

Original Article

Oscillatory Behavior of Forth Order Mixed Neutral Delay Difference Equations

R. Shanmugapriya¹, I. Mohammed Ali Jaffer²

^{1,2}Mathematics, Government Arts College, Tamilnadu, India.

Received Date: 05 Febraury 2022

Revised Date: 21 March 2022

Accepted Date: 25 March 2022

Abstract - This paper is concerned with the forth Order mixed neutral delay difference equation of the form

$$\Delta(a_{\xi} \Delta^2(d_{\xi} \Delta(y_{\xi} + b_{\xi} y_{\xi-\mu_1} + c_{\xi} y_{\xi+\mu_2}))) + q_{\xi} y_{\xi+1-\varphi_1}^{\zeta} + p_{\xi} y_{\xi+1+\varphi_2}^{\eta} = 0,$$

we obtain some new oscillation criteria by using riccati transformation technique. Examples are given to illustrate the results.

Keywords - Difference equation, Oscillation, Nonoscillation, Mixed type neutral delay difference equation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider the oscillation for certain forth Order neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta(a_{\xi} \Delta^2(d_{\xi} \Delta(y_{\xi} + b_{\xi} y_{\xi-\mu_1} + c_{\xi} y_{\xi+\mu_2}))) + q_{\xi} y_{\xi+1-\varphi_1}^{\zeta} + p_{\xi} y_{\xi+1+\varphi_2}^{\eta} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\xi_0 \in N = \{\xi_0, \xi_0 + 1, \dots\}$ ξ_0 - is nonnegative integer. Here $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \mu_1$ and μ_2 are nonnegative integers and Δ is forward difference operator. $\Delta y_{\xi} = y_{\xi+1} - y_{\xi}$. Throughout this paper the following conditions are assumed to hold:

[H₁] $\{a_{\xi}\}$ and $\{d_{\xi}\}$ are positive nondecreasing sequences and $\sum_{\xi=\xi_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{\xi}} = \sum_{\xi=\xi_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_{\xi}} = \infty$.

[H₂] $\{b_{\xi}\}$ and $\{c_{\xi}\}$ are positive real sequences such as $0 \leq b_{\xi} \leq b$ and $0 \leq c_{\xi} \leq c$ with $b + c < 1$.

[H₃] $\{p_{\xi}\}$ and $\{q_{\xi}\}$ are real positive sequences.

[H₄] ζ, η are positive integers. μ_1, μ_2, φ_1 and φ_2 are nonnegative integers. For the basic theory of difference equations one can refer the monographs by Agarwal, Bohner and Grace [1]. The oscillation solution for third Order and higher Order difference equations [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12,13] has recoused more attention in the last few years.

Let $\sigma = \max\{\mu_1, \varphi_1\}$. A solution of equation (1.1) we mean a real sequence $\{y_{\xi}\}$ which is defined for all $\xi \geq \xi_0 - \sigma$ and satisfying equation (1.1) for all $\xi \in N$. A solution $\{y_{\xi}\}$ is said to be oscillatory. If it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative. Otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. Recently Kaleeswari [7] deals with oscillation for third Order difference equation of the form



$$\Delta(a_n \Delta^2(x_n + b_n x_{n-\tau_1} + c_n x_{n+\tau_2})) + q_n x_{n+1-\sigma_1}^\beta + p_n x_{n+1+\sigma_2}^\beta = 0$$

and discussed some oscillatory properties by assuming $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} < \infty$. Our aim in this paper is to discuss the oscillatory

behavior of fourth Order difference equation when $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_n} = \infty$.

So, the author is concerned fourth Order mixed neutral delay difference equation of the form

$$\Delta(a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta(y_\xi + b_\xi y_{\xi-\mu_1} + c_\xi y_{\xi+\mu_2}))) + q_\xi y_{\xi+1-\rho_1}^\zeta + p_\xi y_{\xi+1+\rho_2}^\eta = 0,$$

where $\sum_{\xi=\xi_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_\xi} = \sum_{\xi=\xi_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_\xi} = \infty$.

