## Fekete-Szegö Problems Involving Certain Integral Operator

### Jamal Salah

College of Applied Sciences A'Sharqiya University Ibra, A'Sharqiya, Oman Emails: damous73@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** In this paper, we obtain Fekete-Szegö inequality for certain normalized analytic function f(z) defined on the open unit disc for which  $\frac{z(J_{\eta,\lambda}f(z))'}{J_{\eta,\lambda}f(z)}$ ,  $(0 \leq \eta - 1 < \lambda < \eta < 2)$  lies in a region starlike with respect to 1 and is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Also certain applications of the main result for a class of functions defined by Hadamard product are given.

**Keywords:** Caputo's differentiation operator;; Hadamard product; Analytic functions; Starlike functions; Fekete-Szegö inequality.

## 1 Introduction

Let A denote the class of all analytic functions f(z) defined on the unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and  $A_o$  be the family of function  $f(z) \in A$  normalized by the conditions f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1

 $f \in A$  has the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (z \in U).$$
(1.1)

Let S be the family of functions  $f \in A_o$  which are univalent. Let  $\phi(z)$  be an analytic function with positive real part on A with  $\phi(0) = 1$ ,  $\phi'(0) > 0$  which maps the unit disk U onto a region starlike with respect to 1 which is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let  $S^*(\phi)$ be the class of functions in  $f \in S$  for which  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z)$ ,  $(z \in U)$  and  $C(\phi)$  be the class of functions in  $f \in S$  for which  $1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \phi(z)$ ,  $(z \in U)$ . Where  $\prec$  denotes the subordination between analytic functions. These classes where introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [4]. They obtained the Fekete-Szegö inequality for the functions in the class  $C(\phi)$ . Since  $f \in C(\phi)$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in S^*(\phi)$ , we get the Fekete-Szegö inequality for functions in the class  $S^*(\phi)$ . For a brief history of Fekete-Szegö problem for class of starlike, convex, and close-to convex functions, see [3]. Many authors went through the same investigation by involving certain integral operators, see for example [1, 2].

Salah and Darus have involved Caputo's definition of the fractional-order derivative

$$D^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{f^{(n)}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha+1-n}} d\tau$$

 $n-1 < Re(\alpha) \le n, n \in N$ , and the parameter  $\alpha$  is allowed to be real or even complex, a is the initial value of the function f. Together with the generalization operator of Salagean derivative operator and Libera integral operator

$$\Omega^{\lambda} f(z) = \Gamma(2-\lambda) z^{\lambda} D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda) \Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-\lambda+1)} a_{n} z^{n}$$

for any real  $\lambda$ . They have introduced the integral operator

$$J_{\eta,\lambda}f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2+\eta-\lambda)}{\Gamma(\eta-\lambda)} z^{\lambda-\eta} \int_0^z \frac{\Omega^{\eta}f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{\lambda+1-\eta}} d\xi$$

 $\eta$ (real number) and  $(\eta - 1 < \lambda \leq \eta < 2)$ . That has the following taylor series expansion

$$J_{\eta,\lambda}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\Gamma(n+1)\right)^2 \Gamma(2+\eta-\lambda) \Gamma(2-\eta)}{\Gamma(n+\eta-\lambda+1) \Gamma(n-\eta+1)} a_n z^n.$$

And satisfies  $J_{0,0} = f(z)$ ,  $J_{1,1} = zf'(z)$ . (For further details you may refer to [5]).

Making use of the previous integral operator we can introduce a generalization of the class  $S^*(\phi)$  by the following definition.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\phi(z)$  be a univalent function with respect to 1 which maps the unit disk U onto a region in the right half plane which is symmetric with respect to the real axis,  $\phi(0) = 1$  and  $\phi'(0) > 0$ . A function  $f \in A$  is in the class  $J^{\eta}_{\lambda}(\phi)$  if

$$\frac{z(J_{\eta},\lambda f(z))'}{J_{\eta},\lambda f(z)} \prec \phi(z)$$
(1.2)

it's obvious that if we put  $\eta = \lambda = 0$  the class  $J^{\eta}_{\lambda}(\phi)$  is reduced to the class  $S^*(\phi)$ .

Next we will follow the same technics of many authors (see for example [1,2,3] in order to solve Fekete-Szegö problem with respect to the class  $J^{\eta}_{\lambda}(\phi)$ .

