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#### Abstract

In this article, we factor the composite $4 n^{2}+1$ using Fermat's factorization method. Consequently, we characterized all proper factors of composite $4 n^{2}+1$ in terms of its form. Furthermore, the composite Fermat's number is considered in this study.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Fermat's factorization is a method in factoring the odd composite natural number $N$ by expressing it as difference of two squares. If $N=a b$ where $N$ is odd positive composite number, and $a$ and $b$ are proper factor of $N$, then $N$ can be written as

$$
N=c^{2}-d^{2}=(c+d)(c-d)
$$

where $c=\frac{a+b}{2}$ and $d=\frac{b-a}{2}[1]$. For example, if we want to factor 9797 using Fermat's factorization method, then the goal is to expressed 9797 as a difference of two square, that is, $9797=c^{2}-d^{2}$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. In solving $c$ and $d$, note that $d^{2}=c^{2}-9797$ implies that $c \geq\lceil\sqrt{9797}\rceil$, that is, $c \geq 99$. If $c=99$, then $d^{2}=99^{2}-9797=4$ is a perfect square, and thus, $9797=(99-2)(99+2)$. In general, the Fermat's method might be slower than trial and error method to apply. In fact, Fermat's factorization works best to $N$ when there is a factor $a$ of $N$ such that $a$ is near to $\sqrt{N}[1]$. Thus, some improvement is necessary to make the Fermat's method effective [1,7,8,9]. In 1999, R. Lehman devised a systematic method to improve the Fermat's method by multiplier improvement so that the Fermat's method plus trial division can be factor $N$ in $O\left(N^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)$ time [1].

In this article, we study the Fermat's factorization of composite $4 n^{2}+1$ and its proper factors. More precisely speaking, we proved that:

1. If $n$ is even, then every proper factors of composite $N=4 n^{2}+1$ is can be expressed as

$$
8 u+1 \pm \sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}
$$

where $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and
1.1. $(8 u+1)-N$ is a perfect square.
1.2. $u \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-5}{40}\right)$.
1.3. $u \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
1.4. For all odd prime $p$ does not divide $N, u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-2}{16}(\bmod p)$, for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.
2. If $n$ is odd, then every proper factors of composite $N=4 n^{2}+1$ is can be expressed as

$$
8 u+3 \pm \sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N}
$$

where $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and
2.1. $(8 u+3)^{2}-N$ is a perfect square.
2.2. $u \in\left[\frac{-3+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-15}{8}\right)$.
2.3. $4 u+1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
2.4. For all odd prime $p$ does not divide $N, u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-6}{16}(\bmod p)$, for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.

The main results characterized all proper factors of $4 n^{2}+1$ in terms of its form.

## II. MAIN RESULTS

First, we study $4 n^{2}+1$ when $n$ is even. The following lemma is vital in the proof of main results of this study. The proof of Lemma 2.1 follows from the fact that every factor of $16 m^{2}+1$ is can be expressed as $4 a+1$ where $a$ is a positive integer [5].
Lemma 2.1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $16 m^{2}+1$ is composite, then there is a natural number $b \leq \frac{-1+\sqrt{16 m^{2}+1}}{4}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}+b^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod (4 b+1)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $4 b+1$ is a proper factor of $16 m^{2}+1$.

Proof: Assume $16 m^{2}+1$ is composite. Then, by [5] there exists a natural number $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 m^{2}+1=(4 a+1)(4 b+1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $4 b+1 \leq \sqrt{16 m^{2}+1}$ is a proper factor of $16 m^{2}+1$. Manipulate equation in (2), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 m^{2}=4 a b+a+b \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, there exists $u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $4 u=a+b$. Replacing $a=4 u-b$ and $a+b=4 u$ in equation (3), then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 m^{2}=4(4 u-b) b+4 u \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}+b^{2}=u(4 b+1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b \leq \frac{-1+\sqrt{16 m^{2}+1}}{4}$, as desired.