II. OSCILLATION RESULTS

In this section, we present some new oscillation criteria for equation (1.1) will be established. For simplicity, we use the following notations:

$$z_\xi = y_\xi + b_\xi y_{\xi-\mu_1} + c_\xi y_{\xi+\mu_2}, \quad P_\xi + Q_\xi = R_\xi, \quad Q_\xi = \min\{q_\xi, q_{\xi-\mu_1}, q_{\xi+\mu_2}\}, \quad P_\xi = \min\{p_\xi, p_{\xi-\mu_1}, p_{\xi+\mu_2}\}.$$

We need the following lemma to prove the main results.

Lemma 2.1.

Assume $A \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 1$. Then

$$(A + B)^\beta \leq 2^{\beta-1}(A^\beta + B^\beta).$$

The proof of lemma is simple and it is omitted.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\{y_\xi\}$ be a positive solution of equation (1.1). Then there are two cases for $\xi \geq \xi_1 \in N$ sufficiently large n .

$$1) z_\xi > 0, \Delta z_\xi > 0, \Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi) > 0, \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi) > 0, \Delta(a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi)) \leq 0.$$

$$2) z_\xi > 0, \Delta z_\xi < 0, \Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi) > 0, \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi) > 0, \Delta(a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi)) \leq 0.$$

Proof.

Let $\{y_\xi\}$ be a positive solution of (1.1). Then there is an integer $\xi_1 \geq \xi_0$ such that $y_\xi > 0, y_{\xi-\mu_1} > 0, x_{\xi+\mu_2} > 0, y_{\xi-\rho_1} > 0$ and $y_{\xi+\rho_2} > 0$ for all $\xi \geq \xi_1$. Then $z_\xi > 0$ for all $\xi \geq \xi_1$. It follows from equation (1.1) that

$$\Delta(a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi)) = -q_\xi y_{\xi+1-\rho_1}^\zeta - p_\xi y_{\xi+1+\rho_2}^\eta < 0; \quad \xi \geq \xi_1 \tag{2.1}$$

Therefore $a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi)$ is strictly decreasing for all $\xi \geq \xi_1$. We can proved that $\Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi) > 0$ for all $\xi \geq \xi_1$. If

not, then there is an integer $\xi_2 \geq \xi_1$ and $G < 0$ such that

$$a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi) \leq a_{\xi_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi_2} \Delta z_{\xi_2}) \leq G, \quad \xi \geq \xi_2.$$

Summing the last inequality from ξ_2 to $\xi - 1$, we get

$$\sum_{s=\xi_2}^{\xi-1} \Delta^2(d_s \Delta z_s) \leq \sum_{s=\xi_2}^{\xi-1} \frac{1}{a_s} a_{\xi_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi_2} \Delta z_{\xi_2})$$

$$\Delta(d_{\xi} \Delta z_{\xi}) \leq \Delta(d_{\xi_2} \Delta z_{\xi_2}) G \sum_{s=\xi_2}^{\xi-1} \frac{1}{a_s}.$$

Letting $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, then $\Delta(d_{\xi} \Delta z_{\xi}) \rightarrow -\infty$. Then there exist an integer $\xi_3 \geq \xi_2$ and $L < 0$ such that

$$d_{\xi} \Delta z_{\xi} \leq d_{\xi_3} \Delta z_{\xi_3} \leq L; \quad \xi \geq \xi_3.$$

Summing the last inequality from ξ_3 to $\xi - 1$, we have

$$\sum_{s=\xi_3}^{\xi-1} \Delta z_s \leq \sum_{s=\xi_3}^{\xi-1} \frac{1}{d_s} d_{\xi_3} \Delta z_{\xi_3},$$

$$z_{\xi} \leq z_{\xi_3} + L \sum_{s=\xi_3}^{\xi-1} \frac{1}{d_s}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $z_{\xi} \rightarrow -\infty$, which is contradiction. Hence $\Delta^2(d_{\xi} \Delta z_{\xi}) > 0$ for $\xi \geq \xi_1$.

Lemma 2.3.

Let $z_{\xi} > 0$, $\Delta z_{\xi} > 0$, $\Delta^2 z_{\xi} > 0$, $\Delta^3 z_{\xi} > 0$ and $\Delta^4 z_{\xi} \leq 0$ for all $n \geq m \in N$. Then for any $k \in (0,1)$ and for some integer m_1 .

$$\frac{z_{\xi+1}}{\Delta z_{\xi}} \geq \left(\frac{n-m}{2} \right) \geq \frac{k\xi}{2}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof.

