International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology
ISSN: 2231-5373/ https://doi.org/10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V71I10P104

Volume 71 Issue 10, 22-28, October 2025

Original Article

Infinitesimal Differences, Identity, and Natural Decay: A Philosophical-Mathematical Synthesis with Extended Physical Models

Karan Jain

(ISB Co'24 and NSIT Co'18), Mudit Garg[2] (NSIT Co'18)

[1]Corresponding Author : karanjain2542@gmail.com

Received: 18 August 2025 Revised: 27 September 2025 Accepted: 12 October 2025 Published: 28 October 2025

Abstract *- This paper presents a rigorous and philosophically grounded framework for understanding how small differences can be meaningfully articulated without contradicting classical real analysis, particularly the identity 0.999…=1. The thesis develops along three axes:*

- *The exactness and completeness of the real number system in which 0.999… equals 1*
- *Extended number systems (hyperreals and related frameworks) admitting infinitesimal magnitudes ε≠0 smaller than any reciprocal of a standard natural number, which formalize the notion of "nonzero but negligible" differences*
- *A scale-relative metaphysics of identity that reconciles macroscopic sameness with microscopic divergence, showing how infinitesimal or sub-resolution differences can accumulate to produce macroscopic decay.*

We provide formal statements and proofs, analyze decimal expansions with base-dependence (correcting the misconception that even denominators always yield terminating decimals while odd denominators do not), and include worked physical models (moisture diffusion, surface abrasion, thermal exchange, and digital signal error accumulation) that demonstrate how micro-perturbations aggregate over time. The philosophical consequence is that mathematical identity at one layer can coexist with meaningful distinctions at a finer layer, thereby unifying rigor with a theosophy of impermanence.

Keywords *- Decimal expansions, Hyperreal numbers, Infinitesimals, Non-standard analyses, Standard-part maps.*

1. Introduction

A recurring intuition in mathematics and natural philosophy is that *infinitesimal* differences matter. Decimal expansions such as $7/9 = 0.\overline{7}$ and $8/9 = 0.\overline{8}$, suggest the heuristic that $9/9$ might correspond to $0.\overline{9}$, apparently "less than" 1.000 ... by a vanishing gap often informally written as $0.000 \ldots 1$.

In standard real analysis, however, 0.999... = 1 exactly, and there is no real number strictly between them.

The aim of this paper is to preserve the meaning of "small differences" without violating mathematical exactness. We do so by articulating:

- Why 0.999... = 1 holds in R by the definition of limits and completeness
- How do extended number systems rigorously encode nonzero infinitesimals, with a standard part map that identifies infinitesimally close values at the macroscopic real level?
- How physical and conceptual models reveal accumulation of micro-perturbations into macroscopic decay, operationalizing the maxim that "imperceptible is not inconsequential"

We also clarify a common misconception: decimal termination does not depend simply on parity (even vs. odd denominators), but on prime factorization in base 10.

2. Decimal Expansions, Limits, and the Identity $\mathbf{0.999 \ldots = 1}$

Real Numbers and Completeness

Definition 2.1 (Real numbers): The real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ form a complete ordered field: every Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ converges to a limit in $\mathbb{R}$; equivalently, every nonempty subset bound above has a least upper bound.

Definition 2.2 (Infinite decimal as a limit). Let (x_k) be the sequence $x_k = 1 - 10^{-k} = 0.\underbrace{99 \dots 9}_{k \text{ digits}}$. The infinite decimal $0.999 \dots$ is defined as the limit $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k$ whenever this limit exists in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.3. In $\mathbb{R}$, one has $0.999 \dots = 1$

Proof. We have $\lim_{k \to \infty} 10^{-k} = 0$. Hence $\lim_{k \to \infty} (1 - 10^{-k}) = 1 - 0 = 1$. By definition, $0.999 \dots = \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k = 1$.

Remark 2.4. There is no real number strictly between $0.999 \dots$ and 1. The expression $0.000 \dots 1$ does not denote a real number in standard positional notation; the ellipsis signifies infinitely many zeros, so there is no terminal digit at which to place a 1.

Terminating Versus Repeating Decimals: Base-10 Factorization

Proposition 2.5 (Termination criterion in base 10): For a rational number p/q in lowest terms, the decimal expansion terminates if and only if the prime factorization of q involves only 2 and 5 (the prime factors of the base 10).

Proof. A decimal terminates after k places if and only if $p/q = m/10^k$ for some integer m, i.e. $10^k p = mq$. Since $10^k = 2^k 5^k$, all prime factors of q must divide 10^k, hence q has no prime factors other than 2 or 5. Conversely, if $q = 2^a 5^b$, choose $k \geq \max\{a, b\}$ to ensure $q \mid 10^k$, yielding termination.

