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#### Abstract

Let} G(p, q)\) be a connected graph and $M_{v}(G)$ be its corresponding vertex semi middle graph. A dominating set $D \subseteq$ $V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]$ is split dominating set $\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-D\right\rangle$ is disconnected. The minimum size of $D$ is called the split domination number of $M_{v}(G)$ and is denoted by $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]$. In this paper we obtain several results on split domination number.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Domination is an area in graph theory with an extensive research activity. We consider simple, finite, undirected, nontrivial and connected graphs for our study. In literature, the concept of graph theory terminology not presented here can be found in Harary [1]. In a graph $G$, a set $D \subseteq V$ is dominating set of $G$ if every vertex in $V-D$ is adjacent to some vertex in $D$. The domination number of a graph $G$ is the minimum size of $D$. Some studies on domination and other graph valued functions in graph theory were studied in $[2,3,4,5]$. The vertex semi middle graph $M_{v}(G)$ of a graph $G$ was studied in [19] and is defined as follows. The vertex semi-middle graph of a graph $G$, denoted by $M_{v}(G)$ is a planar graph whose vertex set is $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G}) \cup \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G}) \cup \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{G})$ and two vertices of $M_{v}(G)$ are adjacent if and only if they corresponds to two adjacent edges of $G$ or one corresponds to a vertex and other to an edge incident with it or one corresponds to a vertex other to a region in which vertex lies on the region. Let $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathrm{r}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{r}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathrm{V}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]$ for the region set $\left\{\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$ of $G$. Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq$ $\mathrm{V}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]$ for the vertex set $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\}$ of $G$. Let $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{e}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \mathrm{V}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]$ for the edge set $\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}}\right\}$ of $G$ such that $V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]=V^{\prime} \cup E^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{R}^{\prime}$. The study of domination number of jump graph [12] motivated us to introduce split domination number in vertex semi middle graph.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

Theorem 2.1. [20] For the path $P_{n}, \gamma\left[M_{v}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\gamma\left[L\left(P_{n}\right)\right]+1$.

Theorem 2.2. [20] For the cycle $C_{n}, n \geq 4$,

$$
\gamma\left[M_{v}\left(C_{n}\right)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{n}{3}+2 \\
\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}+1\right\rceil & \text { if } n=3 k, k \geq 2 . \\
\text { if } n=3 k+1 \text { or } n=3 k+2, k \geq 1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 2.3. [20] For any graph G, $\gamma\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq\left\lceil\frac{P}{1+\Delta(G)}\right]$.

## III. SPLIT DOMINATION NUMBER IN VERTEX SEMI-MIDDLE GRAPH

A dominating set D of $M_{v}(G)$ is a split dominating set if $\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-D\right\rangle$ is disconnected(connected). The minimum cardinality of D is called split domination number of $M_{v}(G)$ and is denoted by $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]$. A minimum split dominating set is denoted by $\gamma_{s}-s e t$.

In the Figure 3.1, the split dominating set of $M_{v}(G)$ is $\mathrm{D}=\left\{1,3, r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}\right\}, \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]=3$.


Fig. 3.1: The Graph $G$ and its $M_{v}(G)$

We begin with some observations.
Observation 3.1. For every star $K_{1, n}, \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}\left(K_{1, n}\right)\right]=2$.
Observation 3.2. For any path $P_{n}, \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\gamma\left[M_{v}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]$.
Observation 3.3. For the cycle $C_{3}, \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}\left(C_{3}\right)\right]=4$.

## IV. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 4.1. For the cycle $C_{n}, n \geq 4$,

$$
\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}\left(C_{n}\right)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{n}{3}+2 & \text { if } n=3 k, k \geq 2 \\
\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}+2\right\rceil & \text { if } n=3 k+1 \text { or } n=3 k+2, k \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Consider $G=C_{n}$ and $V\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots v_{n}\right\}$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq 4$. Assume D denote the dominating set of $M_{v}\left(C_{n}\right)$, defined as follows.

$$
D=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots \ldots e_{n-2}^{\prime} & \text { if } n=3 k, k \geq 2 . \\
v_{1}^{\prime}, r_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{6}^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots \ldots e_{n-1}^{\prime} & \text { if } n=3 k+1, k \geq 1 . \\
v_{1}^{\prime}, r_{1}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{6}^{\prime}, \ldots \ldots \ldots e_{n-2}^{\prime} & \text { if } n=3 k+2, k \geq 1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly, D itself is a $\gamma_{s}-$ set for $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k}$. Now $D^{\prime}=D \cup\left\{r_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a set such that $V\left[M_{v}\left(C_{n}\right)\right]-D^{\prime}$ is disconnected for $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k}+1$ or $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k}+2$. Thus

$$
\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}\left(C_{n}\right)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{n}{3}+2 & \text { if } n=3 k, k \geq 2 \\
\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}+2\right\rceil & \text { if } n=3 k+1 \text { or } n=3 k+2, k \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 4.2. For every graph $\mathrm{G}, \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq \gamma\left[M_{v}(G)\right]$.

Proof. By definition, $V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]=V^{\prime} \cup E^{\prime} \cup R^{\prime}$. Consider the dominating set $D=\left\{u_{i}^{\prime} / u_{i}^{\prime} \in V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]\right\}$.
Here we have to consider four cases.

Case 1. Let $G=P_{n}$. With the Theorem 2.1, $\gamma\left[M_{v}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\gamma\left[L\left(P_{n}\right)\right]+1$ and $\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-D\right\rangle$ itself is a disconnected graph. By Observation 3.2, $\gamma\left[M_{v}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]$. Hence $\gamma\left[M_{v}\left(P_{n}\right)\right] \geq \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}\left(P_{n}\right)\right]=\gamma\left[L\left(P_{n}\right)\right]+1$. It follows.

