

GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS TYPE STABILITY OF THE ISOMETRIC ADDITIVE MAPPING IN QUASI-BANACH SPACES

LY VAN AN

Faculty of Mathematics Teacher Education, Tay Ninh University, Ninh Trung, Ninh Son, Tay Ninh Province, Vietnam .Email address : lyvanan145@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study to solve the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the isometric additive mappings in quasi-Banach spaces, associated to additive functional equation with $2k$ -variables. First are investigated results the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the isometric in quasi-Banach spaces, and last are investigated isometric in p -Banach spaces. Then I will show that the solutions of equation are additive mapping. These are the main results of this paper.

Keywords: Cauchy type additive, functional equation, Jensen functional equation isometric in quasi-Banach spaces, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias, stability; p -Banach spaces
Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B72, 46B04, 47Jxx, 51Kxx

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} be a normed spaces on the same field \mathbb{K} , and $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping. We use the notation $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ ($\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$) for corresponding the norms on \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} . In this paper, we investigate the stability of isometric when \mathbf{X} is a quasi-normed vector space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ and that \mathbf{Y} is a quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$ or when \mathbf{X} is a quasi-normed vector space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ and that \mathbf{Y} is a p -Banach space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$.

In fact, when \mathbf{X} is a quasi-normed vector space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ and that \mathbf{Y} is a quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$ when \mathbf{X} is a quasi-normed vector space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ and that \mathbf{Y} is a p -Banach space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$.

we solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the isometric in quasi-Banach spaces, associated to the Cauchy type additive functional equation and Jensen type additive functional equation

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

$$2kf\left(\frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{2k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) \quad (1.2)$$

The study of the functional equation stability originated from a question of S.M. Ulam [22], concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Let $(\mathbb{G}, *)$ be a group and let

(\mathbb{G}', \circ, d) be a metric group with metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if $f : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}'$ satisfies

$$d\left(f(x * y), f(x) \circ f(y)\right) < \delta$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{G}$ then there is a homomorphism $h : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}'$ with

$$d\left(f(x), h(x)\right) < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{G}$?, if the answer, is affirmative, we would say that equation of homomorphism $h(x * y) = h(y) \circ h(x)$ is stable. The concept of stability for a functional equation arises when we replace functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. Thus the stability question of functional equations is that how do the solutions of the inequality differ from those of the given function equation? Hyers[11] gave a first affirmative answer the question of Ulam as follows:

(D. H. Hyers) Let \mathbf{X} , and \mathbf{Y} be Banach space. Assume that $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbf{X}$ and some $\epsilon \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$, such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \epsilon, \forall x \in \mathbf{X}.$$

Next Th. M. Rassias [18] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded:

(Th. M. Rassias.) Consider \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} to be two Banach spaces, and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping such that $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed x . Assume that there exist $\theta > 0$ and $p \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \forall x, y \in \mathbf{X}.$$

then there exists a unique linear $L : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p, x \in \mathbf{X}.$$

Beginning around the year 1980 the topic of approximate homomorphisms, or the stability of the equation of homomorphism, was studied by a number of mathematicians. Găvruta following Th.M. Rassias approach for the stability of the linear mapping between Banach spaces obtained a generalization of Th.M. Rassias Theorem. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [2,...,11]). More special in 2008 Chun-Gil Park^{1*} and Themistocles M. Rassias [10] have established the and investigated the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the isometric in quasi-Banach spaces concerning to the following Cauchy functional equation and Jensen functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

$$2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = f(x) + f(y)$$

Recently, in [2-11] the authors studied the on Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability the isometric in quasi-Banach spaces, associated to the Cauchy type following additive functional equation and Jensen type additive functional equation.

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right)$$

and

$$2kf\left(\frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{2k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right)$$

,

ie the functional equation with $2k$ -variables. Under suitable assumptions on spaces \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} , we will prove that the mappings satisfying the functional (??) and (??). Thus, the results in this paper are generalization of those in [2-11] for functional equation with $2k$ -variables.

The paper is organized as follows:

In section preliminarie we remind some basic notations in [12-17] such as Banach space, quasi-Banach space, p-Banach spaces, generalized quasi-normed space, generalized quasi-Banach space, normed linear space, isometry, preserves distance for the mapping f and solutions of the Cauchy function equation.