Remark 2.6. Thus, $1/8 = 0.125$ terminates (denominator $8 = 2^3$), while $1/3 = 0.\overline{3}$ and $7/9 = 0.\overline{7}$ repeat because 3 (and $9 = 3^2$) include the prime factor $3 \notin \{2,5\}$. The parity (even vs. odd) of q is irrelevant: for example, $1/2$ terminates while $1/6 = 0.1\overline{6}$ repeats because 6 contains the prime 3.

3. Decimal Expansions, Limits, and the Identity $\mathbf{0.999 \dots = 1}$

Hyperreals and the standard-part map

Assumption 3.1 (Hyperreal extension): There exists an ordered field extension $* \mathbb{R} \supset \mathbb{R}$ in which nonzero infinitesimals exist: $\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ with $0 < |\varepsilon| < 1/n$ for all standard $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.2 (Finite and infinitely close): A hyperreal x is finite if $|x| < N$ for some standard $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Finite x, y are infinitely close, written $x \approx y$, if $x - y$ is infinitesimal.

Theorem 3.3 (Standard-part map): Each finite $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is infinitely close to a unique $\mathrm{st}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. The map is well-defined and acts as the identity on $\mathbb{R}$.

Sketch. In an ultrapower construction, each finite hyperreal corresponds to a Cauchy class; completeness of $\mathbb{R}$ yields a unique limit, which is $\mathrm{st}(x)$.

Proposition 3.4 (Infinitesimal collapse under st): If ε is infinitesimal and x is finite, then $\mathrm{st}(x + \varepsilon) = \mathrm{st}(x)$.

Remark 3.5: The hyperreal perspective reconciles the intuition of "nonzero but negligible" with classical equalities in $\mathbb{R}$, for instance, while $0.\overline{9} = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}$, one may speak of hyperreal representatives separated by an infinitesimal that st collapses.

Terminating versus repeating decimals: base-10 factorization

Definition 3.6 (Scale-indexed pseudometrics). Let (X, d) be a metric space of states. A family $\{d_\lambda\}_{\lambda > 0}$ is resolution-monotone if smaller λ detects finer differences (e.g. $d_{\lambda_1} \leq d_{\lambda_2}$ pointwise whenever $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$). For a fixed $\lambda > 0$, define identity at resolution λ by

$$x \equiv_\lambda y \iff d_\lambda(x, y) \leq \lambda.$$

Example 3.7 (Standard part as coarse-graining): Let X be the set of finite hyperreals. For $\lambda > 0$, define $d_\lambda(x, y) = \min\{1, |x - y|/\lambda\}$. If $|x - y|$ is infinitesimal, then for any fixed $\lambda > 0$, $d_\lambda(x, y) \approx 0$, and $\mathrm{st}(x) = \mathrm{st}(y)$ acts as a coarse-graining to the same real.

Accumulation: From Micro-Perturbations to Macroscopic Decay
Pathwise accumulation

Let $x: [0, T] \to X$ be a trajectory. Define the metric speed as

$$v_\lambda(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{d_\lambda(x(t + h), x(t))}{|h|}$$

When it exists, and let $C_\lambda(T) = \int_0^T v_\lambda(t)\mathrm{d}t$ denotes the cumulative change at resolution λ.

Proposition 4.1 (Linear bound for sub-resolution drift). If $v_\lambda(t) \leq \delta$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, then $C_\lambda(T) \leq \delta T$. Even sub-resolution drifts accumulate linearly in time.

Random Micro-Perturbations
Let $\{\Delta_n\}$ be independent micro-perturbations with $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_n] = \mu$ and $\mathrm{Var}(\Delta_n) = \sigma_n^2$. Define the partial sums $S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \Delta_n$.

Proposition 4.2 (Bias and dispersion). $\mathbb{E}[S_N] = \mu N$, while $\mathrm{Var}(S_N) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n^2$. Hence, biased micro-steps drift linearly; unbiased micro-steps still accumulate dispersion of order $\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n^2}$.

4. Worked Physical and Conceptual Models
We present multiple models, deepening the orange example and adding independent contexts.

Model I: Orange transfer (moisture diffusion and abrasion)
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the peel domain (thin shell). Let $u(x, t)$ be the local moisture and $h_s(x, t)$ the surface micro-geometry.

Diffusion with Boundary Contact

$$\partial_t u = D\Delta u - \kappa(u - u_{\mathrm{amb}}) \text{ in } \Omega \tag{1}$$

$$-D\nabla u \cdot n = h(u - u_h)\mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_h(t)} \text{ on } \partial\Omega \tag{2}$$

Where $D > 0$ is diffusivity, $\kappa \geq 0$ is an internal sink, u_{amb} ambient level, $h > 0$ an exchange coefficient, u_h effective contact boundary moisture, and $\Gamma_h(t)$ the contact patch during handling events.

