**Original Article** 

# Orthogonal ( $\sigma$ , $\tau$ )-Derivations on Semiprime $\Gamma$ -Semirings

V.S.V. Krishna Murty<sup>1</sup>, C. Jaya Subba Reddy<sup>2</sup>, K. Chennakesavulu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, S.V. University, Tirupathi, Andhra Pradesh, India. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, PVKKIT, Ananthapuram, Andhra Pradesh, India.

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding Author : cjsreddysvu@gmail.com

Received: 20 May 2024 Revised: 27 June 2024 Accepted: 13 July 2024	Published: 31 July 2024
--	-------------------------

**Abstract** - Assume S is a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring and d:  $S \rightarrow S$  is an additive mapping that obeys  $d(u\alpha v) = d(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d(v)$  for all  $u, v \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$ , then d is termed as  $(\sigma, \tau)$ - derivation on S. This paper introduces orthogonal  $(\sigma, \tau)$ derivations within semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semirings and provides several characterizations of these semirings. It also establishes the
criteria under which two  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations can be deemed orthogonal.

*Keywords* -  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation, Orthogonal  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation,  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

### **1. Introduction**

The idea of a semiring was first proposed by H.S. Vandiver [8]. The concept of a  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa [14] as a generalization of a ring. Sen [10] introduced the notion of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Murali Krishna Rao [12,13] further developed this by introducing the concept of  $\Gamma$ -semirings. S.Huang et al. [16] examined orthogonal generalized ( $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ )-derivations in semiprime near-rings. K.K.Dey et al. [9] investigated orthogonal generalized derivations in semiprime  $\Gamma$ -near-rings. M.A. Javed et al. [11] presented the idea of derivations in prime  $\Gamma$ -semirings. N. Suganthameena et al. [15] introduced the concept of orthogonal derivations on semirings. B. Venkateswarlu et al. [2,3] established some necessary and sufficient conditions for orthogonal derivations and reverse derivations in semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semirings. A.H. Majeed [1] explored orthogonal generalized derivations in semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semirings. Recently, C. Jaya Subba Reddy et al. [4,5,6,7] proved some results on the orthogonality of generalized symmetric reverse bi-( $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ )-derivations in semiprime rings and orthogonality of generalized reverse -( $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$ )-derivations in semiprime  $\Gamma$ -rings.

In this paper, we propose the concept of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations for semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semirings. We also establish the necessary and sufficient conditions for the orthogonality of two such derivations in semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semirings, expanding upon the results found in [2].

### 2. Preliminaries

A set S is called a semiring if it is equipped with two associative binary operations denoted by '+' (addition) and '.' (multiplication) and satisfies the following conditions:

(i) The addition operation is commutative.

(ii) The multiplication operation is distributive over addition from both the left and the right.

(iii) There exists an element 0 in S such that , u + 0 = u and  $u \cdot 0 = 0$ .  $u = 0, \forall u \in S$ .

If (S, +) and  $(\Gamma, +)$  are two abelian semigroups with identity elements 0 and  $\theta$  of S and  $\Gamma$  respectively, and there exists a mapping of  $S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow S$  satisfying the following properties for  $u, v, w \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ 

- 1.  $(u + v)\alpha w = u\alpha w + v\alpha w$ .
- 2.  $u(\alpha + \beta)v = u\alpha v + u\beta v$ .
- 3.  $u\alpha(v + w) = u\alpha v + u\alpha w$ .
- 4.  $(u\alpha v)\beta w = u\alpha (v\beta w)$ .
- 5.  $u\alpha 0 = 0\alpha u = 0$  and  $u\theta v = 0$ .

then S is termed a  $\Gamma$ -semiring.

Let S be a  $\Gamma$ - semi-ring. S is said to be a semiprime if  $u\Gamma S\Gamma u = 0$  implies  $u = 0, \forall u \in S$ . A  $\Gamma$ -semiring S is said to be 2 torsion free if 2u = 0, then  $u = 0, \forall u \in S$ . A  $\Gamma$ -semiring S is said to be commutative if  $u\alpha v = v\alpha u, \forall u, v \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

A  $\Gamma$ -semiring S is said to have a zero element if there exists an element  $0 \in S$  such that 0 + u = u = u + 0,  $\forall u \in S$ . An additive mapping  $d_1: S \to S$  is called a derivation if  $d_1(u\alpha v) = d_1(u)\alpha v + ud_1(v)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in S$ . An additive mapping  $d_1: S \to S$  is called a derivation if  $d_1(u\alpha v) = d_1(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1(v)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in S$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Two  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  on S are deemed orthogonal if  $d_1(u)\Gamma S \Gamma d_2(v) = 0 = d_2(u)\Gamma S \Gamma d_1(v)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in S$ .