Section 3 is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the isometric in quasi-Banach space of the additive functional equations when \mathbf{X} is a quasi-normed vector space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ and that \mathbf{Y} is a quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$

Section 4 is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of the isometri in quasi-Banach spaces of the additive functional equations when \mathbf{X} is a quasi-normed vector space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ and that \mathbf{Y} is a p-Banach space with quasi-norm with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Banach spaces.

Definition 2.1. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a normed space \mathbf{X} .

- (1) A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in a space \mathbf{X} is a Cauchy sequence iff the sequence $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to zero.
- (2) The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is said to be convergent if, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there are a positive integer N and $x \in \mathbf{X}$ such that

$$\|x_n - x\| \leq \epsilon, \forall n \geq N,$$

for all $n, m \geq N$. Then the point $x \in \mathbf{X}$ is called the limit of sequence x_n and denote $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

- (3) If every sequence Cauchy in \mathbb{X} converges, then the normed space \mathbf{X} is called a Banach space.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathbf{X} be a real linear space. A quasi-norm is a real-valued function on \mathbf{X} satisfying the following :

- (1) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
- (2) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.
- (3) There is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\|x + y\| \leq K(\|x\| + \|y\|), \forall x, y \in \mathbf{X}.$$

The pair $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a quasi-normed space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi-norm on \mathbf{X} .

The smallest possible K is called the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|$.

A quasi-Banach space is a complete quasi-normed space.

A quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a p -norm ($0 < p \leq 1$) if

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p \forall x, y \in \mathbf{X}.$$

In this case, a quasi-Banach space is called a p -Banach space

Definition 2.3. Let \mathbf{X} be a real linear space. A generalized quasi-normed space is a real-valued function on \mathbf{X} satisfying the following :

- (1) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
- (2) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.
- (3) There is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \right\| \leq K \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|x_j\|, \forall x_1, x_2, \dots \in \mathbf{X}.$$

The pair $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a generalized quasi-normed space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a generalized quasi-norm on \mathbf{X} . The smallest possible K is called the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|$.

A generalized quasi-Banach space is a complete generalized quasi-normed space.

A generalized quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a p -norm ($0 < p \leq 1$) if

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p \forall x, y \in \mathbf{X}.$$

In this case, a generalized quasi-Banach space is called a generalized p -Banach space

Definition 2.4. Let \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} be metric space. A mapping $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is called an isometry if f satisfies

$$d_{\mathbf{Y}}(f(x), f(y)) = d_{\mathbf{X}}(x, y), \forall x, y \in \mathbf{X}.$$

Where $d_{\mathbf{X}}(\cdot, \cdot), d_{\mathbf{Y}}(\cdot, \cdot)$, denote the metrics in the space \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} , respectively.

Definition 2.5. For r be a fixed positive number, suppose that f preserves distance r , ie, for all $x, y \in \mathbf{X}$ with $d_{\mathbf{X}}(x, y) = r$, we have $(f(x), f(y)) = r$. Then r is called a preserves distance for the mapping f .

Definition 2.6. Let $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(\mathbf{Y}, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed space. A mapping $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is called an isometry if

$$\|H(x) - H(y)\| = \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in \mathbf{X}.$$

2.2. Solutions of the inequalities. The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the cauchy equation is said to be an *additive mapping*.

3. STABILITY OF EQUATION

Now, we first study the solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). Note that for this equations when \mathbf{X} is a quasi-normed vector space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ and that \mathbf{Y} is a quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$. Under this setting, we can show that the mapping satisfying (??) and (??) is additive. These results are give in the following.

Theorem 3.1. Let $r > 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{k+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \quad (3.1)$$

$$\left| \|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \leq (n + n^{r+1})\theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, x_j, x_{j+n} \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique isometric Cauchy type additive mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{(n + n^{r+1}) \cdot K\theta}{(2n)^r - 2n} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Letting $x_j = x, x_{n+j} = nx$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ by the hypothesis (??), we have

