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Abstract - In this paper we introduce some notations in Clifford algebras and boundary value problems for CauchyRiemann systems in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $d=3,4,5,6$.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the Euclidean space which has an orthonomal basis $\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and endswed with the standard Ecuclidean inner product $\langle x, y\rangle=\sum_{j=0}^{n} x_{j} y_{j}$. The Clifford algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ is defined as the $2^{n}$-dimensional real associated, noncommunitative algebra generated by $e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ and the multiplication rules

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{0}^{2}=1 \\
e_{j}^{2}=-1, j=1, \ldots, n \\
e_{i} e_{j}+e_{j} e_{i}=0,1 \leq i \neq j \leq n
\end{gathered}
$$

An element $a \in \mathcal{A}_{n}$ has the following form

$$
a=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{N}} a_{A} e_{A}, a_{A} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $A=\left\{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{h}\right\},\left(\alpha_{1}<\cdots<\alpha_{h}\right\}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{N}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $e_{A}=e_{\alpha_{1}} e_{\alpha_{2}} \ldots e_{\alpha_{h}}$. For $A=\emptyset$ we put $e_{\emptyset}=$ $e_{0}=1$.

Vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are identified with 1 -vector in $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ under the canonicalembeding

$$
x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{n} x_{j} e_{j}:=x \in \mathcal{A}_{n}
$$

The conjugation is defined by a mapping sending $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ with $\bar{e}_{j}=-e_{j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, n, \bar{e}_{0}=e_{0}$ and $\overline{a b}=\bar{b} \bar{a}$. The inner product in $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ is defined by

$$
<a, b>_{0}=2^{m} . \sum_{A \in \mathcal{N}} a_{A} b_{A}, \text { where } b=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{N}} b_{A} e_{A} .
$$

Hence a norm is defined by

$$
\|a\|_{0}=\left(<a, a>_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}=2^{n / 2} \cdot\left(\sum_{A \in \mathcal{N}} a_{A}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

which turns $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ into a Banach algebra of dimension $2^{n}$. For other definition of Clifford algebra we refer reader to [F.Bracks, R.Delanghe and F.Somen].

Let $\Omega$ be a subset of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We consider the function $u$ defined in $\Omega$ and taking values in $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ as the mapping

$$
u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n} .
$$

Then $u$ can be presented by

$$
u=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{N}} u_{A}(x) e_{A}
$$

where $u_{A}(x)$ are the (real valued) functions of $n+1$ variables $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. We write $u \in \mathrm{C}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \ldots$ according $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{A}} \in C(\Omega), C^{k}(\Omega), L_{p}(\Omega), \ldots$ respectively.

The Cauchy-Riemann operator and its adjoin defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
D=\sum_{j=0}^{n} e_{j} \partial_{j} ; \partial_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} ; j=1,2, \ldots n, \\
\bar{D}=\partial_{0}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{j} \partial_{j} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Definition 1

A function $u \in \mathrm{C}^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is called monogenic if it sastisfies the Cauchy-Riemann system $D u=0$.

## Remark 1

From definition of Cauchy-Riemann operator, we have

$$
\bar{D} D=D \bar{D}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \partial_{j}^{2}=\Delta_{n+1},
$$

where $\Delta_{n+1}$ is Laplace operator in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

## Remark 2

From Remark 1 we see that, if $D u=0$ then $\bar{D} D u=\Delta_{n+1} u_{A}=0$.
Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ having a sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Then we know from potential theory that to an arbitrarily chosen (continuous) function $g$ on $\partial \Omega$, there exists a uniquely determined solution $u$ of the Laplace equation such that $u=g$ on $\partial \Omega$.

In view of Remark 2 we know that all real-valued component $u_{A}$ of a monogenic function in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are solutions to theLappace equation. This does not mean, however, that the boundary values of all real-valued components can be freely chosen because all components are connected by the Cauchy-Riemann system. This paper deals with the question how many components $u_{A}$ can be chosen arbitrarily on thw whole boundary, and what can be prescribed for the remaning components.

## II. BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS IN THE PLANE

The Cauchy-Riemann systhem for holomorphic function $w=u+i v$ in a (bounded) domain $\Omega$ in the complex plane can be prescribed by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{x} u=\partial_{y} v  \tag{2.1}\\
\partial_{y} u=-\partial_{x} v .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We know that, the imaginary part $v$ of $w$ is uniquely determined by its boundary values. The system (2.1) leads for the real part $u$ to the completely integrable first order system, and $u$ is uniquely determined (in simply connected domains) up to a real constant. And so $u$ is then uniquely determined by its values at one point of $\Omega$ (or $\bar{\Omega}$ ).

