

Boolean Algebras of Pre A*-Algebra

Vijayarathi.S^{#1}, Srinivasa Rao.K^{*2}

[#]Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, SCSVMV University, Kanchipuram, India

^{*}Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, SCSVMV University, Kanchipuram, India

Abstract— In this paper, we obtain Boolean algebras $\mathfrak{B}_{P(A)}$, $\mathfrak{B}_{M(A)}$ from Pre A*-algebra, and proved that $\mathfrak{B}_{P(A)}$, $\mathfrak{B}_{M(A)}$ and $B(A)$ are isomorphic to each other.

Keywords- Pre A*-Algebra, Centre of Pre A*-Algebra, Boolean Algebra, Isomorphism, Partial Ordering

1. INTRODUCTION

In a draft paper [2], E.G.Maines introduced the concept of Ada (Algebra of disjoint alternatives) $(A, \wedge, \vee, (-)', (-)_{\pi}, 0, 1, 2)$ which is however differ from the definition of the Ada of his later paper[9]. While the Ada of the earlier draft seems to be based on extending the If-Then-Else concept more on the basis of Boolean algebras and the later concept is based on C-algebras $(A, \wedge, \vee, ')$ introduced by Fernando Guzman and Craig C. Squir [1]. In [3], introduced the concept of A*-algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, *, (-)^{\sim} (-)_{\pi}, 0, 1, 2)$ and studied the equivalence with Ada, C-algebra, Ada's connection with 3-Ring, Stone type representation also introduced the concept of A*-clone, the If-Then-Else structure over A*-algebra and Ideals of A*-algebra. In [4], introduced the concept Pre A*-algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, (-)^{\sim})$ analogous to C-algebra. In [5], defined partial ordering on Pre-A* algebra by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \wedge y = y \wedge x = x$ and studied the properties of this partial ordering. Given necessary and sufficient conditions for Pre A*-algebra to become a lattice. In[6], defined congruence relation on Pre A*-algebra by $\theta_x = \{(p, q) \in A \times A \mid x \wedge p = x \wedge q\}$ and studied the subdirectly irreducible representation of Pre A*-algebra. In [7], defined ternary operation of Pre A*-algebra, and established Cayley's theorem on Centre of Pre A*-algebra. In [8], proved that if A is a Pre A*-algebra, $x \in A$, then $M_x = \{s \in A \mid s \leq x\}$ is a Pre A*-algebra under the induced operations \wedge, \vee where the complementation is defined by $s^* = x \wedge s^{\sim}$ the relation defined on Pre A* algebra A by $s \leq x$ if $s \wedge x = x \wedge s = s$ and the mapping $\alpha_x : A \rightarrow M_x$ defined by $\alpha_x(s) = x \wedge s$ for all $s \in A$ is a homomorphism of A onto M_x with kernel θ_x and hence $A / \theta_x \cong M_x$ also studied the decomposition of Pre A*-algebra. In this paper we prove that three Boolean algebras $B(A)$, $\mathfrak{B}_{P(A)}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{M(A)}$ are isomorphic to each other.

2. PRELIMANARIES

In this section we recall the definition of Pre A*-algebra and some results from [5,6] which will be required later.

2.1. Definition:

An algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, (-)^{\sim})$ where A is non-empty set with $1, \wedge, \vee$ are binary operations and $(-)^{\sim}$ is a unary operation satisfying

- (a) $x^{\sim\sim} = x, \quad \forall x \in A$
- (b) $x \wedge x = x, \quad \forall x \in A$
- (c) $x \wedge y = y \wedge x, \quad \forall x, y \in A$
- (d) $(x \wedge y)^{\sim} = x^{\sim} \vee y^{\sim}, \quad \forall x, y \in A$
- (e) $x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z, \quad \forall x, y, z \in A$
- (f) $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A$
- (g) $x \wedge y = x \wedge (x^{\sim} \vee y), \quad \forall x, y \in A.$ is called a Pre A*-algebra

2.2. Example:

$\mathbf{3} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with operations $\wedge, \vee, (-)^{\sim}$ defined below is a Pre A*-algebra.

