*Original Article*

## Complete Strong φ-Metric Spaces

Stela Çeno<sup>1</sup>, Ledia Subashi<sup>2</sup>, Dhurata Valera<sup>3</sup>

*1, <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Elbasan "Aleksandër Xhuvani", Elbasan, Albania. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Tirana, Tirana, Albania.* 

*<sup>1</sup>[Corresponding Author: stela.ceno@uniel.edu.al](mailto:1stela.ceno@uniel.edu.al))*

Received: 18 August 2024 Revised: 30 September 2024 Accepted: 16 November 2024 Published: 30 November 2024

*Abstract - In this paper, after presenting the concept of the strong φ-metric space, we establish some properties for complete spaces, dense or bounded subsets, and even uniformly continuous functions defined in such spaces. Our results boost (generalize) plenty of pre-existing results in the literature.* 

*Keywords - Strong φ-metric, Strong φ-metric space, Completeness, Dense space, Uniformly continuous function.*

## **1. Introduction**

The idea of strong φ-metric was first presented in, inspired by a huge supply of generalizations about the metric function like in [1,2,3,4]. Also, building properties about the strong φ-metric space has been a goal for the authors since then. [5, 6] In this article, we try to list some properties and definitions of the strong φ-metric space in the completeness framework and hope to extend our work in fixed point theory.

Firstly, we introduce some basic definitions of our new metric space.

**Definition1.1.** [1] The function  $d_s: X \times X \to \mathbb{R} \ge 0$ , is called a *strong*  $\varphi$ *-metric* and satisfies the following conditions: a)  $d_s(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y;$ 

- b)  $d_s(x, y) = d_s(y, x);$
- c)  $d_s(x, z) \le K d_s(x, y) + d_s(y, z) + \varphi(x, y, z)$ ,  $\forall x, y, z \in X$ , and  $K \ge 1$ , with  $\varphi: X \times X \times X \to \mathbb{R} \ge 0$  a function fulfilling:
	- i)  $\varphi(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = z$  or  $y = z$ ;
	- ii)  $\varphi(x, y, z) = \varphi(y, x, z);$
	- iii)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\varphi(x, y, z) < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $d_s(x, y) < \delta$  or  $d_s(y, z) < \delta$ ,  $\forall x, y, z \in X$ . The ordered pair  $(X, d_s)$  is called a *strong*  $\varphi$ *-metric space*.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(X, d_s)$  be a *strong*  $\varphi$ *-metric space*. A sequence  $(x_n)$  in *X* is called a *Cauchy sequence* if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_s(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$  for any  $m > N, n > N$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A strong φ-metric space  $(X, d<sub>s</sub>)$  is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in *X* converges to a point in *X*.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(X, d<sub>s</sub>)$  be a strong  $\varphi$ -metric space and *A*, a nonempty subset of it. The *diameter of A* is defined as below:

$$
diam(A) = sup{d_s(x, y) | x, y \in A}.
$$

We say that A is bounded if *diam(A)* is finite.

**Definition 1.5.** Let *f* be a function from a strong φ-metric space  $(X, d_s)$  into a strong φ-metric  $(Y, p_s)$ . Then the function *f* is *uniformly continuous* if, for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d_s(x, y) < \delta$  implies  $p(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let *f* be a function from a strong φ-metric space  $(X, d<sub>s</sub>)$  into a strong φ-metric  $(Y, p<sub>s</sub>)$ . The function *f* is said to be an isometry if  $d_s(a, b) = p(f(a), f(b))$  for any  $a, b \in X$ .

**Definition 1.7.** Completion of a strong φ-metric space  $(X, d<sub>s</sub>)$  is a pair consisting of a completely strong φ-metric space  $(X', d'_{s})$  and an isometry  $\psi: X \to X'$  such that  $\psi[X]$  is dense in X'.

## **2. Main Results**

This paper aims to introduce a new couple of these intermediate properties in the context of the strong φ-metric space.