## III. BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR CAUCHY-RIEMANN SYSTEM INR ${ }^{3}$

In $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, The Clifford algebra $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ is defined as the $2^{2}$-dimensional real associated, noncommunitative algebra generated by $e_{0}=1, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{1} e_{2}$ and the multiplication rules

$$
e_{0}^{2}=1,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{j}^{2}=-1, j=1,2 \\
& e_{1} e_{2}+e_{2} e_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $u$ taking values in $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ can be presented by

$$
u=u_{0} e_{0}+u_{1} e_{1}+u_{2} e_{2}+u_{12} e_{1} e_{2}
$$

and the Cauchy-Riemann operator is defined by

$$
D=e_{0} \partial_{0}+e_{1} \partial_{1}+e_{2} \partial_{2}+e_{3} \partial_{3}
$$

Let $\Omega$ be a cylindrical in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which is defined by

$$
\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right): \psi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<x_{0}<\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{0}\right\}
$$

where $\Omega_{0}$ is a domain in the $x_{1}, x_{2}$-plane.A similar situation occurs for monogenic functionuin $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. TheDefinition 1 for monogenic function $u$ leads to four real-vallued components $u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $u_{12}$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0} u_{0}-\partial_{1} u_{1}-\partial_{2} u_{2}=0  \tag{3.1}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{1}+\partial_{1} u_{0}+\partial_{2} u_{12}=0  \tag{3.2}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{2}-\partial_{1} u_{12}+\partial_{2} u_{0}=0  \tag{3.3}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{12}+\partial_{1} u_{2}-\partial_{2} u_{1}=0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose, further, that the monogenic function $u$ is continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$. Since all components of a monogenic functions are solutions to the Laplace equation, $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are uniquely determined already by their boundary values on the whole boundary $\partial \Omega$. Knowing $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, then $u_{12}$ can be determined by a simple integration in $x_{0}$-direction from the last eqution (3.4) provided one knows only the values $g_{12}$ of $u_{12}$ on the lower covering surface

$$
S_{0}=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right): x_{0}=\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \bar{\Omega}_{0}\right\}
$$

In order to be short, introduce the abbreviation

$$
-\partial_{1} u_{2}+\partial_{2} u_{1}=F_{12}
$$

Then $u_{12}$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=g_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\int_{\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}^{x_{0}} F_{12}\left(\xi_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d \xi_{0} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that not for every choice of the initial functions $g_{12}$ the function $u_{12}$ turns out to be a solution to the Laplace eqaution. In order to simplify the calculations a little bit, we suppose that the lower covering surface of our cylindrical domain $\Omega$ is given by $\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \equiv 0$, and so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=g_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{x_{0}} F_{12}\left(\xi_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d \xi_{0} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{0} u_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \\
\partial_{0}^{2} u_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\partial_{0} F_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, differentiating under the sign of integration, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{1}^{2} u_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\partial_{1}^{2} g_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{x_{0}} \partial_{1}^{2} F_{12}\left(\xi_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d \xi_{0}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \partial_{2}^{2} u_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\partial_{2}^{2} g_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{x_{0}} \partial_{2}^{2} F_{12}\left(\xi_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d \xi_{0} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now observe that the derivatives of a solution to the Laplace equation are also solutions to the Laplace equation. Thus

$$
\partial_{1}^{2} F_{12}+\partial_{2}^{2} F_{12}=-\partial_{0}^{2} F_{12} .
$$

Taking into accout this relation, the addtion of the formulas (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) leads to the relation

$$
\Delta_{3} u_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\Delta_{2} g_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\partial_{0} F_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\int_{0}^{x_{0}} \partial_{0}^{2} F_{12}\left(\xi_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d \xi_{0}
$$

Since $\partial_{0} F_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is a primitive of the integrand of the last integral, the last integral has the value

$$
\partial_{0} F_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\partial_{0} F_{12}\left(0, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

Thus $\Delta_{3} u_{12}$ has everywhere in $\Omega$ the values

$$
\Delta_{3} u_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\Delta_{2} g_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\partial_{0} F_{12}\left(0, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

And this, the differential equation $\Delta_{3} u_{12}=0$ is everywhere satisfied in $\Omega$ if the initial function $g_{12}$ satisfies the following Poisson equation

$$
\Delta_{2} g_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-\partial_{0} F_{12}\left(0, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

Everywhere in the lower covering surface $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ of the cylindrical domain $\Omega$. And so the initial function $g_{12}$ is uniquely determined by its boundary values on the one-dimensional boundary of the two-dimensional covering surface $S_{0}$.