\wedge	0	1	2		\vee	0	1	2		x	x^{\sim}
0	0	0	2		0	0	1	2		0	1
1	0	1	2		1	1	1	2		1	0
2	2	2	2		2	2	2	2		2	2

It can be observed that 2.1(a) and 2.1(b) imply that the varieties of Pre A*-algebras satisfies all dual statements of 2.1(b) to 2.1(g).

Lemma2.3: Every Pre A*-algebra satisfies the following laws[5,6]

- (a) $x \vee 1 = x \vee x^{\sim}$ and $x \wedge 0 = x \wedge x^{\sim}$
- (c) $x \wedge (x^{\sim} \vee x) = x \vee (x^{\sim} \wedge x) = x$
- (d) $(x \vee x^{\sim}) \wedge y = (x \wedge y) \vee (x^{\sim} \wedge y)$
- (e) $(x \vee y) \wedge z = (x \wedge z) \vee (x^{\sim} \wedge y \wedge z)$
- (f) $x \wedge y = 0, x \vee y = 1$, then $y = x^{\sim}$
- (g) If $x \vee y = 0$, then $x = y = 0$ and If $x \vee y = 1$, then $x \vee x^{\sim} = 1$

Definition 2.4. [5]: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra. An element $x \in A$ is called central element of A if $x \vee x^{\sim} = 1$ and the set $\{x \in A / x \vee x^{\sim} = 1\}$ of all central elements of A is called the centre of A and it is denoted by $B(A)$.

Theorem 2.5. [5]: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra with 1, then $B(A)$ is a Boolean algebra with the induced operations $\wedge, \vee, (-)^{\sim}$

Lemma2.6. [5]: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra with 1 ,

- (a) If $y \in B(A)$ then $x \wedge x^{\sim} \wedge y = x \wedge x^{\sim}, \forall x \in A$ (b) If $x, y \in B(A)$ then $x \wedge (x \vee y) = x \vee (x \wedge y) = x$

Lemma 2.7. [6] : Let $(A, \wedge, \vee, (-)^{\sim})$ be a Pre A*-algebra and let $a \in A$.Then the relation

- $\theta_a = \{(x, y) \in A \times A / a \wedge x = a \wedge y\}$ is (a) a congruence relation (b) $\theta_a \cap \theta_{a^{\sim}} = \theta_{a \vee a^{\sim}}$
- (c) $\theta_a \cap \theta_b \subseteq \theta_{a \vee b}$ (d) $\theta_a \cap \theta_{a^{\sim}} \subseteq \theta_{a \wedge a^{\sim}}$

Lemma 2.8 [6]: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra and $a, b \in B(A)$, then $\theta_a \cap \theta_b = \theta_{a \vee b}$

Lemma 2.9 [6]: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra, let Δ_A denote the trivial congruence on A : $\Delta_A = \{(x, x) / x \in A\}$ then (a)

- $\theta_a = \Delta_A$ if and only if $a \wedge x = x, \forall x \in A$ (b) $\theta_a = A \times A$ if and only if $a \wedge x = a, \forall x \in A$ (c)
- $(a, b) \in \theta_a, \theta_b$ then $a = b$ (d) If $a \in B(A)$ then $\theta_a \cap \theta_{a^{\sim}} = \Delta_A$

Theorem 2.10. [6] :Let A be a Pre A*-algebra, then $A / \theta = \{\theta_a / a \in A\}$ is a Pre A*-algebra, is called quotient Pre A*-Algebra, whose operations are defined as $\theta_a \wedge \theta_b = \theta_{a \wedge b}, \theta_a \vee \theta_b = \theta_{a \vee b}$ an $(\theta_a)^{\sim} = \theta_{a^{\sim}}$

Definition 2.11.[6]:An algebra A is called subdirectly irreducible provided there is a congruence ϕ on A such that $\phi \neq \Delta_A$, and if $\theta \neq \Delta_A$ is a congruence on A , then $\phi \subseteq \theta$

Theorem 2.12. [6] : **2** and **3** are the only sub-directly irreducible Pre A*-algebras.