$$\left\| f(2nx) - 2nf(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq (n + n^{r+1})\theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 2nf\left(\frac{x}{2n}\right) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{(n + n^{r+1})\theta}{(2n)^r} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r.$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| (2n)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^l}\right) - (2n)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^m}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \mathbf{K} \sum_{j=l+1}^m \frac{(2n)^j \theta}{(2n)^{jr}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.5)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and $\forall x \in X$. It follows from (??) that the sequence $\left\{ (2n)^h f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^h}\right) \right\}$ is a cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete space, the sequence $\left\{ (2n)^h f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^h}\right) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (2n)^h f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^h}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. By (??)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n H\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (2n)^h \left\| f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right)\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h} x_j\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h} \frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta (2n)^h}{(2n)^{hr}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{k+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, x_{j+n} \in \mathbf{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. So

$$H\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n H(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n H\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (??), we get (??). Now we prove the uniqueness of H . Assume that $H_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ is an additive mapping

satisfying (??). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| H(x) - H_1(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
&= (2n)^h \left\| H\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) + H_1\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
&\leq (2n)^h \mathbf{K} \left(\left\| H\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} + \left\| f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) + H_1\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2(2n)^h \cdot \mathbf{K}^2 \theta}{((2n)^r - 2n)(2n)^{hr}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r
\end{aligned}$$

as which tends to zero as $h \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So we can conclude that

$$H(x) = H_1(x)$$

This proves the uniqueness of H . It follows from (??) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left\| (2n)^h f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| &= (2n)^h \left| \left\| f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \left\| \frac{1}{(2n)^h}x \right\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \\
&\leq (n + n^{r+1}) \theta \frac{(2n)^h}{(2n)^{hr}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

which tends to zero as $h \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So

$$\left\| H(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (2n)^h f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^h}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since H is additive,

$$\left\| H(x) - H(y) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \left\| H(x - y) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{X}}$$

For all $x, y \in \mathbf{X}$, as desired. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let $r < 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
&\leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{k+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\left| \left\| f(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \leq (n + n^{r+1}) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \tag{3.8}$$

for all $x_j, x_{j+n} \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique isometric additive mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{(n + n^{r+1}) \cdot \mathbf{K}\theta}{2n - (2n)^r} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Letting $x_j = x, x_{n+j} = nx$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ by the hypothesis (??), we have

$$\left\| f(2nx) - 2nf(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq (n + n^{r+1})\theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2n}f(2nx) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{(n + n^{r+1})\theta}{2n} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r.$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| \frac{1}{(2n)^l}f\left((2n)^l x\right) - \frac{1}{(2n)^m}f\left((2n)^m x\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{(n + n^{r+1})\mathbf{K}}{2n} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2n\theta}{(2n)^j} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.11)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows from (??) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(2n)^h}f\left((2n)^h x\right) \right\}$ is a cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since \mathbb{X} is complete space, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(2n)^h}f\left((2n)^h x\right) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^h}f\left((2n)^h x\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 3.1. □

Theorem 3.3. Let $r < 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2nf\left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{n+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\left| \|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \leq \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (3.13)$$

for all $x_j, x_{j+n} \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique isometric Jensen additive mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\left\| f(x) - H(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{\left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \cdot \mathbf{K}^2 \theta}{3 - 3^r} \left\| x \right\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Letting $x_j = -x, x_{n+j} = nx$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ by the hypothesis (??), we have

$$\left\| -nf(-x) - nf(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq (n + n^{r+1})\theta \left\| x \right\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.15)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So Letting $x_{n+j} = 3nx$ and replacing x_j by $-x$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ in the hypothesis (??), we have

$$\left\| 2nf(x) - nf(-x) - nf(3x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \left(n + n(3n)^r \right) \theta \left\| x \right\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.16)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| 3nf(x) - nf(3x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \mathbf{K} \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \left\| x \right\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.17)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{3}f(3x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{\mathbf{K}^2}{3n} \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \left\| x \right\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.18)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