Finally one can use the remaining three equation (3.1)-(3.3) in order to calculate the component $u_{0}$. The component $u_{0}$ can be constructed from the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{0} u_{0}=\partial_{1} u_{1}+\partial_{2} u_{2}:=p_{0}, \\
& \partial_{1} u_{0}=-\partial_{0} u_{1}-\partial_{2} u_{12}:=p_{1} \\
& \partial_{2} u_{0}=-\partial_{0} u_{2}+\partial_{1} u_{12}:=p_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $u_{12}$ are solutions to the Laplace equation, the last system for $u_{0}$ turns out to be completely integrable, that is $\partial_{k} p_{j}=\partial_{j} p_{k}, k, j=0,1,2$. For instance, to proof of $\partial_{1} p_{0}=\partial_{0} p_{1}$, from the Laplace equation $\Delta_{3} u_{1}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{1} p_{0}-\partial_{0} p_{1}= & \partial_{1}\left(\partial_{1} u_{1}+\partial_{2} u_{2}\right)-\partial_{0}\left(-\partial_{0} u_{1}-\partial_{2} u_{12}\right) \\
= & \partial_{1}^{2} u_{1}+\partial_{1} \partial_{2} u_{2}+\partial_{0}^{2} u_{1}+\partial_{0} \partial_{2} u_{12} \\
= & -\partial_{2}^{2} u_{1}+\partial_{2} \partial_{1} u_{2}+\partial_{2} \partial_{0} u_{12} \\
& =\partial_{2}\left(-\partial_{2} u_{1}+\partial_{1} u_{2}+\partial_{2} \partial_{0} u_{12}\right) \\
& =\partial_{2}(0)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, to proof of $\partial_{2} p_{1}=\partial_{1} p_{2}$ and $\partial_{2} p_{0}=\partial_{0} p_{2}$ one needs the Laplace equation $\Delta_{3} u_{12}=0$ and $\Delta_{3} u_{2}=$ 0 .Provided $\Omega$ is homotopically simply connected, $u_{0}$ is already uniquely determined by its value at one point $P_{0}$ of $\bar{\Omega}$.

To sum up, a monogenic funtion in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is completely determined by

- the values of two components $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ on the whole two-dimentional boundary $\partial \Omega$ of the three-dimentional domain $\Omega$,
- the values of $u_{12}$ on the one-dimensional boundary of the two-dimentional lower covering surface $S_{0}$ and
- the value of $u_{0}$ at one point $P_{0}$ in $\Omega$.


## IV. BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR CAUCHY-RIEMANN SYSTEM INR $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{4}}$

In Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, Clifford algebra $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ has the basis elements

$$
e_{0}=1, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{1} e_{2}, e_{1} e_{3}, e_{2} e_{3}, e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}
$$

And the multiplication rules

$$
e_{0}^{2}=1,
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{j}^{2}=-1, j=1,2,3 \\
e_{i} e_{j}+e_{j} e_{i}=0 ;(1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3)
\end{gathered}
$$

The function $u$ taking values in $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ can be presented by

$$
u=u_{0} e_{0}+u_{1} e_{1}+u_{2} e_{2}+u_{3} e_{3}+u_{12} e_{1} e_{2}+u_{13} e_{1} e_{3}+u_{23} e_{2} e_{3}+u_{123} e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}
$$

and the Cauchy-Riemann operator is defined by

$$
D=e_{0} \partial_{0}+e_{1} \partial_{1}+e_{2} \partial_{2}+e_{3} \partial_{3} .
$$