The following proposition follows from lemma 2.1.
Proposition 2.2. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $N=16 m^{2}+1$ is composite, then there exists a natural number $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left(8 u+1+\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}\right)\left(8 u+1-\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

1. $(8 u+1)-N$ is a perfect square.
2. $u \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-5}{40}\right)$.
3. $u \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
4. For all odd prime $p$ does not divide $N, u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-2}{16}(\bmod p)$, for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.

Furthermore, the following holds:
5. If $n$ is even, then $u \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
6. If $n$ is not divisible by 3 , then $u \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.

Conversely, if there exists $u \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-5}{40}\right)$ where $(8 u+1)-N$ is a perfect square, then $N$ is composite.

Proof: Assume $N=16 m^{2}+1$ is composite, then by lemma 2.1 there exists a natural number $b$ and natural number $u$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{m^{2}+b^{2}}{4 b+1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $4 b+1$ is a proper factor of $N$. Consider the quadratic polynomial defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{2}-2(8 u+1) x+N \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f(4 b+1)=0$ and the product of the roots of $f(x)$ is $N$, and thus, every roots of $f(x)$ is a proper factor of $N$.
Computing the zeroes $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ of $f(x)$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1}=8 u+1-\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}  \tag{9}\\
& r_{2}=8 u+1+\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left(8 u+1+\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}\right)\left(8 u+1-\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. Since $r_{1}=8 u+1-\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N} \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(8 u+1)-N$ is a perfect square.
2. Note that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(8 u+1)^{2}-N \geq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solve the inequality in (12) with the assumption that $u>0$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \geq \frac{-1+\sqrt{N}}{8} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by Rolle's theorem, there exists $\theta \in\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \subset\left(r_{1}, \frac{N}{5}\right]$ where $f^{\prime}(\theta)=0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \theta-2(8 u+1)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $u=\frac{\theta-1}{8}$. Since $\theta \in\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \subset\left(r_{1}, \frac{N}{5}\right]$, then $u \leq \frac{N-5}{40}$. Therefore, we will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-5}{40}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. We claim that $u \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Indeed, assume there is a prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ where $u \equiv$ $0(\bmod p)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{1}^{2}-2(8 u+1) r_{1}+N=0 \\
r_{1}^{2}-2(8 u+1) r_{1}+N \equiv 0(\bmod p) \\
r_{1}^{2}-2 r_{1}+16 m^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \\
\left(r_{1}-1\right)^{2}+(4 m)^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod p)
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $\left(r_{1}-1\right)^{2}+(4 m)^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ is impossible for $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, a contradiction.
4. Let $p$ be an odd prime where $N \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Then, we claim that $u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-2}{16}(\bmod p)$, for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$. Indeed, since $f(4 b+1)=0$, then $f(x)$ is reducible over $\mathbb{Z}$, and thus, $f(x)$ is reducible over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Therefore, there is $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{2}-2(8 u+1) x_{0}+N \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $N \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, then $x_{0} \neq 0$. Thus, from equation in (16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-2}{16}(\bmod p) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.
5. Suppose $n$ is even. We claim that $u \not \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Indeed, if $u \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $u=4 k+2$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{2}-2(8(4 k+2)+1) x+N \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $x=4 y+1$ in equation $x^{2}-2(8(4 k+2)+1) x+N=0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}-4(4 k+2) y-(4 k+2)+n^{2}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f(x)$ is reducible over $\mathbb{Z}$, then the polynomial $g(x)=x^{2}-4(4 k+2) x-(4 k+2)+n^{2}$ is also reducible over $\mathbb{Z}$ in which one of the zeroes is $b$. Notice that $2 \nmid 1,2|4(4 k+2), 2|\left(-(4 k+2)+n^{2}\right)$ but $2^{2} \nmid\left(-(4 k+2)+n^{2}\right)$, so by Eisenstein Criterion theorem [6], $g(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $u \not \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
6. The proof is follow from statement 3 by setting $p=3$.

Conversely, if there exists $u \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-5}{40}\right)$ where $(8 u+1)-N$ is a perfect square, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left(8 u+1+\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}\right)\left(8 u+1-\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N$ is prime, then $8 u+1-\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}=1$, and hence, $u=m^{2}$ which is a contradiction since $u \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-5}{40}\right)$. Therefore, $N$ is composite.