This paper explores the concept of orthogonal  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations within semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semirings. We identify various characterizations of semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semirings using orthogonal  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations and provide the necessary and sufficient conditions for two  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations to be orthogonal.

In this paper, we work under the assumption that S is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring. Here,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are automorphisms of S, and  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  are are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on S such that  $d_1\tau = \tau d_1$ ,  $d_2\tau = \tau d_2$ ,  $\sigma d_1 = d_1\sigma$ ,  $\sigma d_2 = d_2\sigma$ .

**Lemma 1:**[Lemma 3.1, [2]] Let a and b be two elements of a 2 torsion –free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring S. Then the following statements are equivalent: (i) $a\Gamma u\Gamma b = 0$ .

(i)  $b \Gamma u \Gamma a = 0.$ (ii)  $b \Gamma u \Gamma a = 0.$ (iii)  $a \Gamma u \Gamma b + b \Gamma u \Gamma a = 0, \forall u \in S.$ 

If one of these conditions is fulfilled then  $a\Gamma b = b\Gamma a = 0$ .

**Lemma 2:** [Lemma 3.2, [2]] Let S be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring. If additive mappings  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  of S into itself satisfy  $d_1(u)\Gamma S\Gamma d_2(u) = 0$ ,  $\forall u \in S$ , then  $d_1(u)\Gamma S\Gamma d_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in S$ .

## 3. Main Results

**Theorem 1**: Given S is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d_1, d_2$  are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on S, then  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal if and only if  $d_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) + d_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . Proof: Suppose that  $d_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) + d_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) = 0, \forall u, v \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . (3.1)Replacing v by  $v\beta u$ ,  $\forall u \in S$ .  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in (3.1) and using (3.1) we obtain  $d_1(\mathbf{u})\alpha\tau(\mathbf{v})\beta d_2(\mathbf{u}) + d_2(\mathbf{u})\alpha\tau(\mathbf{v})\beta d_1(\mathbf{u}) = 0.$ Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism on S and using Lemma 1  $d_1(\mathbf{u})\alpha\tau(\mathbf{v})\beta d_2(\mathbf{u}) = 0 = d_2(\mathbf{u})\alpha\tau(\mathbf{v})\beta d_1(\mathbf{u}).$ Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism on S and using Lemma 2,  $d_1(\mathbf{u})\alpha\tau(\mathbf{v})\beta d_2(\mathbf{v}) = 0 = d_2(\mathbf{u})\alpha\tau(\mathbf{v})\beta d_1(\mathbf{v}).$ Using Lemma 1, we get  $d_1(u)\alpha d_2(u) = 0 = d_2(u)\alpha d_1(v)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal. Conversely, Suppose that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal. Then,  $d_1(u)\Gamma S\Gamma d_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in S$ . By Lemma 1, we can write  $d_1(u) \Gamma d_2(v) = 0 = d_2(u) \Gamma d_1(v), \forall u, v \in S$ . Hence proved.