The Cauchy-Riemann system $D u=0$ can be presented by the following system (here we denote $e_{1} e_{2}=$ $e_{12}, e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}=e_{123}, \ldots$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0} u_{0}-\partial_{1} u_{1}-\partial_{2} u_{2}-\partial_{3} u_{3}=0  \tag{4.1}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{1}+\partial_{1} u_{0}+\partial_{2} u_{12}+\partial_{3} u_{13}=0  \tag{4.2}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{2}-\partial_{1} u_{12}+\partial_{2} u_{0}+\partial_{3} u_{23}=0  \tag{4.3}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{3}-\partial_{1} u_{13}-\partial_{2} u_{23}+\partial_{3} u_{0}=0  \tag{4.4}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{12}+\partial_{1} u_{2}-\partial_{2} u_{1}-\partial_{3} u_{123}=0  \tag{4.5}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{13}+\partial_{1} u_{3}+\partial_{2} u_{123}-\partial_{3} u_{1}=0  \tag{4.6}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{23}-\partial_{1} u_{123}+\partial_{2} u_{3}-\partial_{3} u_{2}=0  \tag{4.7}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{123}+\partial_{1} u_{23}-\partial_{2} u_{13}+\partial_{3} u_{12}=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Omega$ be the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{4}, \Omega_{0}$ be the unit ball in ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ )-space, and $\Omega_{01}$ be the unit ball in ( $\mathrm{x}_{2}, x_{3}$ )-plane. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. The four components $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{123}$ can be found from their alues on the whole boundary. The two components $u_{12}$ and $u_{23}$ can be found from their values on the boundary of the three-dimensional distinguishing part $\Omega_{0}$ of the boundary, while $u_{23}$ can be calculated from the values on the boundaycurve $\Omega_{01}$. The component $u_{0}$, finally, is completely determined by its value at the one point $P_{0}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Proof:It is clearly that, if the value of four components: $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{123}$ on the whole boundary of $\partial \Omega$, then the corresponding components are uniquely determined in the whole domain $\Omega$.

From equation (4.5), (4.6) we can calculate the components $u_{12}, u_{13}$, for instance, from (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0} u_{12}=-\partial_{1} u_{2}+\partial_{2} u_{1}+\partial_{3} u_{123}=F_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $g_{12}$ are the values of $u_{12}$ on the midle surface $\Omega_{0}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{12}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=g_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\int_{0}^{x_{0}} F_{12}\left(\xi_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) d \xi_{0} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar situation for function $u_{12}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we can show that $\Delta_{4} u_{12}(x)=0$ in $\Omega$ if and only if the initial function $g_{12}$ satisfies the Poisson equation in $\Omega_{0}$

$$
\Delta_{3} g_{12}=-\partial_{0} F_{12}\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)
$$

Now we calculate for component $u_{23}$, from (4.7), (4.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{23}(x)=g_{23}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\int_{\gamma}\left(p_{23}^{0}\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) d \xi_{0}+p_{23}^{1}\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) d \xi_{1}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0} u_{23}=p_{23}^{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\partial_{1} u_{123}-\partial_{2} u_{3}+\partial_{3} u_{2} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{1} u_{23}=p_{23}^{1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\partial_{0} u_{123}+\partial_{2} u_{13}-\partial_{3} u_{12}, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\gamma$ is any curve in $\Omega$ starting from $\left(0,0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ to $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.
Using $\Delta_{4} u_{123}=0$, from the equation (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{1} p_{23}^{0}-\partial_{0} p_{23}^{1}=\partial_{1}^{2} u_{123}-\partial_{1} \partial_{2} u_{3}+\partial_{1} \partial_{3} u_{2}+\partial_{0}^{2} u_{123}-\partial_{0} \partial_{2} u_{13}+\partial_{0} \partial_{3} u_{12} \\
=\partial_{0}^{2} u_{123}+\partial_{0}^{2} u_{123}-\partial_{2}\left(\partial_{1} u_{3}+\partial_{0} u_{13}\right)+\partial_{3}\left(\partial_{1} u_{2}+\partial_{0} u_{12}\right) \\
=\partial_{0}^{2} u_{123}+\partial_{0}^{2} u_{123}-\partial_{2}\left(-\partial_{2} u_{123}+\partial_{3} u_{1}\right)+\partial_{3}\left(\partial_{2} u_{1}+\partial_{3} u_{123}\right) \\
=\partial_{0}^{2} u_{123}+\partial_{1}^{2} u_{123}+\partial_{2}^{2} u_{123}+\partial_{3}^{2} u_{123}=\Delta_{4} u_{123}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies that the intrgral in (4.11) does not depend on the special choice of $\gamma$, and we can prove that, the Laplace equation $\Delta_{4} u_{23}=0$ leads to the Poissoon equation

$$
\Delta_{3} g_{23}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\partial_{0} p_{23}^{0}\left(0,0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-\partial_{1} p_{23}^{1}\left(0,0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \text { in } \Omega_{01} .
$$