Since $\Delta z_{\xi} = \Delta z_m + \sum_{s=m}^{n-1} \Delta^2 z_s$, we have $\Delta z_{\xi} \geq (\xi - m) \Delta^2 z_{\xi}$.

Summing the last inequality

$$\sum_{s=m}^{\xi-1} \Delta z_s \geq \sum_{s=m}^{n-1} (n-m) \Delta^2 z_s$$

$$z_{\xi} \geq z_m + (\xi - m) \Delta z_{\xi} - z_{\xi} + z_m$$

(or) $2z_{\xi} \geq 2z_m \Delta z_{\xi}$

$$z_{\xi+1} \geq \left(\frac{\xi - m}{2} \right) \Delta z_{\xi} \geq \frac{k\xi}{2} \Delta z_{\xi}; \quad \xi \geq m_1 \geq m.$$

The proof is now complete.

Theorem 2.4.

Assume that there exist a positive real sequence $\{\rho_\xi\}$ and $\varphi_1 \geq \mu_1$, $\zeta \leq \eta$ and $\zeta, \eta \geq 1$ holds. If

$$\sum_{s=N}^{\xi-1} \left(\frac{\rho_\xi R_\xi h_\xi^{(\zeta+\eta)-1} k(\xi - \varphi_1)}{2^{\zeta+\eta}} + \frac{\left(1 + b^\eta + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}}\right) (\Delta \rho_\xi^2) a_{\xi-\varphi_1}}{4\rho_\xi} \right) = \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\sum_{s=\xi_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} (p_t + q_t) = \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

holds, then every solution $\{y_\xi\}$ of equation (1.1) oscillates or $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} y_\xi = 0$.

Proof.

Let $\{y_\xi\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists an integer $N \geq \xi_0$ such that $y_\xi > 0$, $y_{\xi-\varphi_1} > 0$, $y_{\xi+\varphi_2} > 0$, $y_{\xi-\mu_1} > 0$ and $y_{\xi+\mu_2} > 0$ for all $\xi \geq N$. Then we have $z_\xi > 0$ and (2.1) for all $\xi \geq N$. From (1.1) for all $\xi \geq N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi)) + q_\xi y_{\xi+1-\varphi_1}^\zeta + p_\xi y_{\xi+1+\varphi_2}^\eta + b^\eta (\Delta a_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta z_{\xi-\mu_1})) + b^\eta (a_{\xi-\mu_1} y_{\xi+1-\mu_1-\varphi_1}^\zeta) \\ &+ b^\eta p_{\xi-\mu_1} y_{\xi-\mu_1+\varphi_2+1}^\eta + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} (\Delta a_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta z_{\xi+\mu_2})) + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} q_{\xi+\mu_2} y_{\xi+1+\mu_2-\varphi_1}^\zeta + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} p_{\xi+\mu_2} y_{\xi+1+\mu_2+\varphi_2}^\eta = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Using lemma 2.1 in (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi)) + b^\eta \Delta(a_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta z_{\xi-\mu_1})) + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} \Delta(a_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta z_{\xi+\mu_2})) \\ &+ \frac{Q_\xi}{4^{\zeta-1}} z_{\xi+1-\varphi_1}^\zeta + \frac{P_\xi}{4^{\eta-1}} z_{\xi+1+\varphi_2}^\eta \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

By lemma 2.2, there are two cases for z_ξ . First assume that case 1 holds for all $\xi \geq N_1 \geq N$. It follows from $\Delta z_\xi > 0$ that $z_{\xi+1} - z_\xi > 0$ then $z_{\xi+\varphi_2} \geq z_{\xi-\varphi_1}$. Thus, by (2.6) we obtain

$$\Delta(a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi)) + b^\eta \Delta(a_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta z_{\xi-\mu_1})) + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} \Delta(a_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta z_{\xi+\mu_2})) + \frac{R_\xi}{4^{\zeta+\eta-2}} z_{\xi+1-\varphi_1}^{\zeta+\eta} \leq 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Define

$$w_1(\xi) = \rho_\xi \frac{a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi)}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta(z_\xi - \varphi_1))}. \tag{2.8}$$

