

Original Article

# The Role of Boolean and Pseudo Commutativity in Near Rings

C. Dhivya<sup>1</sup>, D. Radha<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>PG & Research Department of Mathematics, A.P.C.Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi, Tamilnadu, India.  
<sup>1,2</sup>Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu, India.

Received: 28 July 2022

Revised: 01 September 2022

Accepted: 15 September 2022

Published: 27 September 2022

**Abstract** - In this paper we have discussed about Boolean near rings and pseudo commutative in near rings. Hansen, D.J. and Jiang Luh [6] have stated that if  $N$  is a Boolean near ring, then  $xy = xyx$  for each  $x, y \in N$ . It has been proved in this paper by using the lemma if  $N$  is a Boolean near ring, then  $ab = 0$  implies  $ba = b0$  for all  $a, b \in N$ . We have also generalized this lemma to  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ . It is obtained that every Boolean near ring with commutativity is pseudo commutative.

**Keywords** - Boolean, Commutative, Pseudo Commutative, Weak Commutative, Zero symmetric.

## 1. Introduction

Near rings can be thought of as generalized rings: if in a ring we ignore the commutativity of addition and one distributive law, we get a near ring. Gunter Pilz [5] "Near Rings" is an extensive collection of the work done in the area of near rings.

Throughout this paper  $N$  stands for a right near ring  $(N, +, \cdot)$ , with at least two elements and '0' denotes the identity element of the group  $(N, +)$  and we write  $xy$  for  $x \cdot y$  for any two elements  $x, y$  of  $N$ . Obviously  $0n = 0$  for all  $n \in N$ . If, in addition,  $n0 = 0$  for all  $n \in N$  then we say that  $N$  is zero symmetric.

## 2. Preliminaries

### Definition 2.1 [6]

A right near ring is a non-empty set  $N$  together with two binary operations "+" and "." such that (i)  $(N, +)$  is a group (ii)  $(N, \cdot)$  is a semigroup (iii)  $(n_1 + n_2)n_3 = n_1n_3 + n_2n_3$  for all  $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in N$ .

### Definition 2.2 [6]

A near ring  $N$  is called weak commutative if  $xyz = xzy$  for every  $x, y, z \in N$ .

### Definition 2.3 [23]

A near ring  $N$  is said to be pseudo commutative near ring if  $xyz = zyx$  for all  $x, y, z \in N$ .

### Definition 2.4 [4]

A near ring  $N$  is said to be quasi weak commutative near ring if  $xyz = yxz$  for all  $x, y, z \in N$ .

### Definition 2.5 [4]

A near ring  $N$  is said to be reduced if  $N$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements.

### Definition 2.6 [6]

A near ring  $N$  is called Boolean if  $x^2 = x$  for all  $x \in N$ .

### Lemma 2.7 [7]

If  $N$  is a Boolean near ring, then  $xy = xyx$  for each  $x, y \in N$ .

### Definition 2.8 [25]

A near ring  $N$  is called reversible if for any  $a, b \in N$ ,  $ab = 0 \implies ba = 0$ .



### 3. Main Results

#### Lemma 3.1

If  $N$  is a Boolean near ring, then  $ab = 0$  implies  $ba = b0$  for all  $a, b \in N$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. Let  $a, b \in N$  with  $ab = 0$ .

Now  $ba = (ba)^2 = (ba)(ba) = b(ab)a = b0a = b(0a) = b0$ . That is  $ba = b0$  for all  $a, b \in N$ .

#### Corollary 3.2

If  $N$  is a Boolean near ring with zero symmetric, then  $N$  is reversible.

#### Lemma 3.3

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. If for all  $a, b \in N$  with  $ab = 0$ , then  $(ba)^n = b0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. Let  $a, b \in N$  with  $ab = 0$ . The statement is true for  $n = 1$  by lemma 3.1. Assume that the statement is true for  $n = k$ , so  $(ba)^k = b0$ .

Then  $(ba)^{k+1} = (ba)^k(ba) = (b0)(ba) = b(0b)a = b0a = b0$ . That is  $(ba)^{k+1} = b0$ . Hence  $(ba)^n = b0$  for all  $a, b \in N$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ .

#### Lemma 3.4

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. If for all  $a \in N$  with  $a^2 = a0$ , then  $a = a0$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. Let  $a \in N$  with  $a^2 = a0$ . By definition of Boolean,  $a = a0$  for all  $a \in N$ .

#### Lemma 3.5

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. If for all  $a \in N$  with  $a^n = a0$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , then  $a = a0$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. The statement is true for  $n = 2$  by lemma 3.4. Assume that the statement is true for  $n = k$ , so  $a^k = a0$ . Then  $a^{k+1} = a^k a = (a0)a = a(0a) = a0$ .

That is  $a^{k+1} = a0$ . Hence  $a^k = a0$  for all  $a \in N$  and for all  $n \geq 2$ .

#### Corollary 3.6

If  $N$  is zero symmetric with Boolean, then  $N$  is reduced.

Combining the lemma 3.3 and lemma 3.5 we have Proposition 3.7

#### Proposition 3.7

Let  $N$  be a zero symmetric Boolean near ring. Then  $N$  is reversible and reduced.

The lemma 3.8 taken from [7] plays an important role in the forthcoming theorem, proposition and lemma. The proof is given using the lemma 3.1.

#### Lemma 3.8

If  $N$  is a Boolean near ring, then  $xyx = xy$  for all  $x, y \in N$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. Let  $x, y \in N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } (xyx - xy)xy &= xyx^2y - xyxy \\ &= xyxy - xyxy \\ &= (xy)^2 - (xy)^2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is  $(xyx - xy)xy = 0$ .

$$\text{By Lemma 3.1, } xy(xy - xy) = xy0 \quad \dots\dots (1)$$

$$\text{Also } (xyx - xy)xyx = xyx^2yx - xyxyx = xyxyx - xyxyx = 0.$$

$$\text{That is } (xyx - xy)xyx = 0.$$

$$\text{By lemma 3.1, } xyx(xy - xy) = xyx0 \quad \dots\dots (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } xyx - xy &= (xyx - xy)^2 = (xyx - xy)(xyx - xy) \\ &= xyx(xy - xy) - xy(xy - xy) \\ &= xyx0 - xy0 \text{ (By equation (1) and (2))} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (xyx - xy)0.$$

That is  $xyx - xy = (xyx - xy)0$  ..... (3)

Now  $(xyx - xy)x = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow (xyx - xy)0x = 0$ . (By equation (3))

$\Rightarrow (xyx - xy)0 = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow xyx - xy = 0$ . (By equation (3))

Hence  $xyx = xy$  for all  $x, y \in N$ .

**Lemma 3.9**

If  $N$  is a Boolean near ring, then  $x^m y^n x^m = x^m y^n$  for all  $x, y \in N$  where  $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$  are fixed integers.

**Proof:**

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. Let  $x, y \in N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) x^m y^n &= x^m y^n x^m x^m y^n - x^m y^n x^m y^n \\ &= x^m y^n (x^m)^2 y^n - x^m y^n x^m y^n \\ &= x^m y^n x^m y^n - x^m y^n x^m y^n \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is  $(x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) x^m y^n = 0$  ..... (1)

By Lemma 3.1,  $x^m y^n (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) = x^m y^n 0$  ..... (2)

Also

$$\begin{aligned} (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) x^m y^n x^m &= x^m y^n x^m x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n x^m y^n x^m \\ &= x^m y^n (x^m)^2 y^n x^m - x^m y^n x^m y^n x^m \\ &= x^m y^n x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n x^m y^n x^m \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is  $(x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) x^m y^n x^m = 0$ .

Again, by Lemma 3.1,

$x^m y^n x^m (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) = x^m y^n x^m 0$  ..... (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n &= (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n)^2 \\ &= (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) \\ &= x^m y^n x^m (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) - x^m y^n (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) \\ &= x^m y^n x^m 0 - x^m y^n 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is  $x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n = (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) 0$  ..... (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) x^m &= x^m y^n x^m x^m - x^m y^n x^m \\ &= x^m y^n (x^m)^2 - x^m y^n x^m \\ &= x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n x^m \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is  $(x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) x^m = 0$

$\Rightarrow (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) 0 x^m = 0$  (By equation (4))

$\Rightarrow (x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n) 0 = 0$

$\Rightarrow x^m y^n x^m - x^m y^n = 0$  (By equation (4))

$\Rightarrow x^m y^n x^m = x^m y^n$  for all  $x, y \in N$ .