Then $g_{23}$ is uniquely determined by its values on the boundary curve $\partial \Omega_{01}$.
Finally, from (4.1)-(4.4), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{0} u_{0}=\partial_{1} u_{1}+\partial_{2} u_{2}+\partial_{3} u_{3}=: p^{0} \\
\partial_{0} u_{1}=-\partial_{1} u_{0}-\partial_{2} u_{12}-\partial_{3} u_{13}=: p^{1} \\
\partial_{0} u_{2}=\partial_{1} u_{12}-\partial_{2} u_{0}-\partial_{3} u_{23}=: p^{2} \\
\partial_{0} u_{3}=\partial_{1} u_{13}+\partial_{2} u_{23}-\partial_{3} u_{0}=: p^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is not difficult to prove that

$$
\partial_{j} p^{k}=\partial_{k} p^{j} ; 0 \leq i \neq k \leq 3 .
$$

Therefore, this systhem turns out to be completely integrable, so $u_{0}$ is uniquely determined by

$$
u_{0}(P)=u_{0}\left(P_{0}\right)+\int_{\gamma}\left(p^{0} d \xi_{0}+p^{1} d \xi_{1}+p^{2} d \xi_{2}+p^{3} d \xi_{3}\right)
$$

where $P_{0} \in \partial \Omega_{01}, \gamma$ is arbitrary curve in $\Omega$ starting from $P_{0}$ to $P \in \Omega$.

## V. BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR CAUCHY-RIEMANN SYSTEM INR ${ }^{5}$

In the case $\mathbb{R}^{5}$, we have the Cauchy-Riemann operator $D=e_{0} \partial_{0}+e_{1} \partial_{1}+e_{2} \partial_{2}+e_{3} \partial_{3}+e_{4} \partial_{4}$, and a function $u$ taking values in Clifford algebra $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ can be presented by

$$
u=\sum_{A} u_{A} e_{A}
$$

where $A \in\{0,1,2,3,4,12,13,14,23,24,34,123,124,134,234,1234\}$, here the functions $u$ has 16 components. The CauchyRiemann system $D u=0$ is expressed by the following system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0} u_{0}-\partial_{1} u_{1}-\partial_{2} u_{2}-\partial_{3} u_{3}-\partial_{4} u_{4}=0  \tag{5.1}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{1}+\partial_{1} u_{0}+\partial_{2} u_{12}+\partial_{3} u_{13}+\partial_{4} u_{14}=0  \tag{5.2}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{2}-\partial_{1} u_{12}+\partial_{2} u_{0}+\partial_{3} u_{23}+\partial_{4} u_{24}=0  \tag{5.3}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{3}-\partial_{1} u_{13}-\partial_{2} u_{23}+\partial_{3} u_{0}+\partial_{4} u_{0}=0  \tag{5.4}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{4}-\partial_{1} u_{14}-\partial_{2} u_{24}-\partial_{3} u_{34}+\partial_{4} u_{0}=0  \tag{5.5}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{12}+\partial_{1} u_{2}-\partial_{2} u_{1}-\partial_{3} u_{123}-\partial_{4} u_{124}=0  \tag{5.6}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{13}+\partial_{1} u_{3}+\partial_{2} u_{123}-\partial_{3} u_{1}-\partial_{4} u_{134}=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0} u_{14}+\partial_{1} u_{4}+\partial_{2} u_{124}+\partial_{3} u_{134}-\partial_{4} u_{1}=0  \tag{5.8}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{23}-\partial_{1} u_{123}+\partial_{2} u_{3}-\partial_{3} u_{2}-\partial_{4} u_{234}=0  \tag{5.9}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{24}-\partial_{1} u_{124}+\partial_{2} u_{4}+\partial_{3} u_{234}-\partial_{4} u_{2}=0  \tag{5.10}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{34}-\partial_{1} u_{134}-\partial_{2} u_{234}+\partial_{3} u_{4}-\partial_{4} u_{3}=0  \tag{5.11}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{123}+\partial_{1} u_{23}-\partial_{2} u_{13}+\partial_{3} u_{12}+\partial_{4} u_{1234}=0 .  \tag{5.12}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{124}+\partial_{1} u_{24}-\partial_{2} u_{14}-\partial_{3} u_{1234}+\partial_{4} u_{12}=0 .  \tag{5.13}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{134}+\partial_{1} u_{34}+\partial_{2} u_{1234}-\partial_{3} u_{14}+\partial_{4} u_{13}=0 .  \tag{5.14}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{234}-\partial_{1} u_{1234}+\partial_{2} u_{34}-\partial_{3} u_{24}+\partial_{4} u_{23}=0 .  \tag{5.15}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{1234}+\partial_{1} u_{234}-\partial_{2} u_{134}+\partial_{3} u_{124}-\partial_{4} u_{123}=0 . \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}: \sum_{j=0}^{n} x_{j}^{2}<1\right\}, \\
\Omega_{0}=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \in \Omega: x_{0}=0\right\}, \\
\Omega_{01}=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \in \Omega: x_{0}=x_{1}=0\right\}, \\
\Omega_{012}=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \in \Omega: x_{0}=x_{1}=x_{2}=0\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{0}^{5}=\{34\}, \\
\Lambda_{1}^{5}=\{23,24\}, \\
\Lambda_{2}^{5}=\{12,13,14,1234\}, \\
\Lambda_{3}^{5}=\{1,2,3,4,123,124,134,234\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have following theorem.
Theorem 2. A monogenic function $u=\sum_{A} u_{A} e_{A}$ defined in $\Omega$, taking values in $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ is completely determined by its values in distinguishing boundary of $\Omega$, which as