Then $w_1(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi \geq N_1$. Then from (2.8) we obtain

$$\Delta w_1(\xi) = \frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_1(\xi + 1) + \rho_\xi \frac{\Delta(a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi))}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})} - w_1(\xi + 1) \frac{\Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})}.$$

By equation (2.1), we have $a_{\xi-\varphi_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi-\varphi_1} \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1}) \geq a_{\xi+1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+1} \Delta z_{\xi+1})$. Thus from (2.8), we obtain

$$\Delta w_1(\xi) \leq \frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_1(\xi + 1) + \rho_\xi \frac{\Delta(a_\xi \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi))}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_\xi)} - \frac{w_1(\xi + 1)^2 \rho_\xi}{a_{\xi-\varphi_1} (\rho_{\xi+1})^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

Next we define

$$w_2(\xi) = \rho_\xi \frac{a_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta z_{\xi-\mu_1})}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})} \quad (2.10)$$

Then $w_2(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi \geq N_1$. Then from (2.10), we obtain

$$\Delta w_2(\xi) = \frac{w_2(\xi + 1)}{\rho_{\xi+1}} \Delta \rho_\xi + \rho_\xi \frac{\Delta(a_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta z_{\xi-\mu_1}))}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})} - w_2(\xi + 1) \frac{\Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})}.$$

By equation (2.1) and $\varphi_1 \geq \mu_1$ we have

$$a_{\xi-\varphi_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi-\varphi_1} \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1}) \geq a_{\xi+1-\mu_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+1-\mu_1} (\Delta z_{\xi+1-\mu_1}))$$

Thus from (2.10), we get

$$\Delta w_2(\xi) \leq \frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_2(\xi + 1) + \rho_\xi \frac{\Delta(a_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi-\mu_1} \Delta z_{\xi-\mu_1}))}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})} - \frac{w_2^2(\xi + 1) \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}^2 a_{\xi-\varphi_1}}. \quad (2.11)$$

In the following we define

$$w_3(\xi) = \rho_\xi \frac{a_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta z_{\xi+\mu_2})}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})}. \quad (2.12)$$

Then $w_3(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi \geq N_1$. From (2.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_3(\xi) &= \frac{w_3(\xi + 1)}{\rho_{\xi+1}} \Delta \rho_\xi + \frac{\rho_\xi \Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1}) \Delta(a_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta z_{\xi+\mu_2}))}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1}) \Delta(d_{\xi+1} \Delta z_{\xi+1-\varphi_1})} \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho_\xi a_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta z_{\xi+\mu_2}) \Delta^2(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1}) \Delta(d_{\xi+1} \Delta z_{\xi+1-\varphi_1})}. \end{aligned}$$

By equation (2.1), we obtain $a_{\xi-\varphi_1} \Delta^2(d_{\xi-\varphi_1} \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1}) \geq a_{\xi+1+\mu_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+1+\mu_2} \Delta z_{\xi+1+\mu_2})$. Hence by (2.12) we obtain

$$\Delta w_3(\xi) \leq \frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_3(\xi + 1) + \rho_\xi \frac{\Delta(a_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta^2(d_{\xi+\mu_2} \Delta z_{\xi+\mu_2}))}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})} - \frac{w_3^2(\xi + 1) \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}^2 a_{\xi-\varphi_1}} \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore (2.9), (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_1(\xi) + b^\eta \Delta w_2(\xi) + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} \Delta w_3(\xi) &\leq \frac{-\rho_\xi R_\xi}{4^{\zeta+\eta-2}} \frac{z_{\xi+1-\varphi_1}^{\zeta+\eta}}{\Delta(d_\xi \Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1})} + \frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_1(\xi + 1) - \frac{w_1^2(\xi + 1) \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}^2 a_{\xi-\varphi_1}} \\ &\quad + b^\eta \left(\frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_2(\xi + 1) - \frac{w_2^2(\xi + 1) \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}^2 a_{\xi-\varphi_1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} \left(\frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_3(\xi+1) - \frac{w_3^2(\xi+1)\rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}^2 a_{\xi-\varphi_1}} \right). \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand $\{a_\xi\}$ and $\{d_\xi\}$ nondecreasing $\Delta^3 z_\xi > 0$ for $\xi \geq m_1$ we have $\Delta^4 z_\xi \leq 0$ for $\xi \geq m_1$. Then by lemma 2.3 for any $k \in (0,1)$ and ξ is sufficiently large

$$\frac{z_{\xi+1-\varphi_1}}{\Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1}} \geq \frac{k(\xi - \varphi_1)}{2}. \tag{2.15}$$