Corollary 2.13. [6]: Every Pre A*-algebra is a sub algebra of a product of copies of **3**.

Lemma 2.14. [6]: Let A be Pre A*-algebra with 1 and $a, b \in B(A)$ then (a) $\theta_a \circ \theta_b = \theta_{a \wedge b}$ (b) $\theta_a \circ \theta_b = \theta_b \circ \theta_a$ (c) $\theta_a \subseteq \theta_b$ if and only if $b = a \wedge b$ (d) $\theta_a \circ \theta_a^- = A \times A$

Definition 2.15.[6]:A congruence θ on an algebra A is called a factor congruence on A if there is a congruence ϕ on A such that $\theta \cap \phi = \Delta_A$ and $\theta \circ \phi = A \times A$.

Theorem 2.16.[6]: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra with 1 and θ be congruence on A. If $\theta = \theta_x$ for some $x \in B(A)$, then θ_x is a factor congruence on A .

Now we prove some important properties of Pre A*-algebra

Theorem 2.17: Let A be a Pre A*-Algebra and $x, y \in A$, then $x \vee x^\sim \vee y = x \vee y \vee y^\sim$

Proof: $x \vee x^\sim \vee y = x \vee (y \vee x^\sim)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= x \vee \{y \vee (y^\sim \wedge x^\sim)\} \\ &= (x \vee y) \vee \{x \vee (y^\sim \wedge x^\sim)\} \\ &= (x \vee y) \vee \{x \vee (x^\sim \wedge y^\sim)\} \\ &= (x \vee y) \vee (x \vee y^\sim) \\ &= x \vee y \vee y^\sim \end{aligned}$$

Theorem.2.18:Let A be a Pre A*-Algebra and $x, y \in A$, $\{x \vee (x \wedge y)\} \vee \{x^\sim \vee (x^\sim \wedge y)\} = x \vee x^\sim \vee y$

Proof: $\{x \vee (x \wedge y)\} \vee \{x^\sim \vee (x^\sim \wedge y)\} = x \vee (x \wedge y) \vee x^\sim \vee (x^\sim \wedge y)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \{x^\sim \vee (x \wedge y)\} \vee \{x \vee (x^\sim \wedge y)\} \\ &= x^\sim \vee y \vee x \vee y \\ &= x^\sim \vee x \vee y \\ &= x \vee x^\sim \vee y \end{aligned}$$

Theorem.2.19: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra with 1 and $x, y \in A$ such that $x \vee y \in B(A)$ then $x \in B(A)$

Proof: Let a Pre A*-algebra with 1 and $x, y \in A$ such that $x \vee y \in B(A)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (x \vee y) \vee (x \vee y)^\sim \\ &= (x \vee y) \vee (x^\sim \wedge y^\sim) \\ &= (x \vee y \vee x^\sim) \wedge (x \vee y \vee y^\sim) \\ &= (x \vee x^\sim \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y \vee y^\sim) \\ &= (x \vee y \vee y^\sim) \wedge (x \vee y \vee y^\sim) \quad (\text{Theorem 2.17}) \\ &= x \vee y \vee y^\sim \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $1 = x \vee y \vee y^\sim$ -----(a)

Now $x \vee x^\sim = (x \vee x^\sim) \wedge 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (x \vee x^\sim) \wedge (x \vee y \vee y^\sim) \\ &= [x \wedge (x \vee y \vee y^\sim)] \vee [x^\sim \wedge (x \vee y \vee y^\sim)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [x \wedge (x \vee x^{\sim} \vee y)] \vee [x^{\sim} \wedge (x \vee x^{\sim} \vee y)] \quad \text{Theorem 2.17} \\
 &= [x \wedge \{x^{\sim} \vee (x \vee y)\}] \vee [x^{\sim} \wedge \{x \vee (x^{\sim} \vee y)\}] \\
 &= [x \wedge (x \vee y)] \vee [x^{\sim} \wedge (x^{\sim} \vee y)] \quad \text{Property 2.1(g)} \\
 &= [x \vee (x \wedge y)] \vee [x^{\sim} \vee (x^{\sim} \wedge y)] \\
 &= (x \vee y) \vee (x^{\sim} \vee y) \\
 &= x \vee x^{\sim} \vee y \\
 &= x \vee y \vee y^{\sim} \quad \text{Theorem 2.17} \\
 &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $x \in B(A)$

The converse of the above theorem need not be true. For example, in the Pre-A* Algebra A, we know $0 \in B(A)$ but $0 \vee 2 = 2 \notin B(A)$. We have the following consequence of the above theorem.