Case 2. Let G be a tree. It is obvious that, $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(T)\right] \geq \gamma\left[M_{v}(T)\right]$

Case 3. Now we consider the cycle $C_{n}$. The following is found in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 4.1, $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}\left(C_{n}\right)\right] \geq \gamma\left[M_{v}\left(C_{n}\right)\right]$.

Case 4. Let any graph be G. By the Theorem 2.1, Observation 3.2 and Theorem 4.1, we can say that $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq$ $\gamma\left[M_{v}(G)\right]$.
From the above cases, we can say that $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq \gamma\left[M_{v}(G)\right]$.

Theorem 4.3. $\gamma_{S}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq\left\lceil\frac{P}{1+\Delta(G)}\right\rceil$ for every graph $G(p, q)$.

## Proof.

From Theorem 2.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq\left\lceil\frac{P}{1+\Delta(G)}\right\rceil \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 4.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq \gamma\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have from equation (1) and equation (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq\left\lceil\frac{P}{1+\Delta(G)}\right\rceil \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $G(p, q)$ be a graph, $\gamma_{S}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq\left\lceil\frac{\operatorname{diam}(G)+1}{3}\right\rceil$.
Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots \ldots v_{n}\right\}$ be the vertex set then $\exists \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ and $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$ forms a diametral path in G. Evidently, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})=\operatorname{diam}(\mathrm{G})$. Consider D be the $\gamma-$ set of $M_{v}(G)$. If $\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-D\right\rangle$ is disconnected then $\langle D\rangle$ itself forms the $\gamma_{s}-$ set of $M_{v}(G)$. Otherwise, $\exists r_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{V}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-\mathrm{D}$ having maximum degree, $2 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{m}$ such that $\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-D \cup\left\{r_{j}^{\prime}\right\}\right\rangle$ consists more than one component. Consequently, $\mathrm{D} \cup\left\{r_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ forms a $\gamma_{s}-$ set of $M_{v}(G)$. Therefore, the diametral path contains at most $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-1$ edges joining the neighbourhood of the vertices of $\mathrm{D} \cup\left\{r_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$. Therefore, $2 \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]+\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-1 \geq$ $\operatorname{diam}(G)$. Which gives $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \geq\left\lceil\frac{\operatorname{diam}(G)+1}{3}\right\rceil$.

Theorem 4.5. For every graph $G, \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \leq q$ for $p \geq 4$.
Proof. Consider $G(p, q)$ be any graph. Let D be a $\gamma-$ set of $M_{v}(G)$. If $\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-D\right\rangle$ is disconnected then $\langle D\rangle$ itself is a $\gamma_{s}-\operatorname{set}$ of $M_{v}(G)$.). Otherwise, $\exists r_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{V}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-\mathrm{D}$ having maximum degree, $2 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{m}$ such that $\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-\left(D \cup r_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ is disconnected. Obviously, D $\cup r_{j}^{\prime}$ forms a $\gamma_{s}-\operatorname{set}$ of $M_{v}(G)$. Thus, $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \leq q$.

Theorem 4.6. For every tree $T(p, q), \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(T)\right] \leq \alpha_{1}(T)$.
Proof. Let $E_{1}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots \ldots e_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq q\right\}$ be the minimum set of edges in $G$, so that $\left|E_{1}\right|=\alpha_{1}(T)$. Consider the dominating set D of $M_{v}(T)$. By the Theorem 4.2, $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(T)\right]=\gamma\left[M_{v}(T)\right]$ and $\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(T)\right]-D\right\rangle$ is disconnected. As a result, D itself forms the $\gamma_{s}-\operatorname{set}$ of $M_{v}(T)$. Thereafter, $|\mathrm{D}| \leq\left|E_{1}\right|$. Hence $\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(T)\right] \leq \alpha_{1}(T)$.

Theorem 4.7. For every graph $G(p, q), \gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \leq \operatorname{diam}(G)+\alpha_{0}(G)$.
Proof. Let $\alpha_{0}(G)$ be the vertex covering number of G . Let $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots v_{n}\right\}$ then $\exists v_{i}, v_{j} \in \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{G})$ such that $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{d}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ $=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \ldots v_{k}\right\}$ forms a diametral path in G. Consider D be a $\gamma-$ set in $M_{v}(G) .\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-D\right\rangle$ is disconnected, then $\langle D\rangle$ itself is a $\gamma_{s}-\operatorname{set}$ of $M_{v}(G)$.

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\mathrm{D}| \leq|\mathrm{K} \cup \mathrm{~A}| . \\
|\mathrm{D}| \leq|\mathrm{K}| \cup|\mathrm{A}| \\
\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \leq \operatorname{diam}(G)+\alpha_{0}(G) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Otherwise, $\exists r_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{V}\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-\mathrm{D}$ having maximum degree, $2 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{m}$ such that $\left\langle V\left[M_{v}(G)\right]-\left(D \cup r_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ consists of many components. Evidently, a $\gamma_{s}-\operatorname{set}$ of $M_{v}(G)$ is generated by $\mathrm{D} \cup\left\{r_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$. Since $\mathrm{D} \cup\left\{r_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ includes diametral path, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathrm{D} \cup\left\{r_{j}^{\prime}\right\}\right| \leq|\mathrm{K} \cup \mathrm{~A}| \\
\left|\mathrm{D} \cup\left\{r_{j}^{\prime}\right\}\right| \leq|\mathrm{K}| \cup|\mathrm{A}| \\
\gamma_{s}\left[M_{v}(G)\right] \leq \operatorname{diam}(G)+\alpha_{0}(G)
\end{gathered}
$$

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we established split domination results on vertex semi-middle graph. Many bounds on domination number of vertex semi-middle graph are obtained.
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