To prove our main result, we need the following lemma

**Lemma 1.1 See [4]:** If  $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$  is analytic function with positive real part in U, then

$$\begin{split} |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| &\leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2, & \text{if } \nu \leq 0\\ 2, & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1 \\ 4\nu - 2, & \text{if } \nu \geq 1 \end{cases} \\ \text{When } \nu < 0 \text{ or } \nu > 1 \text{ , the equality holds if and} \\ \text{only if } p_1(z) \text{ is } (1+z)/(1-z) \text{ or one of its rotations. If } 0 < \nu < 1 \text{ , then equality holds} \end{cases}$$

only if  $p_1(z)$  is (1+z)/(1-z) or one of its rotations. If  $0 < \nu < 1$ , then equality holds if and only if  $p_1(z)$  is  $(1+z^2)/(1-z^2)$  or one of its rotations. If  $\nu = 0$ , the equality holds if and only if  $p_1(z) = (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma)\frac{1-z}{1+z}$ ,  $(0 \le \gamma \le 1)$  or one of its rotations. If  $\nu = 1$ , the equality holds if and only if  $p_1(z)$  is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that the equality holds in the case of  $\nu = 0$ . Also the above upper bound is sharp, it can be improved as follows when  $0 < \nu < 1$ :  $|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1|^2 \le 2$ ,  $(0 < \nu \le \frac{1}{2})$  and

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1 - \nu)|c_1|^2 \le 2, \ (\frac{1}{2} < \nu \le 1)$$

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Fekete-Szegö Problem

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots$ . If f(z) given by (1.1) belongs to  $J^{\eta}_{\lambda}(\phi)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| \leq \\ \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72}B_{2} - \frac{(2-\eta)^{2}(2+\eta-\lambda)^{2}\mu}{16}B_{1}^{2} + \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72}B_{1}^{2}, & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_{1}; \\ \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72}B_{1}, & \text{if } \sigma_{1} \leq \mu \leq \sigma_{2}; \\ -\frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72}B_{2} + \frac{(2-\eta)^{2}(2+\eta-\lambda)^{2}\mu}{16}B_{1}^{2} - \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72}B_{1}^{2}, & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_{2}, \\ \text{where} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{2(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)\left\{(B_2-B_1)+B_1^2\right\}}{9(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)B_1^2}$$
$$\sigma_2 := \frac{2(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)\left\{(B_2+B_1)+B_1^2\right\}}{9(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)B_1^2}$$

the result is sharp.

**Proof.** For  $f(z) \in J^{\eta}_{\lambda}(\phi)$ , let

$$p(z) = \frac{z(J_{\eta,\lambda}f(z))'}{J_{\eta,\lambda}f(z)} = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots.$$
(2.1)

From (2.1), we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)}{4}b_1$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72}(b_2+b_1^2)$$

since  $\phi(z)$  is univalent and  $p \prec \phi$ , the function

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1 + \phi^{-1}(p(z))}{1 - \phi^{-1}(p(z))} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

is analytic and has a positive real part in U. Also we have

$$p(z) = \phi\left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1}\right).$$
(2.2)

And from (2.2), we obtain

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1$$

and

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{2}B_1(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2$$

Therefore we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{144} B_1\{c_2 - \upsilon c_1^2\}$$
(2.3)

where

$$\upsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{9(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)\mu - 4(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{4(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)} B_1 \right)$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 1.1. To show that the bounds are sharp, we define the functions  $K_n^{\phi}(n=2,3,...)$  by

$$\frac{z(J_{\eta,\lambda}K_n^{\phi}(z))'}{J_{\eta,\lambda}K_n^{\phi}(z)} = \phi(z^{n-1})$$
  
$$K_n^{\phi}(0) = 0 = (K_n^{\phi}(0))' - 1$$

and the function  $F_{\gamma}$  and  $G_{\gamma}$ ,  $(0 \leq \gamma \leq 1)$  by

$$\frac{z(J_{\eta,\lambda}F_{\gamma}(z))'}{J_{\eta,\lambda}F_{\gamma}(z)} = \phi\left(\frac{z(z+\gamma)}{1+\gamma z}\right)$$
$$F_{\gamma}(0) = 0 = (F_{\gamma}(0))' - 1$$

and

,

,

,

$$\frac{z(J_{\eta,\lambda}G_{\gamma}(z))'}{J_{\eta,\lambda}G_{\gamma}(z)} = \phi\left(-\frac{z(z+\gamma)}{1+\gamma z}\right)$$
$$G_{\gamma}(0) = 0 = (G_{\gamma}(0))' - 1.$$