So

$$\left\| \frac{1}{3^l}f(3^l x) - \frac{1}{3^m}f(3^m x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{\mathbf{K}^2}{3n} \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{3^{jr}\theta}{3^j} \left\| x \right\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.19)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows from (??) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{3^h}f(3^h x) \right\}$ is a cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since Y is complete space, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{3^h}f(3^h x) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^h}f(3^h x)$$

for all $x \in X$. By (??)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2nH\left(\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2}\sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n H\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^h} \left\| 2nf\left(3^h\left(\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2}\sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n f(3^h x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(3^h \frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
&\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \theta \frac{3^{hr}}{3^h} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{k+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, x_{j+n} \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. So

$$2nH\left(\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2}\sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n H(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n H\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (??), we get (??). Now we prove the uniqueness of H . Assume that $H_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ is an additive mapping satisfying (??). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| H(x) - H_1(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
&= \frac{1}{3^h} \left\| H(3^h x) + H_1(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{3^h} \mathbf{K} \left(\left\| H(3^h x) - f(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} + \left\| f(3^h x) + H_1(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \right) \\
&\leq 2 \frac{\left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \cdot \mathbf{K}^2 \theta}{(3 - 3^r) 3^h} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r
\end{aligned}$$

as which tends to zero as $h \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So we can conclude that

$$H(x) = H_1(x)$$

This proves the uniqueness of H . It follows from (??) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left\| \frac{1}{3^h} f(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| &= \frac{1}{3^h} \left| \left\| f(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \left\| \frac{x}{3^h} \right\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \\
&\leq \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \frac{3^{hr}}{3^h} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

which tends to zero as $h \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So

$$\left\| H(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{3^h} f(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since H is additive ,

$$\left\| H(x) - H(y) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \left\| H(x - y) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{X}}$$

For all $x, y \in \mathbb{X}$, as desired. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $r > 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satifsfyng*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2nf\left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{n+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\left| \left\| f(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \leq \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (3.22)$$

for all $x_j, x_{j+n} \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique isometric Jensen additive mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\left\| f(x) - H(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{\left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \cdot \mathbf{K}^2 \theta}{3^r - 3} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. Letting $x_j = -x, x_{n+j} = nx$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ by the hypothesis (3.13), we have

$$\left\| -nf(-x) - nf(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq (n + n^{r+1}) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.24)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So Letting $x_{n+j} = 3nx$ and replacing x_j by $-x$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ in the hypothesis (3.13), we have

$$\left\| 2nf(x) - nf(-x) - nf(3x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \left(n + n(3n)^r \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.25)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| 3nf(x) - nf(3x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \mathbf{K} \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.26)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 3f\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{\mathbf{K}}{3^r n} \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (3.27)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

So

$$\left\| 3^l f\left(\frac{1}{3^l}x\right) - 3^m f\left(\frac{1}{3^m}x\right) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{K^2}{3^r n} \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{3^j \theta}{3^{rj}} \|x\|^r. \quad (3.28)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and $\forall x \in X$. It follows from (3.20) that the sequence $\left\{ 3^h f\left(\frac{1}{3^h}x\right) \right\}$ is a cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete space, the sequence $\left\{ 3^h f\left(\frac{1}{3^h}x\right) \right\}$ coverges.

So one can define the mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} 3^h \left(\frac{1}{3^h} x \right)$$

for all $x \in X$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 3.3 \square

4. STABILITY OF THE ISOMETRIC ADDITIVE MAPPING IN GENERALIZED P-BANACH SPACE

Now, we first study the solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). Note that for this equations when \mathbf{X} is a quasi-normed vector space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ and that \mathbf{Y} is a p-Banach space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$. Under this setting, we can show that the mapping satisfying (??) and (??) is additive. These results are give in the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $r > 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{n+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\left| \|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \leq (n + n^{r+1}) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x, x_j, x_{n+j} \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. then there exists a unique isometric Cauchy type additive $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{(n + n^{r+1}) \theta}{\left((2n)^{pr} - (2n)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Letting $x_j = x, x_{n+j} = nx$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ by the hypothesis (??), we have

$$\left\| f(2nx) - 2nf(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq (n + n^{r+1}) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (4.4)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 2nf\left(\frac{x}{2n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq (n + n^{r+1}) \frac{\theta}{(2n)^r} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r.$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since \mathbb{Y} is a p-Banach space,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (2n)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^l}\right) - (2n)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^m}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}}^p \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| (2n)^j f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^j}\right) - (2n)^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}}^p \\ & \leq (n + n^{r+1})^p \frac{\theta^p}{(2n)^{pr}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{(2n)^{pj}}{(2n)^{prj}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^{pr}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since \mathbb{Y} is a p-Banach spaces

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows from (??) that the sequence $\left\{ (2n)^h f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^h}\right) \right\}$ is a cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since \mathbb{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ (2n)^h f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^h}\right) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (2n)^h f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^h}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (??) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n H\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (2n)^h n \left\| f\left[\frac{1}{(2n)^h} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right)\right] - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h} x_j\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h} \frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta (2n)^h}{(2n)^{hr}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{n+j}\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \right) \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, x_{n+j} \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. So

$$H\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n H(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n H\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (??), we get (??).