Lemma.2.20: Let A be a Pre-A* Algebra with 1, $a, b \in A$ and $a \wedge b \in B(A)$, then $a \in B(A)$

Proof: Let $a \wedge b \in B(A)$, then we have $(a \wedge b)^{\sim} \in B(A) \Rightarrow a^{\sim} \vee b^{\sim} \in B(A)$
 $\Rightarrow a^{\sim} \in B(A)$
 $\Rightarrow a \in B(A)$

3. The Pre A*-Algebra

We prove that, for each $x \in A$, $P_x = \{x \vee t / t \in A\}$ is itself a Pre-A* algebra under induced operations \wedge, \vee and unary operation is defined by $(x \vee t)^* = x \wedge t^{\sim}$. We observe that P_x need not be a sub-algebra of A because the unary operation in P_x is not the restriction of the unary operation on A. Also for each $x \in A$, the set $M_x = \{s \in A / s \leq x\}$ is a Pre A*-algebra under the induced operations \wedge, \vee where the complementation is defined by $s^* = x \wedge s^{\sim}$. We prove that $\mathfrak{F}_{P(A)} = \{P_a \mid a \in B(A)\}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{M(A)} = \{M_a \mid a \in B(A)\}$ is a Boolean algebras under set, also we establish that $B(A), \mathfrak{F}_{P(A)}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{M(A)}$ are isomorphic to each other

Theorem 3.1: Let $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \sim \rangle$ be a Pre -A* algebra, $x \in A$ and $P_x = \{x \vee t / t \in A\}$, then $\langle P_x, \wedge, \vee, * \rangle$ is a Pre-A* algebra with x as the identity for \vee , where \wedge and \vee are the operations in A restricted to P_x and for any $x \vee t \in P_x$, here $(x \vee t)^* = x \vee t^{\sim}$

Proof:

Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then $(x \vee a) \vee (x \vee b) = x \vee (a \vee b) \in P_x$ and $(x \vee a) \wedge (x \vee b) = x \vee (a \wedge b) \in P_x$. Thus \vee, \wedge are closed in P_x . Consider $(x \vee a)^{**} = \{(x \vee a)^*\}^* = (x \vee a^{\sim})^* = (x \vee a^{\sim\sim}) = (x \vee a)$. Therefore $(x \vee a)^{**} = (x \vee a)$.

Now $[(x \vee a) \wedge (x \vee b)]^* = [x \vee (a \wedge b)]^* = x \vee (a \wedge b)^{\sim} = x \vee (a^{\sim} \vee b^{\sim}) = (x \vee a)^* \wedge (x \vee b)^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x \vee a) \wedge \{(x \vee a)^* \vee (x \vee b)\} &= (x \vee a) \wedge \{(x \vee a^{\sim}) \vee (x \vee b)\} \\
 &= (x \vee a) \wedge \{(x \vee (a^{\sim} \vee b))\} \\
 &= x \vee (a \wedge (a^{\sim} \vee b)) \\
 &= x \vee (a \wedge b) .
 \end{aligned}$$

The remaining identities of Pre A*-algebra also hold in P_x because they hold in A. Hence P_x is itself a Pre A*-algebra. Here x is the identity for \vee because $x \vee x \vee a = x \vee a \vee x = x \vee a$ and

$x \vee x^{\sim}$ is the identity for \wedge because $(x \vee x^{\sim}) \wedge (x \vee a) = x \vee (x^{\sim} \wedge a) = x \vee a$

Theorem 3.2: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra. Then the following holds.