Clearly the functions  $K_n^{\phi}, F_{\gamma}, G_{\gamma} \in J_{\lambda}^{\eta}(\phi)$ . Also we write  $K^{\phi} := K_2^{\phi}$ . If  $\mu < \sigma_1$  or  $\mu > \sigma_2$ , then the equality holds if and only if f is  $K^{\phi}$  or one of its rotations. When  $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$ , the equality holds if and only if f is  $K_3^{\phi}$  or one of its rotations. If  $\mu = \sigma_1$  then the equality holds if and only if f is  $F_{\gamma}$  or one of its rotations. If  $\mu = \sigma_2$  then the equality holds if and only if f is rotations. If  $\mu = \sigma_2$  then the equality holds if and only if f is rotations.

**Remark 2.2.** If  $\sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_3$ , then, in view of Lemma 1.1, Theorem 2.1.can be improved. Let  $\sigma_3$  be given by

$$\sigma_3 := \frac{2(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)(B_1^2+B_2)}{9(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)B_1^2}$$

If  $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{2(3 - \eta)(3 + \eta - \lambda)}{9(2 - \eta)(2 + \eta - \lambda)} \left[ B_1 - B_2 + \frac{9(2 - \eta)(2 + \eta - \lambda)\mu - 2(3 - \eta)(3 + \eta - \lambda)}{2(3 - \eta)(3 + \eta - \lambda)} B_1^2 \right] |a_2^2| \\ \leq \frac{(2 - \eta)(3 - \eta)(2 + \eta - \lambda)(3 + \eta - \lambda)}{72} B_1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{2(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{9(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)} \left[ B_1 + B_2 - \frac{9(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)\mu - 2(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{2(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)} B_1^2 \right] |a_2^2| \\ \leq \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_1 \end{aligned}$$

# 3 Applications to functions defined by fractional derivatives.

For two analytic functions  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ , their convolution is defined to be the function (f \* g)(z) given by  $(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$ . For fixed  $g \in A_o$ , let  $J_{\lambda}^{\eta,g}(\phi)$  be the class of functions  $f \in A_o$  for which  $(f * g) \in J_{\lambda}^{\eta}(\phi)$ .

**Definition 3.1.**Let f(z) be analytic function in a simply connected region of the z - plane containing the origin. The fractional derivative of order  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$D_z^{\gamma}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{\gamma}} d\xi, (0 \le \gamma < 1).$$

Where the multiplicity of  $(z-\xi)^{\gamma}$  is removed by requiring that  $\log(z-\xi)$  is real for  $(z-\xi) > 0$ . Using the above definition and its known extensions involving fractional derivative and fractional integrals, Owa and Srivastava [6] introduced the operator  $\Omega^{\gamma} : A_o \to A_o$  defined by

$$\Omega^{\gamma} f(z) = \Gamma(2-\gamma) z^{\gamma} D_z^{\gamma} f(z), (\gamma \neq 2, 3, 4, \ldots)$$

The class  $J^{\eta,\gamma}_{\delta}(\phi)$  consists of functions  $f \in A_o$  for which  $\Omega^{\gamma} f \in J^{\eta}_{\delta}(\phi)$ . Note that  $J^{\eta,\gamma}_{\delta}(\phi)$  is the special case of the class  $J^{\eta,q}_{\lambda}(\phi)$  when

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(n+1-\gamma)} z^n$$

Let

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n, (g_n > 0).$$

Since  $J_{\eta,\lambda}f(z) \in J_{\lambda}^{\eta,g}(\phi)$  if and only if  $J_{\eta,\lambda}f(z) * g(z) \in J_{\lambda}^{\eta}(\phi)$ , we obtain the coefficient estimate for functions in the class  $J_{\lambda}^{\eta,g}(\phi)$ , from the corresponding estimate for functions in the class  $J_{\lambda}^{\eta}(\phi)$ .