**Theorem 2.1.** In a strong φ-metric space, any convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence that converges to x. For an  $\varepsilon > 0$  then there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

$$
d_s(x_n, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \, d_s(x_m, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3K} \text{ and } \varphi(x_m, x_n, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \text{ for all } n \ge N
$$

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  and  $m \geq N$ . Then:

$$
d_s(x_m,x_n)\leq Kd_s(x_m,x)+d_s(x_n,x)+\varphi(x_m,x_n,x)
$$

Hence  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Theorem 2.2.** A closed subset of a complete strong φ-metric space is a complete subspace.

**Proof.** Let *S*, be a closed subspace of a completely strong  $\varphi$ -metric space *X*. Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in *S*. Then  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in *X*, and hence, it must converge to a point *x* in *X*. But then  $x \in \overline{S} = S$ . Thus, *S* is complete.

**Theorem 2.3.** A complete subspace of a strong φ-metric space is a closed subset.

**Proof.** Let *S*, be a complete subspace of a strong  $\varphi$ -metric space *X*. Let  $x \in \overline{S}$ . Then, there is a sequence  $(x_n)$  in *S*, which converges to  $x \in X$ . Hence  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in *S*. Since *S* is complete,  $(x_n)$  must convergent to some point  $y \in S$ . By the uniqueness of the limit, we must have  $x = y \in S$ . Hence  $\overline{S} = S$ , so *S* is closed.

**Theorem 2.4.** A uniformly continuous function maps Cauchy sequences into Cauchy sequences.

**Proof.** Let  $f: (X, d_s) \to (Y, p_s)$  be a uniformly continuous function on strong  $\varphi$ -metric space. Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in *X*. To see that  $(f(x_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that:

$$
\forall x, y \in X, d_s(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow p_s(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon.
$$

Thus, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_s(x_m, x_n) < \delta$  for any  $m, n \ge N$ . It follows that  $p_s(f(x_m), f(x_n)) < \varepsilon$  for any  $m, n \geq N$ . Hence  $(f(x_m))$  is a Cauchy sequence in *Y*.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $f: (X, d_s) \to (Y, p_s)$  be an isometry. Then, it is injective and uniformly continuous. Furthermore, its inverse  $f^{-1}: (f[X], p_s) \to (X, d_s)$  is also an isometry.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let *A* be a dense subset of a strong  $\varphi$ -metric space  $(X, d_s)$ . Let *f* be a uniformly continuous function (isometry) from *A* to a strong  $\varphi$ -metric  $(Y, p_s)$ . Then, there is a unique uniformly continuous function (isometry)  $g: X \to Y$ , which extends *f*.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, d_s)$  be a strong φ-metric space and  $(Y, p_s)$  a complete strong φ-metric space. Let *A* be a dense subset of *X*, and let *f* be a uniformly continuous  $f: A \rightarrow Y$ .

Define a function  $q: X \to Y$ .

For each  $x \in X = \overline{A}$ , there is a sequence  $(x_n)$  in *A*, which converges to *x*. Then  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Thus  $(f(x_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence in *Y*. Since *Y* is complete,  $(f(x_n))$  is a convergent sequence. Define:

$$
g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n)
$$

for any  $x \in X$ , where  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in *A* that converges to *x*.

• The function g is well-defined, independent of the choice of  $(x_n)$ .

Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be any sequence in *A* which converges to  $x \in \overline{A} = X$ . Then the sequence  $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, ..., x_n, y_n, ...)$ must converge to *x*. Hence, the sequence  $(f(x_1), f(y_1), f(x_2), f(y_2), ..., f(x_n), f(y_n), ...)$ converges to some point  $z \in Y$ . Since  $(f(x_1), f(x_2), ...)$  and  $(f(y_1), f(y_2), ...)$  are its subsequences, they must also converge to *z*. Hence  $z = g(x)$  does not depend on the choice of the sequences.