Now we prove the uniqueness of H . Assume that $H_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ is an additive mapping satisfying (??). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| H(x) - H_1(x) \right\|_Y^p \\
&= (2n)^{ph} \left\| H\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n}x\right) - H_1\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^{ph}}x\right) \right\|_Y^p \\
&\leq (2n)^h \mathbf{K}^p \left(\left\| H\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) \right\|_Y^p + \left\| f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) - H_1\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) \right\|_Y^p \right) \\
&\leq 2 \frac{\mathbf{K}^p (n + n^{r+1})^p \theta^p}{\left((2n)^{pr} - (2n)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \frac{(2n)^{ph}}{(2n)^{phr}} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^{pr}
\end{aligned}$$

. which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So we can conclude that $H(x) = H_1(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. This proves the uniqueness of H .

It follows from (??) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\| (2n)^h f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^p}x\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| = (2n)^h \left| \left\| f\left(\frac{1}{(2n)^h}x\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \left\| \frac{1}{(2n)^h}x \right\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \\
& \leq (n + n^{r+1}) \theta \frac{(2n)^h}{(2n)^{hr}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

which tends to zero as $h \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So

$$\left\| H(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (2n)^h f\left(\frac{x}{(2n)^h}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since H is additive,

$$\left\| H(x) - H(y) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \left\| H(x - y) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{X}}$$

For all $x, y \in \mathbf{X}$, as desired. \square

Theorem 4.2. Let $r < 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{n+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \quad (4.7)$$

$$\left| \|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \leq (n + n^{r+1})\theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (4.8)$$

for all $x, x_j, x_{n+j} \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. then there exists a unique isometric Cauchy type additive $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{(n + n^{r+1})\theta}{\left((2n)^p - (2n)^{pr} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (4.9)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 3.2.

Theorem 4.3. Let $r < 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\left\| 2nf\left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{k+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\left| \|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \leq \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (4.11)$$

for all $x_j, x_{j+n} \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique isometric Jensen additive mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{\left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \cdot \mathbf{K}^2 \theta}{(3^p - 3^{pr})^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Letting $x_j = -x, x_{n+j} = nx$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ by the hypothesis (??), we have

$$\left\| -nf(-x) - nf(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq (n + n^{r+1})\theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (4.13)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So Letting $x_{n+j} = 3nx$ and replacing x_j by $-x$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ in the hypothesis (??), we have

$$\left\| 2nf(x) - nf(-x) - nf(3x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \left(n + n(3n)^r \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (4.14)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| 3nf(x) - nf(3x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \mathbf{K} \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (4.15)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{3}f(3x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{\mathbf{K}}{3n} \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (4.16)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

So

$$\left\| \frac{1}{3^l}f(3^l x) - \frac{1}{3^m}f(3^m x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}}^p \leq \frac{\mathbf{K}^p}{3n} \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{3^{jr}\theta}{3^j} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r. \quad (4.17)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows from (??) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{3^h}f(3^h x) \right\}$ is a cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since Y is complete space, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{3^h}f(3^h x) \right\}$ coverges.

So one can define the mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^h}f(3^h x)$$

for all $x \in X$. By (??)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2nH\left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n H(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n H\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^h} \left\| 2nf\left(3^h \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right)\right) - \sum_{j=1}^n f(3^h x_j) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(3^h \frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \theta \frac{3^{hr}}{3^h} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{k+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so for all $x_j, x_{j+n} \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

$$2nH\left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n H(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n H\left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (??), we get (??). Now we prove the uniqueness of H . Assume that $H_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ is an additive mapping satisfying (??). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| H(x) - H_1(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &= \frac{1}{3^h} \left\| H(3^h x) + H_1(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3^h} \mathbf{K} \left(\left\| H(3^h x) - f(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} + \left\| f(3^h x) + H_1(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \right) \\ &\leq 2 \frac{\left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \cdot \mathbf{K}^2 \theta}{(3 - 3^r) 3^h} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \end{aligned}$$

as which tends to zero as $h \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So we can conclude that

$$H(x) = H_1(x)$$

This proves the uniqueness of H . It follows from (??) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\| \frac{1}{3^h} f(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| &= \frac{1}{3^h} \left| \left\| f(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \left\| \frac{x}{3^h} \right\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \\ &\leq \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \frac{3^{hr}}{3^h} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

which tends to zero as $h \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So

$$\left\| H(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{3^h} f(3^h x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since H is additive ,

$$\left\| H(x) - H(y) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \left\| H(x - y) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} = \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{X}}$$

