgi, Energy of complement of stars, J. Indones Math. Soc., Vol 19, No. 1 (2013) 15-21.
- [15] P. R. Hampiholi and B. S. Durgi, On the Spectra and Energy of some cluster graphs, Int. J. Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls. (IJMSEA) ISSN 0973-9424, 7(2) (2013) 219-228
- [16] B. S. Durgi, P. R. Hampiholi and S. M. Mekkalike, Distance spectra and Distance energy of some cluster graphs, Mathematica Aeterna 4(8) (2014) 817 – 825
- [17] H. S. Ramane, D. S. Revankar, I. Gutman, S. B. Rao, B. D. Acharya, H. B. walikar, Bounds for Distance energy of a graph, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics, (31)(2008) 59-68.
- [18] Sudhir R. Jog, Prabhakar R. Hampiholi, Anjana S. Joshi, On the energy of some graphs, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 17(1) (2018) 15 – 21.