**Theorem 2**: Suppose S is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d_1, d_2$  be  $(\sigma, \tau)$  derivations on S. Then the necessary and sufficient condition that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal is  $d_1d_2 = 0$ . Proof: Suppose  $d_1d_2 = 0$ . Then  $d_1 d_2(u\alpha v) = 0$ Using the fact that  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphism of S and  $\tau d_2 = d_2 \tau, \sigma d_2 = d_2 \sigma, \tau d_1 = d_1 \tau, \sigma d_1 = d_1 \sigma$ , we obtain  $d_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . (since  $d_1d_2 = 0$ ) Therefore  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal. (By Theorem 1) Conversely, Suppose that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal. Then  $d_1(\mathbf{u})\Gamma S\Gamma d_2(\mathbf{v}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S$ .  $d_1(\mathbf{u})\alpha\mathbf{w}\beta d_2(\mathbf{v}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in S, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$ Then, we have  $d_1(d_1(u)\alpha w\beta d_2(v)) = 0, \forall u, v, w \in S, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .  $d_1(d_1(\mathbf{u}))\alpha\sigma(\mathbf{w})\beta\sigma(d_2(v)) + \tau(d_1(\mathbf{u}))\alpha(d_1(\mathbf{w})\beta\sigma(d_2(v)) + \tau(w)\beta d_1d_2(v)) = 0.$ Since  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  are automorphism of S and using  $\sigma d_2 = d_2 \sigma$ ,  $\tau d_1 = d_1 \tau$ , we get  $d_1(d_1(\mathbf{u}))\alpha\sigma(\mathbf{w})\beta d_2(v) + d_1(\mathbf{u})\alpha d_1(\mathbf{w})\beta d_2(v) + d_1(\mathbf{u})\alpha\tau(w)\beta d_1d_2(v) = 0.$ The first and second summands are zeros as  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal. Hence, we get  $d_1(u)\beta\tau(w)\alpha d_1d_2(v) = 0$ .

Replace u by  $d_2(v)$  in the above equation, we get  $d_1d_2(v)\alpha\tau(w)\beta d_1d_2(v) = 0$ . Since  $\tau$  is an automorphism of a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring S,  $d_1d_2(v)=0$ . Hence the result.

**Corollary 1**: Given that S is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring, and  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on S, then the necessary and sufficient condition that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal is  $d_2d_1 = 0$ .

**Theorem 3:** In a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring S, let  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  be  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of S into S. Then the necessary and sufficient condition that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal is that  $d_1d_2 + d_2d_1 = 0$ . Proof: Suppose that  $d_1d_2 + d_2d_1 = 0$ (3.2) $(d_1d_2 + d_2d_1)(u\alpha v) = 0$  $d_1(d_2(u))\alpha\sigma^2(v) + \tau(d_2(u)\alpha d_1(\sigma(v)) + d_1(\tau(u))\alpha\sigma(d_2(v)) + \tau^2(u)\alpha d_1d_2(v) + d_2(d_1(u)\alpha\sigma^2(v) + \tau(d_1(u))\alpha d_2(\sigma(v)))$  $+ d_2(\tau(u))\alpha\sigma(d_1(v)) + \tau^2(u)\alpha d_2 d_1(v) = 0$ Since  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms of S,  $\tau d_2 = d_2 \tau$ ,  $\sigma d_1 = d_1 \sigma$ ,  $\tau d_1 = d_1 \tau$ ,  $\sigma d_2 = d_2 \sigma$ , we get  $(d_1d_2 + d_2d_1)(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha(d_1d_2 + d_2d_1)(v) + 2(d_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_2(v)) = 0.$ Since S is 2 torsion free and using (3.2) $d_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) = 0.$ Hence,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal. (By Theorem 1). Conversely, suppose that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal, then by Theorem 2 and corollary 3, it is evident that  $d_1d_2 + d_2d_1 = 0$ . **Theorem 4:** Given S is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d_1, d_2$  are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on S, then the necessary and sufficient condition that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal is  $d_1d_2$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. Proof: Assume that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal. We have  $d_1d_2 = 0$  (By Theorem 2) and can be written as  $d_1d_2(\mathbf{u}\alpha\mathbf{v}) = d_1d_2(\mathbf{u})\alpha\sigma(\mathbf{v}) + \tau(\mathbf{u})\alpha d_1d_2(\mathbf{v}) = 0.$ Therefore  $d_1d_2$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. Conversely, Suppose that  $d_1d_2$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. Now,  $d_1d_2(u\alpha v) = d_1(d_2(u\alpha v))$ Since  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms of M,  $\tau d_2 = d_2 \tau$ ,  $\sigma d_1 = d_1 \sigma$ ,  $\tau d_1 = d_1 \tau$ ,  $\sigma d_2 = d_2 \sigma$  we get  $d_1d_2(u\alpha v) = d_1d_2(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + d_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1d_2(v).$ (3.3)But  $d_1d_2(u\alpha v) = d_1d_2(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1d_2$  (v) as  $d_1d_2$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$  derivation. (3.4)Comparing the equations (3.3) and (3.4), we get  $d_2(u)\alpha d_1(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_2(v) = 0.$ By Theorem 1, we can conclude that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal.