The equation in (6) is called the Fermat's factorization of $16 m^{2}+1$. Note that statements $1-6$ of proposition 2.2 states the property of all factors of $16 m^{2}+1$ if we factor $16 m^{2}+1$ using Fermat's factorization method. This also gives a sieve method to determine the proper factor of composite $16 m^{2}+1$. The next result characterized all proper factor of composite $16 m^{2}+1$ in terms of its structure.

Proposition 2.3. Every proper factors of composite $N=16 m^{2}+1$ is can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 u+1 \pm \sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and

1. $(8 u+1)-N$ is a perfect square.
2. $u \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-5}{40}\right)$.
3. $u \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
4. For all odd prime $p$ does not divide $N, u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-2}{16}(\bmod p)$, for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.

Furthermore, the following holds:
5. If $n$ is even, then $u \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
6. If $n$ is not divisible by 3 , then $u \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.

Proof: The results follow directly from Proposition 2.2. Furthermore, (21) follows from (9) and (10).

Now, we study the factorization of $4 n^{2}+1$ where $n$ is odd number using Fermat's factorization method.
Proposition 2.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $N=4(2 m+1)^{2}+1$ is composite, then there is $u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left(8 u+3+\sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N}\right)\left(8 u+3-\sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

1. $(8 u+3)^{2}-N$ is a perfect square.
2. $u \in\left[\frac{-3+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-15}{8}\right)$.
3. $4 u+1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
4. For all odd prime $p$ does not divide $N, u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-6}{16}(\bmod p)$, for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.

Conversely, if there exists $u \in\left[\frac{-3+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-15}{8}\right)$ where $(8 u+3)-N$ is a perfect square, then $N$ is composite.
Proof: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $4(2 m+1)^{2}+1=(4 a+1)(4 b+1)$. Then, $a+b=4\left(m^{2}+m-a b\right)+1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $u=$ $m^{2}+m-a b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=4 u+1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Fermat's factorization method in $4(2 m+1)^{2}+1$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left(2 a+2 b+1+\sqrt{(2 a+2 b+1)^{2}-N}\right)\left(2 a+2 b+1-\sqrt{(2 a+2 b+1)^{2}-N}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left(8 u+3+\sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N}\right)\left(8 u+3-\sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. Since $8 u+3+\sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N} \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(8 u+3)^{2}-N$ is a perfect square.
2. Note that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(8 u+3)^{2}-N \geq 0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the inequality in (26) with the assumption that $u>0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \geq \frac{-3+\sqrt{N}}{8} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the quadratic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{2}-2(8 u+3) x+N \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f(4 b+1)=0$ and the product of the roots of $f(x)$ is $N$, then all roots of $f$ is a proper factor of $N$. Let $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ be the roots of $f(x)$. Applying Rolle's theorem, then there is $\theta \in\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \subset\left(r_{1}, \frac{N}{5}\right]$ where $f^{\prime}(\theta)=0$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \theta-2(8 u+3)=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\theta=8 u+3>\frac{N}{5}$, and thus, $u>\frac{N-15}{8}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in\left[\frac{-3+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-15}{8}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. We claim that $4 u+1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Indeed, assume there is a prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ where $4 u+1 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{1}^{2}-2(8 u+3) r_{1}+N=0 \\
r_{1}^{2}-2(2(4 u+1)+1) r_{1}+N \equiv 0(\bmod p) \\
r_{1}^{2}-2 r_{1}+16 m^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \\
\left(r_{1}-1\right)^{2}+(4 m)^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod p)
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $\left(r_{1}-1\right)^{2}+(4 m)^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ is impossible for $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, a contradiction.
4. Since $f$ is reducible over $\mathbb{Z}$, then $f$ is reducible over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, for all odd prime $p$. Thus, there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{2}-2(8 u+3) x_{0}+N \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $N \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, then $x_{0} \neq 0$. Thus, from equation in (31) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-6}{16}(\bmod p) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.
Conversely, if there exists $u \in\left[\frac{-3+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-15}{40}\right)$ where $(8 u+3)-N$ is a perfect square, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left(8 u+3+\sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N}\right)\left(8 u+3-\sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N$ is prime, then $8 u+3-\sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N}=1$, and hence, $u=m^{2}+m$ which is a contradiction since $u \in\left[\frac{-3+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-15}{40}\right)$. Therefore, $N$ is composite.