For all $x, y \in \mathbf{X}$, as desired. □

Theorem 4.4. Let $r > 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2nf \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j + \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{n+j} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n f \left(\frac{x_{n+j}}{n} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{k+j}\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

and

$$\left| \|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} - \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}} \right| \leq \left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \theta \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (4.20)$$

for all $x_j, x_{j+n} \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique isometric Jensen additive mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{\left((3^r + 1)n^{r+1} + 2n \right) \cdot \mathbf{K}^2 \theta}{(3^{pr} - 3^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (4.21)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of theorems 3.1 and 4.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach space, J. Math. Soc. Japan 2(1950), 64-66.
- [2] Ly Van An Generalized Hyers-Ulam type stability of the additive functional equation inequalities with 2n-variables on an approximate group and ring homomorphism Volume: 4 Issue: 2, (2020) Pages:161-175 Available online at www.asiamath.org.
- [3] A.Bahyrycz, M. Piszczek, Hyers stability of the Jensen function equation, Acta Math. Hungar.,142 (2014),353-365.
- [4] J. Baker, isometries in normed spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971), 655-658.
- [5] M.Balcerowski, On the functional equations related to a problem of z Boros and Z. Dróczy, Acta Math. Hungar.,138 (2013), 329-340.
- [6] G. Dolinar, Generalized stability of isometries, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 242 (2000), 39-56.
- [7] J. Gevirtz, Stability of isometries on Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1983), 633-636.
- [8] P. Gruber, Stability of isometries, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 245 (1978), 263-277.
- [9] Pascus. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam -Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), 431-436. .
- [10] Chun-Gil Park^{1*} and Themistocles M.Rassias: Isometric additive mappings in generalized quasi-Banach spaces Banach J. Math. Anal. 2 (2008), no. 1, 59-69 ISSN: 1735-8787 (electronic) <http://www.math-analysis.org>. .
- [11] Donald H. Hyers, On the stability of the functional equation, Proceedings of the National Academy of the United States of America, 27 (4) (1941), 222.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>, .
- [12] Y. Ma, The Aleksandrov problem for unit distance preserving mapping, Acta Math. Sci. 20 (2000), 359-364..
- [13] S. Mazur and S. Ulam, Sur les transformation despaces vectoriels normed, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 194 (1932), 946-948. .
- [14] B. Mielnik and Th.M. Rassias, On the Aleksandrov problem of conservative distances, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992), 1115-1118. .
- [15] Th.M. Rassias, Properties of isometric mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 235 (1997), 108-121. .
- [16] Th.M. Rassias, On the A.D. Aleksandrov problem of conservative distances and the MazurUlam theorem, Nonlinear Analysis-Theory, Methods Applications 47 (2001), 2597-2608. .
- [17] Th.M. Rassias and P. Semrl, ? On the Mazur-Ulam theorem and the Aleksandrov problem for unit distance preserving mapping, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), 919-925..
- [18] Themistocles M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach space, proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 27 (1978), 297-300. <https://doi.org/10.2307/s00010-003-2684-8>.

- [19] Th.M. Rassias and S. Xiang, On mappings with conservative distances and the MazurUlam theorem, Publications Faculty Electrical Engineering, Univ. Belgrade, Series: Math.11 (2000), 1-8. .
- [20] S. Rolewicz, Metric Linear Spaces, PWN-Polish Sci. Publ., Reidel and Dordrecht, 1984. .
- [21] C. Park,Y. Cho, M. Han. *Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Newman-type additive functional equations* , *J. Inequality .Appl.* ,2007(2007), Article ID 41820, 13 pages.
- [22] S M. ULam. A collection of Mathematical problems, volume 8, Interscience Publishers. New York,1960.