**Corollary 2:** Given S is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on S, then necessary and sufficient condition that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal is that  $d_2d_1$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation.

**Corollary 3 :** Assume that S is a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring free from 2-torsion. Let  $d_1, d_2$  be  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of on S. Then, the under mentioned conclusions are identical:

(i) d<sub>1</sub> and d<sub>2</sub> are orthogonal.
(ii) d<sub>1</sub>d<sub>2</sub> = 0.
(iii) d<sub>2</sub>d<sub>1</sub> = 0.
(iv) d<sub>1</sub>d<sub>2</sub> + d<sub>2</sub>d<sub>1</sub> = 0.
(v) d<sub>1</sub>d<sub>2</sub> is a (σ, τ)-derivation.
(vi) d<sub>2</sub>d<sub>1</sub> is a (σ, τ)-derivation.
The proof the above corollary is evident from the Theorems 2,3,4 and corollaries 1,2.

**Corollary 4**: Consider S as a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring. If  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on S, it follows that either  $d_1$  is zero or  $d_2$  is zero.

**Theorem 5:** In the context of a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring **S**, suppose  $d_1$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on **S** and  $d_1^2$  is also a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation, then  $d_1$  is necessarily zero. Proof: Suppose that  $d_1^2$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. Then, we can have

(3.5)

(3.6)

 $\begin{aligned} d_1^2(u\alpha v) &= d_1(d_1(u\alpha v)) \\ &= d_1(d_1(u))\alpha\sigma^2(v)) + \tau(d_1(u))\alpha d_1(\sigma(v)) + d_1(\tau(u))\alpha\sigma(d_1(v)) + \tau^2(u)\alpha d_1(d_1(v)). \\ \text{Since } \sigma, \tau \text{ are automorphisms of } S, \sigma d_1 &= d_1\sigma, \ \tau d_1 &= d_1\tau, \text{ we get} \\ &= d_1^2(u)\alpha\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\alpha d_1^2(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_1(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_1(v) \\ &= d_1^2(u\alpha v)) + d_1(u)\alpha d_1(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_1(v) \end{aligned}$ 

Therefore,  $d_1^2(u\alpha v) = d_1^2(u\alpha v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_1(v) + d_1(u)\alpha d_1(v)$ . Since S is 2 torsion free, we get  $d_1(u)\alpha d_1(v) = 0$ .

Replacing u by  $u\beta w, \forall w \in S, \beta \in \Gamma$  in (3.5) and using the same equation, we get  $d_1(u)\beta\sigma(w)\alpha d_1(v) = 0$ .

Replace v by u + v in the equation (3.6) and using the same equation, we get  $d_1(u)\beta\sigma(w)\alpha d_1(u) = 0$ .

Since  $\sigma$  is an automorphism on a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring M, we get  $d_1 = 0$ . Hence Proved.