Let $U(t) = \int_\Omega u(x, t)\mathrm{d}x$. Integrating (1) and using (2) gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\kappa \int_\Omega (u - u_{\mathrm{amb}})\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma_h(t)} h(u - u_h)\mathrm{d}S$$

For M brief transfers with per-event change $\Delta U_m (|\Delta U_m| \leq \delta)$, the total change scales like $\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta U_m = O(M\delta)$.

Surface abrasion. Let h_s evolve via

$$\partial_t h_s = -\alpha\phi(x, t) + \eta(x, t),$$

with wear coefficient $\alpha > 0$, contact/friction field ϕ supported on $\Gamma_h(t)$, and zero-mean noise η. For a seminorm $\|h_s\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{\partial\Omega} w(x)|(\mathcal{L}h_s)(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}S$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbb{E}\|h_s\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = -2\alpha\mathbb{E}\langle\mathcal{L}h_s, \mathcal{L}\phi\rangle + \mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{L}\eta\|_{L^2}^2$$

Revealing deterministic drift plus roughness fluctuations. Repeated events yield macroscopic divergence from the initial microstate.

Scale-relative identity. Define

$$d_\lambda\big((u, h_s), (u', h_s')\big) = \left(\frac{\|u - u'\|_{H^{-1}}^2}{\lambda_u^2} + \frac{\|h_s - h_s'\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{\lambda_s^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Identity at resolution $\lambda = (\lambda_u, \lambda_s)$ is $d_\lambda \le 1$. Each handling causes sub-unity increments that accumulate until $d_\lambda > 1$, breaking identity at the same resolution.

Model II: Thermal Exchange in a Fragile Artifact (Micro-Cracks)

Consider a ceramic artifact with a temperature field $\theta(x, t)$ in Ω, obeying.

$$c\rho\partial_t\theta = \nabla \cdot (k\nabla\theta) + q(x, t),$$

with heat capacity c, density ρ, conductivity k, and small episodic sources/sinks q. Thermal cycling induces micro-strain $\epsilon_{\mathrm{th}} = \alpha_T(\theta - \theta_0)$, where α_T is the thermal expansion coefficient. The micro-crack density $\xi(t)$ evolves via

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = f(\epsilon_{\mathrm{th}}(t), \dot{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{th}}(t)) + \nu(t),$$

with ν stochastic micro-events. Even if each cycle produces $\Delta\xi = O(\varepsilon)$, many cycles yield $\xi(T) = O(N\varepsilon)$, altering the mechanical response macroscopically.

Model III: Digital Signal Processing (quantization noise)

A signal $s[n]$ is quantized to $\hat{s}[n] = Q(s[n])$ with quantization error $e[n] = \hat{s}[n] - s[n]$. Under standard assumptions, $e[n]$ behaves as bounded, approximately white noise with $\mathbb{E}[e[n]] = 0$ and $\mathrm{Var}(e[n]) = \sigma_q^2 > 0$. For an LTI system with impulse response $h[k]$, the output error variance is

$$\mathrm{Var}\{y_e[n]\} = \sigma_q^2 \sum_k |h[k]|^2$$

Long pipelines or feedback accumulation produce macroscopic SNR degradation despite the sample errors being minute.

Model IV: Population genetics (mutation-selection balance)

In a large asexual population of size M, per-generation point mutation rate $\mu \ll 1$, selection coefficient $s \ll 1$ (dominance $h = 1$), the expected deleterious allele frequency at mutation-selection balance is approximately, $q^* \approx \mu/s$. Microscopic mutation events per generation ($\approx \mu M$) are individually negligible; nevertheless, across many generations, allele frequencies settle into a macroscopic equilibrium distinct from the initial state.

Model V: Financial microstructure (transaction costs)

A trading strategy with expected per-trade edge $\alpha > 0$ and per-trade cost $c > 0$ realizes net edge $\alpha - c$. Even if $c \ll \alpha$, slippage and spread widen under volatility bursts, effectively making $c_t = c + \eta_t$ with $\mathbb{E}[\eta_t] = \eta > 0$. After N trades, the expected P& L is $N(\alpha - c - \eta)$: tiny frictions accumulate linearly and can overwhelm the signal over long horizons.

Identity, Tolerance, and Metaphysics of Sameness
Identity as Equivalence under Resolution

Definition 6.1 (Identity-for-a-purpose): Fix a task-dependent resolution $\lambda > 0$. Two states $x, y \in X$ are the same for purpose $\mathcal{P}$ if $d_\lambda(x, y) \leq \lambda$ for the metric d_λ, calibrated to $\mathcal{P}$.

Identity is thus not a substance but a tolerance. As resolution tightens, equivalence classes may fragment.