The function  $g$  is an extension of  $f$ .

Let  $a \in A$  and let  $a_n = a$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(a_n)$  is a sequence in A which converges to a.

Hence  $g(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(a_n) = f(a)$ . This shows that g is an extension of f.

The function  $q$  is uniformly continuous on  $X$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  then there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that:

$$
\forall a, b \in A, d_{s}(a, b) < \delta \Rightarrow p_{s}(f(a), f(b)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.
$$

Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $d_s(x, y) < \delta$ . Then, there are sequences  $(x_n) \to x$  and  $(y_n) \to y$ . Hence  $f(x_n) \to g(x)$  and  $f(y_n) \to g(y)$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

$$
d_s(x_N, x) < \frac{\delta - 2d_s(x, y)}{4K} \text{ and } d_s(y_N, y) < \frac{\delta - 2d_s(x, y)}{4K} \text{ with } \varphi(x_N, x, y) < \frac{\delta}{4} \text{ and } \varphi(x, y, x_N) < \frac{\delta}{4}.
$$

Also,

Then:

$$
p_s(f(x_N), g(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2K}
$$
 and  $p_s(f(y_N), g(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2K}$ .

$$
d_s(x_N,y_N)\le Kd_s(x_N,x)+d_s(y_N,y)+\varphi(x_N,x,y)+\varphi(x_N,x,y)<\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{\delta}{4}+\frac{\delta}{4}=\delta
$$

This leads to:  $p_s(f(x_N), f(y_N)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ , by the uniform continuity of f on A.

Hence,

$$
p_s(g(x), g(y)) \le K p_s(g(x), f(x_N)) + p_s(f(x_N), f(y_N)) + K p_s(f(y_N), g(y)) + \varphi\big(g(x), f(x_N), f(y_N)\big) + \varphi\big(f(x_N), f(y_N), g(y)\big) \le K \frac{\varepsilon}{2K} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + K \frac{\varepsilon}{2K} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.
$$

With  $\varphi(g(x), f(x_N), f(y_N)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  and  $\varphi(f(x_N), f(y_N), g(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ . This shows that g is uniformly continuous on X.

The function  $q$  is unique.

Let g and h be (uniformly) continuous functions on X, which extends f on a dense subset A. To see that  $g = h$ , let  $x \in X$ . Then, there is a sequence  $(x_n)$  in *A*, which converges to *x*. By continuity of g and h,

$$
g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} h(x_n) = h(x).
$$

Hence  $q = h$ .

## **References**

- [1] M. Maurice Fr´echet, "On some Points of Functional Calculation," *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, vol. 22, pp. 1—72, 1906. [\[Google Scholar\]](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Sur+quelques+points+du+calcul+fonctionnel%2C&btnG=)
- [2] Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe, and Abdelkrim Aliouche, "A Generalization of Fixed-point Theorems in S-metric Space," *Matematiqki Vesnik*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 258–266, 2012. [\[Google Scholar\]](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=A+generalization+of+fixed-point+theorems+in+S-metric+space&btnG=)
- [3] Stefan Czerwik, "Contraction Mappings in b-metric Spaces," Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 5–11, 1993. [\[Google Scholar\]](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Contraction+mappings+in+b-metric+spaces%2C&btnG=)
- [4] Stefan Czrerwik, "Nonlinear Set-valued Contraction Mappings in b-metric Spaces," *Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico University Modena Reggio Emilia*, vol. 46, pp. 263–276, 1998. [\[Google Scholar\]](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Nonlinear+set-valued+contraction+mappings+in+b-metric+spaces%2C&btnG=)
- [5] M. Isabel Garrido, and Ana S. Merono, "New Types of Completeness in Metric Spaces," *Annles Academie Scientarium Fennicae Matematica*, vol. 39, pp. 733-758, 2014. [\[Google Scholar\]](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=New+types+of+completeness+in+metric+spaces.+&btnG=)