**Theorem 6:** Assume S is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d_1, d_2$  are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on S. Then, the necessary and sufficient condition that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal is  $d_1d_2(u) = s\alpha u + u\alpha t$ ,  $\forall u \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$  for  $s, t \in S$ . **Proof:** Suppose that  $d_1d_2(u) = s\alpha u + u\alpha t, \forall u \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . (3.7)Replace u by  $u\beta v$ ,  $\forall v \in M$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  in (3.7), we get  $d_1d_2(u\beta v) = s\alpha(u\beta v) + (u\beta v)\alpha t, \forall s, t \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  $d_1(d_2(u)\beta\sigma(v) + \tau(u)\beta d_2(v)) = s\alpha(u\beta v) + (u\beta v)\alpha t$  $d_{1}(d_{2}(u))\beta\sigma^{2}(v) + \tau(d_{2}(u)\beta d_{1}(\sigma(v)) + d_{1}(\tau(u))\beta\sigma(d_{2}(v)) + \tau^{2}(u)\beta d_{1}(d_{2}(v)) = s\alpha(u\beta v) + (u\beta v)\alpha t.$ Since  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms of S,  $d_1\sigma = \sigma d_1, \tau d_1 = d_1\tau, \tau d_2 = d_2\tau, \sigma d_2 = d_2\sigma$ , we get  $d_1d_2(\mathbf{u})\beta\mathbf{v} + d_2(\mathbf{u})\beta\mathbf{d}_1(\mathbf{v}) + d_1(\mathbf{u})\beta d_2(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{u}\beta\mathbf{d}_1\mathbf{d}_2(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{s}\alpha(u\beta v) + (u\beta v)\alpha t.$ Using (3.7), we get  $s\alpha u\beta v + u\alpha t\beta v + d_2(u)\beta d_1(v) + d_1(u)\beta d_2(v) + u\beta s\alpha v + u\beta v\alpha t = s\alpha(u\beta v) + (u\beta v)\alpha t$  $\operatorname{u}\alpha t \beta v + d_2(u)\beta d_1(v) + d_1(u)\beta d_2(v) + u\beta s\alpha v = 0.$ (3.8)Replacing v by  $v\gamma u$ ,  $\forall u \in S$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  in the equation (3.8), we get  $u\alpha t \beta v\gamma u + d_2(u)\beta d_1(v\gamma u) + d_1(u)\beta d_2(v\gamma u) + u\beta s\alpha v\gamma u = 0$  $u\alpha t \beta v\gamma u + u\beta s\alpha v\gamma u + d_2(u)\beta(d_1(v)\gamma\sigma(u) + \tau(v)\gamma d_1(u)) + d_1(u)\beta(d_2(v)\gamma\sigma(u) + \tau(v)\gamma d_2(u) = 0.$ Since  $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms of S and using the equation (3.8), we get  $(u\alpha t \beta v + u\beta s\alpha v + d_2(u)\beta d_1(v) + d_1(u)\beta d_2(v))\gamma u + d_2(u)\beta \tau(v)\gamma d_1(u) + d_1(u)\beta \tau(v)\gamma d_2(u) = 0$  $d_2(\mathbf{u})\beta\tau(\mathbf{v})\gamma d_1(\mathbf{u}) + +d_1(\mathbf{u})\beta\tau(\mathbf{v})\gamma d_2(\mathbf{u}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{S}, \beta \in \Gamma.$ Since  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  are automorphisms of S and using Lemma 1, we get  $d_2(\mathbf{u})\beta\tau(\mathbf{v})\gamma d_1(\mathbf{u}) = 0 = d_1(\mathbf{u})\beta\tau(\mathbf{v})\gamma d_2(\mathbf{u}).$ By Lemma 2, we can have  $d_2(u)\beta\tau(v)\gamma d_1(v) = 0 = d_1(u)\beta\tau(v)\gamma d_2(v)$ . By Lemma 1, we can have  $d_2(u)\beta d_1(v) = 0 = d_1(u)\beta d_2(v)$  and so  $d_1(u)\beta d_2(v) + d_2(u)\beta d_1(v) = 0$  and so the conclusion is arrived. Conversely, suppose that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are orthogonal, then  $d_1d_2 = 0$ . (By Theorem 2) Then we can choose s = 0, t = 0, so that  $d_1d_2(u) = s\alpha u + u\alpha t$ ,  $\forall u \in S, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Theorem 7:** If S is a 2-torsion-free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semiring and  $d_1, d_2$  are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of S such that  $d_1^2 = d_2^2$ , then the subsequent assertions are true: (i)  $(d_1 + d_2)$  and  $(d_1 - d_2)$  are orthogonal. (ii) either  $d_1 = -d_2$  or  $d_1 = d_2$ .

(i)  $(u_1 + u_2)$  and  $(u_1 - u_2)$  are offinited in (ii) efficient  $u_1 = -u_2$  of  $u_1 = u_2$ . Proof: Suppose  $d_1^2 = d_2^2$ To Prove (i): Consider  $[(d_1 + d_2) (d_1 - d_2) + (d_1 - d_2)(d_1 + d_2)](u)$   $= d_1^2(u) + d_2d_1(u) - d_1d_2(u) - d_2^2(u) + d_1^2(u) - d_2d_1(u) + d_1d_2(u) - d_2^2(u)$  = 0 (Since  $d_1^2 = d_2^2$ ) Therefore  $[(d_1 + d_2) (d_1 - d_2) + (d_1 - d_2)(d_1 + d_2) = 0$ . Hence, by the Theorem 3, we can conclude that  $(d_1 + d_2)$  and  $(d_1 - d_2)$  are orthogonal. To Prove (ii):

From the result (i), we have  $(d_1 + d_2)$  and  $(d_1 - d_2)$  are orthogonal.