- $u_{A}=\varphi_{A}, A \in \Lambda_{3}^{5}$ in whole boundary of domain $\Omega$, which is 4-dimentional,
- $u_{A}=\varphi_{A}, A \in \Lambda_{2}^{5}$ in whole boundary of domain $\Omega_{0}$, which is 3-dimentional,
- $u_{A}=\varphi_{A}, A \in \Lambda_{1}^{5}$ in whole boundary of domain $\Omega_{01}$, which is 2-dimentional,
- $u_{A}=\varphi_{A}, A \in \Lambda_{0}^{5}$ in whole boundary of domain $\Omega_{012}$, which is 1-dimentional,
- After all, the value of $u_{0}$ at the point $P_{0} \in \partial \Omega$.

Proof: By assumption of the boundary values of eight components: $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{123}, u_{124}, u_{134}, u_{234}$ on the whole boundary of $\Omega$, the corresponding components are uniquely determined in the whole domain $\Omega$.

The equation (5.6), (5.7), (5.8), (5.16)allow to calcualte the components: $u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}, u_{1234}$ by a simple integration in $x_{0}$-direction from the values on the distinguishing part $\Omega_{0}$.

By the system $(5.9,5.12)$ and $(5.10,5.13)$ we can calculate the components: $u_{23}, u_{24}$ by an integrarion in $x_{0}, x_{1}$ direction from values on the distinguishing part $\Omega_{01}$.

From the system $(5.11,5.14,5.15)$, we can calculate the component $u_{34}$ by an integration in $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$-direction from the values on the distinguishing part $\Omega_{012}$.

Finally, since the domain $\Omega$ is homotopically simply connected, from (5.1)-(5.5) we can show that, $u_{0}$ is uniquely determined by its value at one point $P_{0}$ of $\partial \Omega_{012}$.

The theorem is proved.

## VI. BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR CAUCHY-RIEMANN SYSTEM INR ${ }^{\mathbf{6}}$

In the case $\mathbb{R}^{6}$, we have the Cauchy-Riemann operator $D=e_{0} \partial_{0}+e_{1} \partial_{1}+e_{2} \partial_{2}+e_{3} \partial_{3}+e_{4} \partial_{4}+e_{5} \partial_{5}$, and a function $u$ taking values in Clifford algebra $\mathcal{A}_{5}$ can be presented by