(i) $P_x = P_y$ if and only if $x = y$

(ii) $P_x \cap P_y = P_{x \vee y}$

(iii) $(P_x)_{x \vee y} = P_{x \vee y}$

Proof: Suppose $P_x = P_y$. Since $x = x \vee x \in P_x = P_y$ and $y = y \vee y \in P_y = P_x$. Therefore $x = y \vee a$ and $y = x \vee b$ for some $a, b \in A$. Now, $x = y \vee a = (y \vee a \vee y) \vee (y \vee y \vee a) = (x \vee y) \wedge (y \vee x) = (x \vee x \vee b) \wedge (x \vee b \vee x) = x \vee b = y$. The converse is trivial

(ii) Suppose $a \in P_x \cap P_y$. Then $a = x \vee b = y \vee c$ for some $b, c \in A$.

Now $a = x \vee x \vee b = x \vee a = x \vee y \vee c \in P_{x \vee y}$. Therefore $P_x \cap P_y \subseteq P_{x \vee y}$

Let $a \in P_{x \vee y}$, then $a = x \vee y \vee b$ for some $b \in A$

Now $a = x \vee y \vee b = x \vee t \in P_x$, where $t = y \vee b$

Again $a = x \vee y \vee b = a = y \vee x \vee b = y \vee s \in P_y$, where $s = x \vee b$. So $a \in P_x \cap P_y$.

Therefore $P_{x \vee y} \subseteq P_x \cap P_y$

Hence $P_x \cap P_y = P_{x \vee y}$

(iii) $(P_x)_{x \vee y} = \{x \vee y \vee a / a \in P_x\} = \{x \vee y \vee x \vee b | b \in A\} = \{x \vee y \vee b | b \in A\} = P_{x \vee y}$

Theorem 3.3: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra with 1 and $x \in A$, then the mapping $\alpha_x : A \rightarrow P_x$ defined by $\alpha_x(t) = x \vee a$ for all $a \in A$ is a homomorphism of A to P_x with kernel θ_{x^-} and hence $A | \theta_{x^-} \cong P_x$

Proof : Let $a, b \in A$, then $\alpha_x(a \vee b) = x \vee a \vee b = x \vee a \vee x \vee b = \alpha_x(a) \vee \alpha_x(b)$ and $\alpha_x(a \sim) = x \vee a \sim = (x \vee a)^* = (\alpha_x(a))^*$. Clearly $\alpha_x(a \wedge b) = x \vee (a \wedge b) = (x \vee a) \wedge (x \vee b) = \alpha_x(a) \wedge \alpha_x(b)$.

Also $\alpha_x(1) = x \vee 1 = x \vee x \sim$, which is the identity for \wedge in P_x . Therefore α_x is a homomorphism. Hence by the fundamental theorem of homomorphism $A | Ker \alpha_x \cong P_x$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Ker \alpha_x &= \{(a, b) \in A \times A | \alpha_x(a) = \alpha_x(b)\} \\ &= \{(a, b) \in A \times A | x \vee a = y \vee b\} \\ &= \{(a, b) \in A \times A | x \sim \wedge a = y \sim \wedge b\} = \theta_{x^-} \text{ and hence } A | \theta_{x^-} \cong P_x. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra with 1 and $a \in B(A)$, then $A \cong P_a \times P_{a^-}$.

Proof: Define $\alpha : A \rightarrow P_a \times P_{a^-}$ by $\alpha(x) = (\alpha_a(x), \alpha_{a^-}(x))$ for all $x \in A$. Then by Theorem 3.3, α is well-defined and α is a homogenous. Now we prove that α is one-one.

Let $x, y \in A$ and $\alpha(x) = \alpha(y)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow (\alpha_a(x), \alpha_{a^-}(x)) = (\alpha_a(y), \alpha_{a^-}(y)) \\ &\Rightarrow (a \vee x, a \sim \vee x) = (a \vee y, a \sim \vee y) \Rightarrow a \vee x = a \vee y \text{ and } a \sim \vee x = a \sim \vee y \end{aligned}$$

Now $x = 1 \vee x = (a \wedge a \sim) \vee x = (a \vee x) \wedge (a \sim \vee x) = (a \vee y) \wedge (a \sim \vee y) = y$.