The equation in (22) is called the Fermat's factorization of $16(2 m+1)^{2}+1$. Note that statements $1-4$ of proposition 2.4 states the property of all factors of $16(2 m+1)^{2}+1$ if we factor $16(2 m+1)^{2}+1$ using Fermat's factorization method. This also gives a sieve method to determine the proper factor of composite $16(2 m+1)^{2}+1$. The next result characterized all proper factor of composite $16(2 m+1)^{2}+1$ in terms of its structure.

Proposition 2.5. Every proper factors of composite $N=16 m^{2}+1$ is can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 u+3 \pm \sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and

1. $(8 u+3)^{2}-N$ is a perfect square.
2. $u \in\left[\frac{-3+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-15}{8}\right)$.
3. $4 u+1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
4. For all odd prime $p$ does not divide $N, u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-6}{16}(\bmod p)$, for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof: The results follow directly from Proposition 2.4. Furthermore, (34) follows from the fact that $8 u+3 \pm$ $\sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N}$ are roots of $f(x)=x^{2}-2(8 u+3) x+N$.

The next theorem is the main result of this study. The main result summarize the results in Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.5.

Theorem 2.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N=4 n^{2}+1$.

1. If $n$ is even, then every proper factors of composite $N=4 n^{2}+1$ is can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 u+1 \pm \sqrt{(8 u+1)^{2}-N} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and
1.1. $(8 u+1)-N$ is a perfect square.
1.2. $u \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-5}{40}\right)$.
1.3. $u \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
1.4. For all odd prime $p$ does not divide $N, u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-2}{16}(\bmod p)$, for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.
2. If $n$ is odd, then every proper factors of composite $N=4 n^{2}+1$ is can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 u+3 \pm \sqrt{(8 u+3)^{2}-N} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and
2.1. $(8 u+3)^{2}-N$ is a perfect square.
2.2. $u \in\left[\frac{-3+\sqrt{N}}{8}, \frac{N-15}{8}\right)$.
2.3. $4 u+1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
2.4. For all odd prime $p$ does not divide $N, u \equiv \frac{x_{0}^{-1} N+x_{0}-6}{16}(\bmod p)$, for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof: Follows from Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.5.

## III. FERMAT'S NUMBER

Fermat's number is a natural number of the form $F_{n}=2^{2^{n}}+1$ where $n$ is a nonnegative integer [2,10,11]. Note that determining the proper factors of composite Fermat's number is not easy by handful computation [2], for instance see [12, 13, $14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26]$. In this section, we apply the same technique in section 2 to study the structure of proper factors of a Fermat's number.

Let $n$ be nonnegative integer and $p$ be prime factor of $F_{n}$. Lucas proved that if $n$ is nonnegative integer and $p$ is a prime factor of the Fermat's number $F_{n}=2^{2^{n}}+1$, then there exists a natural number $k$ where $p=2^{n+2} k+1$.[3] Consequently, every proper factor of composite Fermat's number is of the form $2^{n+2} k+1$ where $k$ is a positive integer. Thus, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.1. Let $n \geq 4$. If the Fermat's number $F_{n}=2^{2^{n}}+1$ is composite, then there exists a natural number $s<\frac{\sqrt{F_{n}}-1}{2^{n+2}}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2^{n}-2(n+2)}+s^{2} \equiv 0\left(\bmod \left(2^{n+2} s+1\right)\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $2^{n+2} s+1$ is a proper factor of $F_{n}$.
Proof: Assume $F_{n}$ is composite. Then, by [3] there exists $r$ and $s$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2^{n}}+1=\left(2^{n+2} s+1\right)\left(2^{n+2} r+1\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2^{n+2} s+1<F_{n}$ is a proper factor of $F_{n}$. Manipulate, then we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2^{n}-(n+2)}=2^{n+2} r s+r+s \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n \geq 4$, then $2^{n}-2(n+2) \geq 0$, and hence, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{n+2} \lambda=r+s$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2^{n}-(n+2)}=2^{n+2}\left(2^{n+2} \lambda-s\right) s+2^{n+2} \lambda \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2^{n}-2(n+2)}+s^{2}=\lambda\left(2^{n+2} s+1\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s<\frac{\sqrt{F_{n}}-1}{2^{n+2}}$, as desired.ם
The following proposition follows from lemma 3.1.
Proposition 3.2. Let $n \geq 5$. If the Fermat's number $F_{n}=2^{2^{n}}+1$ is composite, then there exists a natural number $\lambda$ where 1. $\left(2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1\right)^{2}-F_{n}$ is a perfect square,
2. $\lambda \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{F_{n}}}{2^{2 n+3}}, 2^{2^{n}-(3 n+5)}\right)$.
3. $\lambda \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ where $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
4. $\lambda \not \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
5. $\lambda \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.