Due to (2.2) . Since $z_\xi > 0$, $\Delta z_\xi > 0$, $\Delta^2 z_\xi > 0$ and $\Delta^3 z_\xi > 0$ for $\xi \geq m_1$ we have

$$z_\xi = z_{m_1} + \sum_{s=m_1}^{\xi-1} \Delta z_s \geq (\xi - m_1) \Delta z_{m_1} \geq \frac{h\xi}{2} \tag{2.16}$$

for some $h > 0$ and ξ is sufficiently large. From (2.15) and (2.16) and $\zeta, \eta \geq 1$ we have

$$\frac{z_{\xi+1-\varphi_1}^{\zeta-\eta}}{\Delta z_{\xi-\varphi_1}} \geq \frac{h_\xi^{(\zeta+\eta)-1} k(\xi - \varphi_1)}{2^{\zeta+\eta}}.$$

(2.14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_1(\xi) + b^\eta \Delta w_2(\xi) + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} \Delta w_3(\xi) &\leq - \frac{\rho_\xi R_\xi h_\xi^{(\zeta+\eta)-1} k(\xi - \varphi_1)}{2^{\zeta+\eta}} + \frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_1(\xi+1) - \frac{w_1^2(\xi+1)\rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}^2 a_{\xi-\varphi_1}} \\ &+ b^\eta \left(\frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_2(\xi+1) - \frac{w_2^2(\xi+1)\rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}^2 a_{\xi-\varphi_1}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} \left(\frac{\Delta \rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}} w_3(\xi+1) - \frac{w_3^2(\xi+1)\rho_\xi}{\rho_{\xi+1}^2 a_{\xi-\varphi_1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using completing the square in the right hand side of the above inequality, we get

$$\Delta w_1(\xi) + b^\eta \Delta w_2(\xi) + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} \Delta w_3(\xi) \leq - \frac{\rho_\xi R_\xi h_\xi^{(\zeta+\eta)-1} k(\xi - \varphi_1)}{2^{\zeta+\eta}} + \frac{\left(1 + b^\eta + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}}\right) (\Delta \rho_\xi)^2 a_{\xi-\varphi_1}}{4\rho_\xi}$$

Summing the last inequality from $N_2 \geq N_1$ to $\xi - 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{s=N_2}^{\xi-1} \left(\frac{\rho_s R_s h_s^{(\zeta+\eta)-1} k(\xi - \varphi_1)}{2^{\zeta+\eta}} + \frac{\left(1 + b^\eta + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}}\right) (\Delta \rho_s)^2 a_{s-\varphi_1}}{4\rho_s} \right) \leq w_1(N_2) + b^\eta w_2(N_2) + \frac{c^\eta}{2^{\eta-1}} w_3(N_2).$$

Taking limsup in the last inequality, we get a contradiction to (2.3). Assume that lemma 2.2(2) holds. Let $\{y_\xi\}$ be a positive solution of equation (1.1). Since $z_\xi > 0$ and $\Delta z_\xi < 0$, then $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} z_\xi = l > 0$ exists. We shall prove that

$l = 0$. Assume $l > 0$ then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $l + \varepsilon > z_{\xi}$ eventually. Choose $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{l(l-b-c)}{b+c}$. It is easy to verify that

$$y_{\xi} > l - (b+c)l + \varepsilon > kz_{\xi}.$$

Where $k = \frac{l - (b+c)l + \varepsilon}{l + \varepsilon} > 0$. Using the above inequality, we obtain from (2.1)

$$\Delta(a_{\xi} \Delta^2(d_{\xi} \Delta z_{\xi})) \leq -k^{\zeta+\eta} (q_{\xi} + p_{\xi}) z_{\xi+1-\mu_1}^{\zeta+\eta}.$$