Finally, we prove that α is onto.

Let $(x, y) \in P_a \times P_{a^-}$, then $x = a \vee t$ and $y = a \sim \vee r$ for some $t, r \in A$. Therefore, $a \vee x = a \vee a \vee t = a \vee t = x$, $a \vee y = a \vee a \sim \vee r = 1 \vee r = 1$ and $a \sim \vee x = a \sim \vee a \vee t = 1 \vee t = 1$, $a \sim \vee y = a \sim \vee a \sim \vee r = a \sim \vee r = y$.

Now $\alpha(x \wedge y) = (\alpha_a(x \wedge y), \alpha_{a^-}(x \wedge y))$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (a \vee (x \wedge y), a^{\sim} \vee (x \wedge y)) \\ &= ((a \vee x) \wedge (a \vee y), (a^{\sim} \vee x) \wedge (a^{\sim} \vee y)) = (x \wedge 1, 1 \wedge y) = (x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore α is onto and is an isomorphism. Therefore $A \cong P_a \times P_{a^-}$.

Theorem 3.5: Let $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \sim \rangle$ be a Pre A*-algebra with 1, then the set $\mathfrak{P}_{P(A)} = \{P_a \mid a \in B(A)\}$ is a Boolean algebra under set inclusion.

Proof: Clearly $(\mathfrak{P}_{P(A)}, \subseteq)$ is a partially ordered set under inclusion. First we show that $P_{a \vee b}$ is the infimum of $\{P_a, P_b\}$ and $P_{a \wedge b}$ is the supremum of $\{P_a, P_b\}$.

From the theorem 3.2 of (ii), shows that $P_{a \vee b}$ is the infimum of $\{P_a, P_b\}$.

Let $t \in P_a$, then $t = a \vee x$ for some $x \in A$.

Now $t = a \vee x = (a \wedge (a \vee b)) \vee x = (a \wedge b) \vee a \vee x \in P_{a \wedge b}$. Therefore $P_a \subseteq P_{a \wedge b}$. Similarly we can prove that $P_b \subseteq P_{a \wedge b}$. Therefore $P_{a \wedge b}$ is an upper bound of P_a, P_b . Suppose P_c is the upper bound of P_a, P_b and $t \in P_{a \wedge b}$, then $t = (a \wedge b) \vee x$ for some $x \in A$.

Now $t = (a \wedge b) \vee x = \{a \wedge (a^{\sim} \vee b)\} \vee x = (a \vee x) \wedge (a^{\sim} \vee b \vee x) = (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee a^{\sim} \vee x) \in S_c$.

(since $a \vee x \in S_a \subseteq S_c$, $b \vee a^{\sim} \vee x \in S_b \subseteq S_c$ and S_c is closed under \wedge). Therefore $P_{a \wedge b}$ is the supremum of $\{P_a, P_b\}$.

Denote supremum of $\{P_a, P_b\}$ by $P_a \vee P_b$ and infimum of $\{P_a, P_b\}$ by $P_a \wedge P_b$. Now $P_1 \wedge P_a = P_{1 \vee a} = P_a$ and $P_0 \vee P_a = P_{0 \wedge a} = P_0$. So P_1 is the least element and P_0 is the greatest element of $(\mathfrak{P}_{P(A)}, \subseteq)$. Now for any $a, b, c \in B(A)$, $(P_a \vee P_b) \wedge P_c = P_{(a \wedge b) \vee c} = P_{(a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c)} = P_{a \vee c} \vee P_{b \vee c} = (P_a \wedge P_c) \vee (P_b \wedge P_c)$.

Also $P_a \wedge P_{a^-} = P_{a \vee a^-} = P_1$ and $P_a \vee P_{a^-} = P_{a \wedge a^-} = P_0$. Therefore $(\mathfrak{P}_{P(A)}, \subseteq)$ is a complimented distributive lattice and hence it is a Boolean algebra.

Theorem 3.6: Let A be a Pre A*-algebra with 1. Define $\varphi : B(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{P(A)}$ by $\varphi(a) = P_{a^-}$ for all $a \in B(A)$. Then φ is an isomorphism.