## Proof:

1. Assume $F_{n}$ is composite. By lemma 3.1 there exists a natural number $s$ and integer $\lambda$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2^{2^{n}-2(n+2)}+s^{2}}{2^{n+2} s+1}=\lambda \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\lambda>0$. Consider the quadratic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{2}-2\left(2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1\right) x+F_{n} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f\left(2^{n+2} s+1\right)=0$ and the product of the roots of $f(x)$ is $F_{n}$, then every roots of $f(x)$ is a proper factor of $F_{n}$. Computing the zeroes $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ of $f(x)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}, r_{2}=2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1 \pm \sqrt{\left(2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1\right)^{2}-F_{n}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\sqrt{\left(2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1\right)^{2}-F_{n}} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, that is, $\left(2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1\right)^{2}-F_{n}$ is a perfect square.
2. Note that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1\right)^{2}-F_{n} \geq 0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the inequality above with the assumption that $\lambda>0$, then we have $\lambda \geq \frac{-1+\sqrt{F_{n}}}{2^{2 n+3}}$. In addition, by Rolle's theorem, there exists $\theta \in\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right] \subset\left[r_{1}, 2^{2^{n}-(n+2)}+1\right]$ where $f^{\prime}(\theta)=0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \theta-2\left(2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1\right)=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\lambda=\frac{\theta-1}{2^{2 n+3}}$. Since $\theta \in\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right] \subset\left[r_{1}, 2^{2^{n}-(n+2)}+1\right]$, then $\lambda \leq 2^{2^{n}-(3 n+5)}$. Therefore, we have $\lambda \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{F_{n}}}{2^{2 n+3}}, 2^{2^{n}-(3 n+5)}\right)$. 3. Follows from statement 3 of proposition 2.2.
4. Follows from statement 5 of proposition 2.2.
5. Follows from statement 6 of proposition 2.2.ם

The following theorem are direct consequence of proposition 3.2.
Theorem 3.3. Let $n \geq 5$. Then, every proper factors of $F_{n}$ is can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1 \pm \sqrt{\left(2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1\right)^{2}-F_{n}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ and

1. $\left(2^{2 n+3} \lambda+1\right)^{2}-F_{n}$ is a perfect square
2. $\lambda \in\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{F_{n}}}{2^{2 n+3}}, 2^{2^{n}-(3 n+5)}\right)$
3. $\lambda \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ where $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$
4. $\lambda \not \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$
5. $\lambda \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$

Proof: Theorem 3.3 follows from proposition 3.2. Furthermore, equation (47) follows from (44).ם

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we give a characterization of all proper factors of $4 n^{2}+1$ in terms of its form by applying Fermat's method. In addition, we derived the property of all factors of $4 n^{2}+1$ that depends on the parity of $n$ (see Theorem 2.6). In addition, the results in Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.5 give a new sieve method to determine the factors of $4 n^{2}+1$. Fermat's number is also considered in this study by deriving a new property of composite Fermat's number that is similar in proposition 2.2 and theorem 2.6 (see Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.2).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Manila for their unending support to finish this paper.