Summing the last inequality from ξ to ∞ and using $z_{\xi} > l$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=\xi}^{\infty} \Delta(a_s \Delta^2(d_s \Delta z_s)) &\leq -\sum_{s=\xi}^{\infty} k^{\zeta+\eta} (q_s + p_s) z_{s+1-\mu_1}^{\zeta+\eta} \\ \Delta^2(d_{\xi} \Delta z_{\xi}) &\geq (kl)^{\zeta+\eta} \frac{1}{a_{\xi}} \sum_{s=\xi}^{\infty} (q_s + p_s) \end{aligned}$$

Summing again ξ_1 to ∞ , $\xi \geq \xi_1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=\xi_1}^{\infty} \Delta^2(d_s \Delta z_s) &\geq \sum_{s=\xi_1}^{\infty} (kl)^{\zeta+\eta} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} (p_t + q_t) \\ -d_{\xi_1} \Delta z_{\xi_1} &\leq -(kl)^{\zeta+\eta} \sum_{s=\xi_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} (p_t + q_t) \\ -z_{\xi_1+1} &\leq -\frac{(kl)^{\zeta+\eta}}{d_{\xi_1}} \sum_{s=\xi_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} (p_t + q_t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{s=\xi_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} (p_t + q_t) \leq z_{\xi_1+1}.$$

This contradicts to (2.4). So the proof is complete.

III. APPLICATIONS

Example 3.1.

Consider the fourth Order mixed neutral type difference equation of the form

$$\Delta \left(\xi^2 \Delta^3 \left(y_{\xi} + \frac{1}{4} y_{\xi-1} + \frac{1}{2} y_{\xi+2} \right) \right) + 2\xi^3 y_{\xi-1} + (2\xi + 2) y_{\xi+1} = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $a_{\xi} = \xi^2, b_{\xi} = \frac{1}{4}, c_{\xi} = \frac{1}{2}, d_{\xi} = \mu_1 = 1, \mu_2 = 2, p_{\xi} = 2\xi + 2, q_{\xi} = 2\xi^3, \zeta = \eta = 1, \varphi_1 = 2, \varphi_2 = 1$. Take $\rho_{\xi} = 1$. Then condition (2.3) holds. On the other hand, condition (2.4) also holds. We can easily see that the conditions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. Hence all the solutions of equation (3.1) are oscillatory. In fact $\{y_{\xi}\} = (-1)^{\xi}$ is one such a solution of equation (3.1).

Example 3.2.

Consider the fourth Order mixed neutral type difference equation of the form

$$\Delta \left(\frac{\xi}{2} \Delta^2 \left(2\xi \Delta \left(y_\xi + \frac{2}{3} y_{\xi-2} + \frac{1}{4} y_{\xi+3} \right) \right) \right) + (\xi^3 + 2)y_\xi + 2\xi^2 y_{\xi+1}^2 = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $a_\xi = \frac{\xi}{2}, b_\xi = \frac{2}{3}, c_\xi = \frac{1}{4}, d_\xi = 2\xi, \mu_1 = 2, \mu_2 = 3, p_\xi = 2\xi^2, q_\xi = \xi^3 + 2, \zeta = 1, \eta = 2, \varphi_1 = 1$. Take condition (2.3) holds. On the other hand condition (2.4) also holds. We can easily see that the conditions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. Hence all the solutions of equation (3.2) are oscillatory.

In fact $\{y_\xi\} = (-1)^\xi$ is one such a solution of equation (3.2).

CONCLUSION

In this paper, by using Riccati type transformation and the summing averaging technique, the oscillatory behavior of every solution of the equation (1.1) are discussed in Theorem 2.4. Here some sufficient conditions are proved. These sufficient conditions which are new, extend and complement some of the known results in the literature. Also the example reveals the illustration of the proved results.