**Theorem 3.10**

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. If  $N$  is commutative then  $N$  is pseudo commutative.

**Proof:**

Let  $N$  be a Boolean near ring. Let  $x, y, z \in N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } y(z - xz)x &= y(zx - xzx) \\ &= y(zx - xz) \text{ (By lemma 3.8)} \\ &= y(zx - zx) \\ &= y0. \end{aligned}$$

That is  $y(z - xz)x = y0$ .

$\Rightarrow (z - xz)y(z - xz)x = (z - xz)y0$

$\Rightarrow (z - xz)yx = zy0 - xzy0$  (By lemma 3.8)

$\Rightarrow zyx - xzyx = zy0 - xzy0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow zy x - xz(yx) &= zy0 - xzy0 \\ \Rightarrow zy x - xz(xy) &= zy0 - xzy0 \text{ (Since } N \text{ is commutative)} \\ \Rightarrow zy x - (xzx)y &= zy0 - xzy0 \\ \Rightarrow zy x - (xz)y &= zy0 - xzy0 \text{ (By lemma 3.8)} \\ \Rightarrow zy x - x(z y) &= zy0 - xzy0 \\ \Rightarrow zy x - x y z &= zy0 - xzy0 \end{aligned} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } x(z - xz)x &= x(zx - xzx) \\ &= x(zx - xz) \text{ (By lemma 3.8)} \\ &= x(zx - zx) \\ &= x0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{That is } x(z - xz)x &= x0. \\ \Rightarrow x(z - xz) &= x0 \text{ (By lemma 3.8)} \\ \Rightarrow yx(z - xz) &= yx0 \end{aligned} \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$\text{Now } yx(z - xz)yx = yx(z - xz) \text{ (By Lemma 3.8)} = yx0 \text{ (By equation (2))}$$

$$\text{That is } yx(z - xz)yx = yx0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } (z - xz)yx(z - xz)yx &= (z - xz)yx0 \\ \Rightarrow [(z - xz)yx]^2 &= (z - xz)yx0 \\ \Rightarrow (z - xz)yx &= (z - xz)yx0 \text{ (Since } N \text{ is Boolean)} \\ \Rightarrow zy x - xzyx &= zy x0 - xzyx0 \\ \Rightarrow zy x - xz(yx) &= zy x0 - xz(yx)0 \\ \Rightarrow zy x - xz(xy) &= zy x0 - xz(xy)0 \text{ (Since } N \text{ is commutative)} \\ \Rightarrow zy x - (xzx)y &= zy x0 - (xzx)y0 \\ \Rightarrow zy x - xzy &= zy x0 - xzy0 \text{ (By Lemma 3.8)} \\ \Rightarrow zy x - x(z y) &= zy x0 - xzy0 \\ \Rightarrow zy x - x y z &= zy x0 - xzy0 \text{ (Since } N \text{ is commutative)} \end{aligned} \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By equation (1) and (3) we get} \\ \Rightarrow zy0 &= zy x0 \text{ for all } y, z \in N \end{aligned} \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Replacing } x \text{ by } y \text{ and } y \text{ by } z \text{ in equation (4), we get} \\ \Rightarrow zz0 &= zzy0 \\ \Rightarrow z^2 0 &= z^2 y0 \\ \Rightarrow z0 &= zy0 \text{ (Since } N \text{ is Boolean) for all } z, y \in N \end{aligned} \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{From equation (1) we get} \\ zy x - x y z &= zy0 - xzy0 \\ &= z0 - xz0 \text{ (By equation (5))} \\ &= z0 - (xz)0 \\ &= z0 - (zx)0 \\ &= z0 - z0 \text{ (By equation (5))} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is  $zyx - xyz = 0$

$$\Rightarrow zy x = x y z$$

Hence  $N$  is pseudo commutative.