$$
u=\sum_{A} u_{A} e_{A}
$$

where $A \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, 5,12, \ldots, 15,23, \ldots, 123, \ldots, 12345\}$, here the functions $u$ has 32 components. Therefore, CauchyRiemann system $D u=0$ is expressed by the following system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0} u_{0}-\partial_{1} u_{1}-\partial_{2} u_{2}-\partial_{3} u_{3}-\partial_{4} u_{4}-\partial_{5} u_{5}=0  \tag{6.1}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{1}+\partial_{1} u_{0}+\partial_{2} u_{12}+\partial_{3} u_{13}+\partial_{4} u_{14}+\partial_{5} u_{15}=0  \tag{6.2}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{2}-\partial_{1} u_{12}+\partial_{2} u_{0}+\partial_{3} u_{23}+\partial_{4} u_{24}+\partial_{5} u_{25}=0  \tag{6.3}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{3}-\partial_{1} u_{13}-\partial_{2} u_{23}+\partial_{3} u_{0}+\partial_{4} u_{34}+\partial_{5} u_{35}=0  \tag{6.4}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{4}-\partial_{1} u_{14}-\partial_{2} u_{24}-\partial_{3} u_{34}+\partial_{4} u_{0}+\partial_{5} u_{45}=0  \tag{6.5}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{5}-\partial_{1} u_{15}-\partial_{2} u_{25}-\partial_{3} u_{35}-\partial_{4} u_{45}+\partial_{5} u_{0}=0  \tag{6.6}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{12}+\partial_{1} u_{2}-\partial_{2} u_{1}-\partial_{3} u_{123}-\partial_{4} u_{124}-\partial_{5} u_{125}=0  \tag{6.7}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{13}+\partial_{1} u_{3}+\partial_{2} u_{123}-\partial_{3} u_{1}-\partial_{4} u_{134}-\partial_{5} u_{135}=0  \tag{6.8}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{14}+\partial_{1} u_{4}+\partial_{2} u_{124}+\partial_{3} u_{134}-\partial_{4} u_{1}-\partial_{5} u_{145}=0  \tag{6.9}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{15}+\partial_{1} u_{5}+\partial_{2} u_{125}+\partial_{3} u_{135}+\partial_{4} u_{145}-\partial_{5} u_{1}=0  \tag{6.10}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{23}-\partial_{1} u_{123}+\partial_{2} u_{3}-\partial_{3} u_{2}-\partial_{4} u_{234}-\partial_{5} u_{235}=0  \tag{6.11}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{24}-\partial_{1} u_{124}+\partial_{2} u_{4}+\partial_{3} u_{234}-\partial_{4} u_{2}-\partial_{5} u_{245}=0  \tag{6.12}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{25}-\partial_{1} u_{125}+\partial_{2} u_{5}+\partial_{3} u_{235}+\partial_{4} u_{245}-\partial_{5} u_{2}=0  \tag{6.13}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{34}-\partial_{1} u_{134}-\partial_{2} u_{234}+\partial_{3} u_{4}-\partial_{4} u_{3}-\partial_{5} u_{345}=0  \tag{6.14}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{35}-\partial_{1} u_{135}-\partial_{2} u_{235}-\partial_{3} u_{345}+\partial_{4} u_{5}-\partial_{5} u_{4}=0  \tag{6.15}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{45}-\partial_{1} u_{145}-\partial_{2} u_{245}-\partial_{3} u_{345}+\partial_{4} u_{5}-\partial_{5} u_{4}=0  \tag{6.16}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{123}+\partial_{1} u_{23}-\partial_{2} u_{13}+\partial_{3} u_{12}+\partial_{4} u_{1234}+\partial_{5} u_{1235}=0 .  \tag{6.17}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{124}+\partial_{1} u_{24}-\partial_{2} u_{14}-\partial_{3} u_{1234}+\partial_{4} u_{12}+\partial_{5} u_{1245}=0 .  \tag{6.18}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{125}+\partial_{1} u_{25}-\partial_{2} u_{15}-\partial_{3} u_{1235}-\partial_{4} u_{1245}+\partial_{5} u_{12}=0 .  \tag{6.19}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{134}+\partial_{1} u_{34}+\partial_{2} u_{1234}-\partial_{3} u_{14}+\partial_{4} u_{13}+\partial_{5} u_{1235}=0 .  \tag{6.20}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{135}+\partial_{1} u_{35}+\partial_{2} u_{1235}-\partial_{3} u_{15}-\partial_{4} u_{1345}+\partial_{5} u_{13}=0 .  \tag{6.21}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{145}+\partial_{1} u_{45}+\partial_{2} u_{1245}+\partial_{3} u_{1345}-\partial_{4} u_{15}+\partial_{5} u_{14}=0 .  \tag{6.22}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{234}-\partial_{1} u_{1234}+\partial_{2} u_{34}-\partial_{3} u_{24}+\partial_{4} u_{23}+\partial_{5} u_{2345}=0 .  \tag{6.23}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{235}-\partial_{1} u_{1235}+\partial_{2} u_{35}-\partial_{3} u_{25}-\partial_{4} u_{2345}+\partial_{5} u_{23}=0 .  \tag{6.24}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{245}-\partial_{1} u_{1245}+\partial_{2} u_{45}+\partial_{3} u_{2345}-\partial_{4} u_{25}+\partial_{5} u_{24}=0 .  \tag{6.25}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{345}-\partial_{1} u_{1245}-\partial_{2} u_{2345}+\partial_{3} u_{45}-\partial_{4} u_{35}+\partial_{5} u_{34}=0 .  \tag{6.26}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{1234}+\partial_{1} u_{234}-\partial_{2} u_{134}+\partial_{3} u_{124}-\partial_{4} u_{123}-\partial_{5} u_{12345}=0 .  \tag{6.27}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{1235}+\partial_{1} u_{235}-\partial_{2} u_{135}+\partial_{3} u_{125}+\partial_{4} u_{12345}-\partial_{5} u_{123}=0 .  \tag{6.28}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{1245}+\partial_{1} u_{245}-\partial_{2} u_{145}-\partial_{3} u_{12345}+\partial_{4} u_{125}-\partial_{5} u_{124}=0 . \tag{6.29}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{0} u_{1345}+\partial_{1} u_{345}+\partial_{2} u_{12345}-\partial_{3} u_{145}+\partial_{4} u_{135}-\partial_{5} u_{134}=0 .  \tag{6.30}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{2345}-\partial_{1} u_{12345}+\partial_{2} u_{345}-\partial_{3} u_{245}+\partial_{4} u_{235}-\partial_{5} u_{234}=0 .  \tag{6.31}\\
& \partial_{0} u_{12345}+\partial_{1} u_{2345}-\partial_{2} u_{1345}+\partial_{3} u_{1245}-\partial_{4} u_{1235}+\partial_{5} u_{1234}=0 . \tag{6.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{6}: \sum_{j=0}^{n} x_{j}^{2}<1\right\}, \\
\Omega_{0}=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}\right) \in \Omega: x_{0}=0\right\}, \\
\Omega_{01}=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}\right) \in \Omega: x_{0}=x_{1}=0\right\}, \\
\Omega_{012}=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}\right) \in \Omega: x_{0}=x_{1}=x_{2}=0\right\}, \\
\Omega_{0123}=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}\right) \in \Omega: x_{0}=x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=0\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{0}^{6}=\{45\}, \\
\Lambda_{1}^{6}=\{34,35\}, \\
\Lambda_{2}^{6}=\{23,24,25,2345\}, \\
\Lambda_{3}^{6}=\{12,13,14,15,1234,1235,1245,1345\}, \\
\Lambda_{4}^{6}=\{1,2,3,4,5,123,124,125,134,135,145,234,235,245,345,12345\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then similar in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ we can proved the following theorem.
Theorem 3. A monogenic function $u=\sum_{A} u_{A} e_{A}$ defined in $\Omega$, taking values in $\mathcal{A}_{5}$ is completely determined by its values in distinguishing boundary of $\Omega$, which as