REFERENCES

[1] Agarwal R.P, Bohner M, Grace S.R and O'Regen D., Discrete Oscillation Theory, Hindawi Publishing corporation. New York, (2005).
 [2] Agarwal R.R, Grace S.R., The Oscillation of Certain Difference Equations, Math Comp Modelling, 30 (1999) 53-66.
 [3] Agarwal R.P, Grace S.R., Oscillation of Higher Order Nonlinear Difference Equations of Neutral type, Appl Math Lett, 12 (1999) 77-83.
 [4] Agarwal R.P, Grace S.R., Oscillation of Certain Third-Order Difference Equations, Comp Math Appl, 42 (2001) 379-384.
 [5] Agarwal R.P, Grace S.R and Wong P.J.Y., On the Oscillation of Third Order Nonlinear Difference Equations, Jour Appl Math Comput, 32 (2010) 189.
 [6] Agarwal R.P, Grace S.R. Oscillation Theorems for Certain Difference Equations, Dynam Syst Appl, 9 (2000) 299-308.
 [7] Agarwal R.P, Grace S.R, Bohner E.A. On the Oscillation of Higher Order Neutral Difference Equations of Mixed Type, Dynam Syst Appl, 11 (2002) 459-470.
 [8] Grace S.R. Oscillation of Certain Neutral difference equations of mixed type, J Math Anal Appl, 224 (1998) 241-254.
 [9] Grace S.R. Dontha S. Oscillation of Higher Order Neutral Difference Equations of Mixed Type, Dynam Syst Appl, 12 (2003) 521-532.
 [10] Grace S.R. Agarwal R.P, Graef J. Oscillation Criteria for Certain Third Order Nonlinear Difference Equations, Appl Anal Discrete Math, 3 (2009) 27-38.
 [11] Graef J, Thandapani E., Oscillatory and Asymptotic Behavior of Solution of Third Order Delay Difference Equation, Funk Ekvac, 42 (1999) 355-369.
 [12] Hooker J.W and Patula W.T. A Second Order Nonlinear Difference Equation Oscillation and Asymptotic Behavior, J Math Anal Appl, 91 (1983) 9-24.
 [13] Kaleeswari S., Oscillatory and Asymptotic Behavior of Third Order Mixed type Neutral Difference Equations, Jour of Phys: Conf Ser, 1543 (2020) 1-9.
 [14] Li W.T and Yan J.R. Oscillation of First Order Difference Equations with Nonlinear Neutral Terms, Dynam Cont Disc Impul Sys, 6 (1999) 337-344. Mohammed Ali Jaffer I, Shanmugapriya R., Oscillation for Certain Third Order Functional Delay Difference Equations, Jour Ind Math Soc, 88 (2021) 323-333.
 [15] Saker S.H., Oscillation and Asymptotic Behavior of Third Order Nonlinear Neutral Delay Difference Equations, Dynam Syst Appl, 15 (2006) 549-568.
 [16] Saker S.H. Oscillation of Third Order Difference Equations, Port Math, 61 (2004) 249-257.
 [17] Smith B, Taylor Jr W E. Nonlinear Third Order Difference Equations: Oscillatory and Asymptotic Behavior, Tamakang J Math, 19 (1988) 91-95.
 [18] Selvaraj B, Mohammed Ali Jaffer I., Oscillation Theorems of Solutions for Certain Third Order Functional Difference Equations with Delay, Bul Pure and Appl Sci, 29E (2010) 207-216.
 [19] Selvaraj B, Mohammed Ali Jaffer I. Oscillation Bheavior of Certain Third Order Linear Difference Equations, Far East Journal of Math Sci, 40 (2010) 169-178.
 [20] Selvaraj B, Mohammed Ali Jaffer I. Oscillatory Properties of Fourth Order Neutral Delay Difference Equations, J Comp and Math Sci, 13 (2010) 364-373.

- [21] Selvaraj B, Mohammed Ali Jaffer I. Oscillation Behavior of Certain Third Order Non-linear Difference Equations, *Int J Non Sci*, 10 (2010) 349-358.
- [22] Shanmugapriya R, Mohammed Ali Jaffer I. Oscillatory Behavior of Third Order Neutral Delay Difference Equations, *Str Research*, 8 (2021) 402-411.
- [23] Thandapani E, Mahalingam K. Oscillatory Properties of Third Order Neutral Delay Difference Equations, *Demon Math*, 35(2) (2002) 325-336.
- [24] Thandapani E, Kavitha N. Oscillatory Behavior of Solution of Certain Third Order Mixed Neutral Difference Equations, *Acta Math Sci*, 33B, (2013) 218-226.