Applying Theorem 2.1. for the function  $J_{\eta,\lambda}f(z)*g(z)$  we get the following Theorem 3.2 after obvious change of the parameter  $\mu$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Theorem 3.2.Let } g(z) &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n, (g_n > 0), \text{ and let the function } \phi(z) \text{ be given by} \\ \phi(z) &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k z^k. \text{ If } J_{\eta,\lambda} f(z) \text{ given by } (1.3) \text{ belongs to } J_{\lambda}^{\eta,g}(\phi) \text{ , then} \\ &\quad |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \\ \begin{cases} \frac{1}{g_3} \left[ \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_2 - \frac{(2-\eta)^2(2+\eta-\lambda)^2\mu g_3}{16g_2^2} B_1^2 + \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_1^2 \right], & \text{ if } \mu \leq \sigma_1; \\ \frac{1}{g_3} \left[ \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_1 \right], & \text{ if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2; \\ \frac{1}{g_3} \left[ -\frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_2 + \frac{(2-\eta)^2(2+\eta-\lambda)^2\mu g_3}{16g_2^2} B_1^2 - \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_1^2 \right], & \text{ if } \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{2g_2^2(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)\left\{(B_2-B_1)+B_1^2\right\}}{9g_3(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)B_1^2}$$
$$\sigma_1 := \frac{2g_2^2(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)\left\{(B_2+B_1)+B_1^2\right\}}{9g_3(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)B_1^2}.$$

The result is sharp.

Since

$$(\Omega^{\gamma} J_{\eta,\lambda} f)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(n+1-\gamma)} \theta(n) a_n z^n$$

,and

$$\left(\theta(n) = \frac{\left(\Gamma\left(n+1\right)\right)^2 \Gamma\left(2+\eta-\lambda\right) \Gamma\left(2-\eta\right)}{\Gamma\left(n+\eta-\lambda+1\right) \Gamma\left(n-\eta+1\right)}\right).$$

We have

$$g_2 := \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(3-\gamma)} = \frac{2}{2-\gamma}$$
(3.1)

$$g_3 := \frac{\Gamma(4)\Gamma(3-\gamma)}{\Gamma(4-\gamma)} = \frac{6}{(2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)}$$
(3.2)

Using (3.1) and (3.2), Theorem 3.2. reduces to the following

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Theorem 3.3.Let } g(z) &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n, (g_n > 0), \text{ and let the function } \phi(z) \text{ be given by} \\ \phi(z) &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k z^k. \text{ If } J_{\eta,\lambda} f(z) \text{ given by } (1.3) \text{ belongs to } J_{\lambda}^{\eta,g}(\phi) \text{ , then} \\ &|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \\ \frac{2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)}{6} \left[ \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_2 - \frac{3(2-\gamma)(2-\eta)^2(2+\eta-\lambda)^2\mu}{32(3-\gamma)} B_1^2 + \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_1^2 \right], \text{ if } \mu \leq \sigma_1; \\ \frac{2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)}{6} \left[ \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_1 \right], \text{ if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2; \\ \frac{2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)}{6} \left[ -\frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_2 + \frac{3(2-\gamma)(2-\eta)^2(2+\eta-\lambda)^2\mu}{32(3-\gamma)} B_1^2 - \frac{(2-\eta)(3-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)(3+\eta-\lambda)}{72} B_1^2 \right], \text{ if } \mu \geq \sigma_2; \\ \text{Where} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{4(3-\gamma)(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)\left\{(B_2-B_1)+B_1^2\right\}}{27(2-\gamma)(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)B_1^2}$$
$$\sigma_2 := \frac{4(3-\gamma)(3-\eta)(3+\eta-\lambda)\left\{(B_2+B_1)+B_1^2\right\}}{27(2-\gamma)(2-\eta)(2+\eta-\lambda)B_1^2}.$$

The result is sharp.

# References

- K. Al-Shaqsi and M.Darus, On Fekete-Szegö Problem For Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions, Appl. Math. Sc, Vol. 2, 2008, no. 9,431-441.
- [2] T.N.Shanmugam and S.Sivasubramanian, On Fekete-Szegö Problem For Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions, J.Ineq.Pure and Appl.Math. 6(3) Art.71.2005.
- [3] H.M.SRISTAVA and A.K.MISHRA and M.K.DAS, The Fekete-Szegöproblem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions, Comples Variables, Theory Appl., 44(2001),145-163.
- [4] W.MA and D.MINDA, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in: Proceedings of Conference on Complex Analysis, Z.Li, F.Ren, L.Yang, and S.Zhang(Eds), Int. Press(1994), 157-169.
- [5] Salah. J Darus, M. Certain subclass of analytic functions associated with fractional calculus operator. Trans. J. Math. Mecha. 3(2011) 35-42.
- [6] S.Owa and H.M.Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canad.J.Math.39(5) (1987),1057-1077.