- $u_{A}=\varphi_{A}, A \in \Lambda_{4}^{6}$ in whole boundary of domain $\Omega$, which is 5-dimentional,
- $u_{A}=\varphi_{A}, A \in \Lambda_{3}^{6}$ in whole boundary of domain $\Omega_{0}$, which is 4-dimentional,
- $u_{A}=\varphi_{A}, A \in \Lambda_{2}^{6}$ in whole boundary of domain $\Omega_{01}$, which is 3-dimentional,
- $u_{A}=\varphi_{A}, A \in \Lambda_{1}^{6}$ in whole boundary of domain $\Omega_{012}$, which is 2-dimentional,
- $u_{A}=\varphi_{A}, A \in \Lambda_{0}^{6}$ in whole boundary of domain $\Omega_{0123}$, which is 1-dimentional,
- After all, the value of $u_{0}$ at the point $P_{0} \in \partial \Omega$.


## VII. CONCLUSION

The above results show that one can generaliza the concept of conjugate solution to higher dimensions: Given $2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ real-valued solutions to the Laplace equation in a (homotopically simply connected) domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, one can find $2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ another real-valued functions which are also solutions to the Laplace equation, and the whole system of all $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ realvalued functions are the real components of a monogenic function in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The $2^{n-1}$ real-valued conjugate solutions to the Laplace equation are uniquely determined by their initial values on some parts of the boundary.
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