Then by the corollary 4, we have  $(d_1 + d_2) = 0$  or  $(d_1 - d_2) = 0$ and hence  $d_1 = -d_2$  or  $d_1 = d_2$ . Hence Proved.

#### 4. Conclusion

This paper mainly deals with the study of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ - derivations within the framework of semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semirings by introducing the concept of orthogonal  $(\sigma, \tau)$ - derivations. During this work, we proved some necessary and sufficient conditions for the orthogonality of two  $(\sigma, \tau)$ - derivations in semiprime  $\Gamma$ -semirings which gives an advanced theoretical approach to this area of algebra. These results enhances the theory of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ - derivations which have many applications in algebraic geometry

#### References

- Abdulrahman H. Majeed, and Shahed Ali Hamil, "Orthogonal Generalized Derivations on Γ-Semirings," Journal of Physics: Conference Series: Imam Al-Kadhum International Conference for Modern Applications of Information and Communication Technology (MAICT), Baghdad, Iraq, vol. 1530, 2020. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [2] B. Venkateswarlu, M. Murali Krishna Rao, and Y. Adi Narayana, "Orthogonal Derivations on Γ Semirings," Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 543-552, 2018. [Google Scholar]
- [3] B. Venkateswarlu, M. Murali Krishna Rao, and Y. Adi Narayana, "Orthogonal Reverse Derivations on Semiprime Γ-Semirings," Mathematical Sciences and Applications E-Notes, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 71-77, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [4] C. Jaya Subba Reddy, and V.S.V. Krishna Murty, "Orthogonal Symmetric Reverse Bi-(σ, τ)- Derivations in Semiprime Rings," *Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 5133-5138, 2024. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [5] C. Jaya Subba Reddy, and V.S.V. Krishna Murty, "Orthogonality of Generalized Reverse( $\sigma, \tau$ )- Derivations in Semiprime  $\Gamma$ -Rings," *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 20-28, 2024. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [6] C. Jaya Subba Reddy, K. Chennakesavulu, and V.S.V. Krishna Murty, "Orthogonal Generalized Symmetric Reverse Bi-(σ, τ)-Derivations in Semi Prime Rings," *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 31, no. 3s, 2024. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [7] C. Jaya Subba Reddy, and V.S.V. Krishna Murty, "Orthogonal generalized Symmetric Reverse Biderivations in Semiprime Rings," *Journal of Applied and Pure Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 3-4, pp. 155-165, 2024, in press. [Publisher Link]
- [8] Harry S. Vandiver, "Note on a Simple Type of Algebra in Which the Cancellation Law of Addition does not Hold," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 914-920, 1934. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [9] Kalyan Kumar Dey, Akhil Chandra Paul, and Isamiddin S. Rakhimov, "Orthogonal Generalized Derivations in Semiprime Gamma Near-Rings," *International Journal of Algebra*, vol. 6, no. 23, pp. 1127-1134, 2012. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [10] M.K. Sen, "On Γ-Semigroups," Proceeding of International Conference on Algebra and its Applications, New Delhi, pp.301-308, 1981. [Google Scholar]
- [11] M.A. Javed, M. Aslam, and M. Hussain, "On Derivations of Prime Γ-Semirings," Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, vol. 37, no.
   6, 2013. [Google Scholar]
- [12] M. Murali Krishna Rao, "T-Semirings-I," Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 49-54, 1995. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [13] M. Murali Krishna Rao, "Γ-Semirings-II," Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 281-287, 1997. [Publisher Link]
- [14] Nobuo Nobusawa, "On a Generalization of the Ring Theory," Osaka Journal of Mathematics, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 81-89, 1964. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [15] N. Suganthameena, and M. Chandramouleeswaran, "Orthogonal Derivations on Semirings," International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Science, vol. 9, no. 13, pp. 645-651, 2014. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
- [16] Shuliang Huang, "On Orthogonal Generalized (σ, τ)-Derivations of Semiprime Near-Rings," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 379-387, 2010. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]