Proof: Let $a, b \in B(A)$, then $\varphi(a \wedge b) = P_{(a \wedge b)^-} = P_{a^-} \wedge P_{b^-} = \varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)$; $\varphi(a \vee b) = P_{(a \vee b)^-} = P_{a^-} \vee P_{b^-} = \varphi(a) \vee \varphi(b)$ and $\varphi(a^{\sim}) = P_{a^-} = (P_a)^{\sim} = (\varphi(a))^{\sim}$. Clearly φ is both one-one and onto. Hence $B(A) \cong \mathfrak{P}_{P(A)}$.

In [5], defined partial ordering on Pre-A* algebra by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \wedge y = y \wedge x = x$ and studied the properties of this partial ordering. Given necessary and sufficient conditions for Pre A*-algebra to become a lattice. In[5,8], proved that if A is a Pre A*-algebra and $x \in A$, then $M_x = \{s \in A \mid s \leq x\}$ is a Pre A*-algebra under the induced operations \wedge, \vee where the complementation is defined by $s^* = x \wedge s^{\sim}$ the relation defined on Pre A* algebra A by $s \leq x$ if $s \wedge x = x \wedge s = s$ and the mapping $\alpha_x : A \rightarrow M_x$ defined by $\alpha_x(s) = x \wedge s$ for all $s \in A$ is a homomorphism of A onto M_x with kernel θ_x and hence $A / \theta_x \cong M_x$, where $\theta_x = \{(p, q) \in A \times A \mid x \wedge p = x \wedge q\}$. We can easily see that the Pre A*-algebras P_x, A_x are different in general where $x \in A$. Now, we prove that the set of all A_a 's where $a \in B(A)$ is a Boolean Algebra under set inclusion. The following theorem can be proved analogous to theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.7: Let A be Pre A*-algebra with 1. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{M(A)} = \{M_a \mid a \in B(A)\}$ is a Boolean algebra under set inclusion in which the supremum of $\{A_a, A_b\} = A_{a \vee b}$ and the infimum $\{A_a, A_b\} = A_{a \wedge b}$. The Proof of the following theorem is analogous to that of theorem 3.6

Theorem 3.8: Let A be a Pre A^* -algebra with 1, define $\psi : B(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{M(A)}$ by $\psi(a) = M_a$ for all $a \in B(A)$. Then ψ is an isomorphism

The following lemma can be proved directly from 3.6 and 3.8

Lemma 3.9: Let A be a Pre A^* -algebra with 1, then $B(A)$, $\mathfrak{S}_{P(A)}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{M(A)}$ are isomorphic to each other.

References:

- [1] Fernando Guzman and Craig C.Squir: The Algebra of Conditional logic, Algebra Universalis 27(1990), 88-110
- [2] Manes E.G: The Equational Theory of Disjoint Alternatives, personal communication to Prof.N.V.Subrahmanyam(1989)
- [3] Koteswara Rao.P, A^* -Algebra an If-Then-Else structures(Doctoral thesis) 1994, Nagarjuna University, A.P., India
- [4] Venkateswara Rao.J., On A^* -Algebras(Doctoral Thesis) 2000, Nagarjuna University, A.P., India
- [5] Venkateswara Rao.J and Srinivasa Rao.K, Pre A^* -Algebra as a Poset, African Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Research. Vol.2 (4), pp 073-080, May 2009.
- [6] Venkateswara Rao.J and Srinivasa Rao.K, Congruence relation on Pre A^* -Algebra, Journal of Mathematical Sciences , Vol.4, Issue 4,2009, page 295-312.
- [7] Srinivasa Rao.K, and Venkateswara Rao.J , Cayley's Theorem on Centre of a Pre -Algebra, International Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol.5, No.1, 2010 pp 103- 111
- [8] Venkateswara Rao.J, Srinivasa Rao.K, D.Kalyani, Decomposition of Pre A^* -Algebra, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications Vol. 1 No. 1, January, 2011
- [9] Manes E.G: Ada and the Equational Theory of If-Then-Else, Algebra Universalis 30(1993), 373-394.