## References

- [1] R. Balakrishnan and S. Suryanarayanan, "On  $P_K$  and  $P'_k$  Near – Rings", *Bull. Malaysian Math., Sc. Soc. (Second Series)*, vol. 23, pp. 9 – 24, 2000.
- [2] C. Dhivya and D. Radha, " $\beta_6$  Near Rings", *Science, Technology and Development*, vol. 11, no. 05, pp. 438 - 443, 2022.
- [3] S. Geetha and G. Gopalakrishnamoorthy, "On Quasi – Weak Commutative Near – Rings Iii", *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 423 – 429, 2019.
- [4] G. Gopalakrishnamoorthy, M. Kamaraj And S. Geetha, "On Quasi Weak Commutative Near-Rings", *International Journal of Mathematics Research*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 431-440, 2013.
- [5] Gratzer and George, "Universal Algebra", Van Nostrand, 1968.
- [6] Gunter Pilz,, "Near Rings", North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [7] D.J. Hansen and Jiang Luh, "Boolean Near Rings and Weak Commutativity," *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)*, vol. 47, pp. 103 – 107, 1989.
- [8] Neal. H. McCoy, "Theory of Rings", Macmillan & Co, 1970.
- [9] D. Radha, C. Dhivya, M. Vinutha, K. Muthu Maheswari and S.R. Veronica Valli, "A Study on R-Near Ring", *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (Jetir)*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 434 – 439, 2019.

- [10] D. Radha and C. Dhivya, "On S-Near Rings and S'-Near Rings with Right Bipotency", *Jetir*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 952 - 1000, 2019.
- [11] D. Radha, M. Vinutha and C. Raja Lakshmi, "A Study on Gs-Near Ring," *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (Jetir)*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 2349 - 5162, 2019.
- [12] D. Radha, C. Dhivya and S.R. Veronica Valli, "A Study on Quasi Weak Commutative Gamma Near Rings," *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 2349 - 5162, 2019.
- [13] D. Radha, M. Vinutha and C. Dhivya, "On Prime Semi Near Rings", *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (Jetir)*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 2349 - 5162, 2019.
- [14] D. Radha and C. Dhivya, "Role of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  Near Ring in Boolean S-Near Ring", *International Multidisciplinary Innovative Research Journal*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 2456 - 4613, 2019.
- [15] D. Radha and C. Dhivya, "On Unit  $B_2$  Near Rings," *Studies in Indian Place Names*, vol. 40, no. 70, pp. 3413 – 3418, 2020.
- [16] D. Radha and C. Dhivya, "A Study on Cm (2,2) Near Ring," *Science, Technology and Development*, vol. 10, no. 03, pp. 626 - 633, 2021.
- [17] D. Radha, C. Dhivya and S. Shiny, "Some Results on S<sub>1</sub>-Near Rings", *Science, Technology and Development*, vol. 10, no. 04, pp. 191 -195, 2021.
- [18] D. Radha and C. Dhivya, "On Some Characterizations of Cm' (2,2) Near Ring", *Science, Technology and Development*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 104 - 111, 2021.
- [19] D. Radha and C. Dhivya, "On Some Characterizations of R-Near Rings", in Proc. *Icamipr 2*, pp. 235 - 240.
- [20] D. Radha and C. Dhivya, "The Algebraic Structures of Cm (2,2) Near Ring", in Proc. *Icmmhpcst*, pp. 409 - 412.
- [21] G. Sugantha and R. Balakrishnan, " $\beta_1$  Near-Rings," *International Journal of Algebra*, vol. 08, no. 01, pp. 1 - 7, 2014.
- [22] G. Sugantha and R. Balakrishnan, " $\beta_2$  Near-Rings," *Ultra Scientist*, vol. 26(1)A, pp. 63 - 68, 2014.
- [23] S. Uma, R. Balakrishnan, and T. Tamizh Chelvam, "Pseudo Commutative Near-Rings," *Scientia Magna*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 75-85, 2010.
- [24] Yong Uk Cho, "On Semicentral Idempotents in Near Rings," *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 77, pp. 3843 – 3846, 2015.
- [25] Yong Uk Cho, "Properties of Semicentral Idempotent Near-Rings," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 463 – 468, 2016.