## REFERENCES

[1] Mckee J. Speeding Fermat's Factoring Method. American Mathematical Society. Mathematics Computation. 68(228) 1729-1737.
[2] Lenstra HW Jr.. Factoring integers with elliptic curves. New Jersey. Annals of Mathematics; (1987).
[3] Krizek M, Luca F, Somer L. The Most Beautiful Theorems on Fermat Numbers. New York. Springer Science; (2002) 33-40.
[4] Rotman J. Galois Theory. First Edition. New York. Springer-Verlag New York Inc.; (1990).
[5] Burton DM. Elementary Number Theory. Sixth Edition. New York. McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.; Chapter 9, The Quadratic Reciprocity Law; (2007) 190.
[6] Fraleigh JB. Katz V. A First Course in Abstract Algebra. Sixth Edition. Singapore. Pearson Education Asia Pte Ltd; Chapter 5, INTRODUCTION TO RINGS AND FIELDS; (2002) 304.
[7] Pomerance, C. A Tale of Two Sieves. Not. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1996) 1473-1485.
[8] Lehmer, D. H. and Powers, R. E. On Factoring Large Numbers. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1931) 770-776.
[9] Gardner, M. Patterns in Primes are a Clue to the Strong Law of Small Numbers. Sci. Amer. 243, Dec. (1980) 18-28.
[10] Hardy, G. H. and Wright, E. M. An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 5th ed. Oxford, England: Clarendon Press, (1979) 14-15 and 19.
[11] Hoffman, P. The Man Who Loved Only Numbers: The Story of Paul Erdős and the Search for Mathematical Truth. New York: Hyperion, (1998) P. 200.
[12] Ball, W. W. R. and Coxeter, H. S. M. Mathematical Recreations and Essays, 13th ed. New York: Dover, (1987), 68-69 and 94-95.
[13] Borwein, J. and Bailey, D. Mathematics by Experiment: Plausible Reasoning in the 21 st Century. Wellesley, MA: A K Peters, 2003.
[14] Brent, R. P. Factorization of the Eighth Fermat Number. Amer. Math. Soc. Abstracts 1, (1980) 565.
[15] Brent, R. P. Factorisation of $\mathrm{F}_{10}$. http://cslab.anu.edu.au/~rpb/F10.html.
[16] Brent, R. P. "Factorization of the Tenth Fermat Number." Math. Comput. 68 (1999) 429-451.
[17] Brent, R. P. and Pollard, J. M. Factorization of the Eighth Fermat Number. Math. Comput. 36 (1981) 627-630.
[18] Brillhart, J.; Lehmer, D. H.; Selfridge, J.; Wagstaff, S. S. Jr.; and Tuckerman, B.Factorizations of b-n+/-1, b=2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 Up to High Powers, rev. ed.
[19] Conway, J. H. and Guy, R. K. Fermat's Numbers. In The Book of Numbers. New York: Springer-Verlag, (1996) 137-141.
[20] Cormack, G. V. and Williams, H. C. Some Very Large Primes of the Form k $\cdot 2^{\mathrm{m}}+1$. Math. Comput. 35 (1980) 1419-1421.
[21] Crandall, R.; Doenias, J.; Norrie, C.; and Young, J. The Twenty-Second Fermat Number is Composite. Math. Comput. 64(1995) 863-868.
[22] Crandall, R. E.; Mayer, E. W.; and Papadopoulos, J. S. The Twenty-Fourth Fermat Number is Composite. Math. Comput. 72 (2003) 1555-1572.
[23] Gardner, M. Patterns in Primes are a Clue to the Strong Law of Small Numbers. Sci. Amer. 243, Dec. (1980) 18-28.
[24] Krížek, M. and Somer, L. A Necessary and Sufficient Condition for the Primality of Fermat Numbers. Math. Bohem. 126 (2001) 541-549.
[25] Lenstra, A. K.; Lenstra, H. W. Jr.; Manasse, M. S.; and Pollard, J. M. The Factorization of the Ninth Fermat Number. Math. Comput. 61 (1993) 319-349.
[26] Shorey, T. N. and Stewart, C. L. On Divisors of Fermat, Fibonacci, Lucas and Lehmer Numbers, 2. J. London Math. Soc. 23(1981) 17-23.