Theosophical corollary: Impermanence as integral of infinitesimals

At the observational level, sameness persists; at the micro-level, change is ceaseless. The integral of infinitesimal deviations - thermal, diffusive, mechanical, informational - is what we name wear, decay, and transformation. The mathematical coexistence of exact identity in $\mathbb{R}$ with infinitesimal distinctions in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ mirrors the metaphysical coexistence of apparent continuity with imperceptible becoming.

Formal Results Unifying the Layers
Theorem 7.1 (No-contradiction principle)

Let $\iota: \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ be the canonical embedding and st the standard-part map on finite hyperreals. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any infinitesimal $\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathrm{st}(\iota(x)) = x, \mathrm{st}(\iota(x) + \varepsilon) = x$$

Therefore, infinitesimally different hyperreal representatives map to the same real, preserving classical equalities in $\mathbb{R}$ (e.g., $0.999 \ldots = 1$) while accommodating a rigorous notion of nonzero-but-negligible differences.

Proof. By definition, st is a left inverse on $\iota(\mathbb{R})$ and collapses infinitesimals. Hence $\mathrm{st}(\iota(x)) = x$, if ε is infinitesimal, $\mathrm{st}(\iota(x) + \varepsilon) = \mathrm{st}(\iota(x)) = x$.

Corollary

Claims of the form " $0.000 \ldots 1$ matters" can be reinterpreted as claims about infinitesimal distinctions in an extended ontology, without entailing any contradiction with the identities of $\mathbb{R}$.

Extended Examples of "Small Differences" with Mathematical Structure
Base dependence and rational expansions

In base b, a reduced p/q terminates iff the prime factors of q are contained in those of b. Thus, $1/3$ terminates in base 3 but repeats in base 10. The same rational has different expansion behavior depending on the representational base, reinforcing that "definiteness" is representation-indexed.

Shadowing intuition (dynamical systems)

In hyperbolic dynamics, pseudo-orbits that are pointwise small perturbations of an orbit are shadowed by true orbits, with error controlled by system constants. Small stepwise errors do not vanish; they are structured so that an exact trajectory lies within a bounded distance, formalizing stability of macroscopic behavior against microscopic noise.

Round-off in Iterative Maps

Consider $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$, with Lipschitz constant L. If each step incurs round-off η_n with $|\eta_n| \leq \delta$, then

$$|x_n - \tilde{x}_n| \leq \delta \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L^k = \delta \frac{L^n - 1}{L - 1} \; (L > 1)$$

showing exponential amplification of tiny per-step errors in expanding maps.

Objections and Replies

Objection 1. " 0.999…=1; your talk of 0.000…1 is incoherent."

Reply. In $\mathbb{R}$, correct: $0.000\ldots1$ is not a well-formed real. Our framework relocates the intuition to an extended setting with infinitesimals in which $\varepsilon \neq 0$ exists with $|\varepsilon| < 1/n$ for all standard n, yet st collapses it at the macroscopic level.

Objection 2. "Infinitesimals are unnecessary; limits suffice."

Reply. Limits suffice for classical analysis. Infinitesimal frameworks are mathematically rigorous and conceptually apt for distinguishing sub-resolution differences that accumulate over time, matching the focus on decay.

Objection 3. "Even vs. odd denominators determines termination."

Reply. Not in base 10. Termination depends on whether q has prime factors only in $\{2,5\}$. Parity alone is not decisive, e.g., $1/8$ terminates, $1/6$ repeats.

Objections and Replies

We reconciled the exactness of real analysis, including $0.999\ldots = 1$, with a precise account of nonzero-but-negligible differences via infinitesimals and scale-relative identity. Physical and informational models demonstrate how such differences accumulate to macroscopic decay, unifying mathematical rigor with a philosophical understanding of impermanence.

References

[1] Abraham Robinson, *Non-Standard Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1996. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[2] Emanuele Bottazzi, "Homomorphisms Between Rings with Infinitesimals and Infinitesimal Comparisons," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.06076*, pp. 1-6, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[3] Isaac Davis, "An Introduction to Nonstandard Analysis," University of Chicago REU Papers, pp. 1-25, 2009. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[4] Robert Goldblatt, *Lectures on the Hyperreals: An Introduction to Nonstandard Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 188, Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 1-289, 1998. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[5] H. Jerome Keisler, *Elementary Calculus: An Infinitesimal Approach,* Brooks/Cole*,* 1976. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[6] H. Jerome Keisler, *Foundations of Infinitesimal Calculus*, Brooks/Cole, 1976. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[7] Richard Arthur, and David Rabouin, "On the Unviability of Interpreting Leibniz's Infinitesimals through Non-Standard Analysis," *Historia Mathematica*, vol. 66, pp. 26-42, 2024. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[8] Massimiliano Carrara, and Giorgio Lando, "Composition and Relative Counting," *Dialectica*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 489-529, 2017. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[9] H. Deutsch, "Relative Identity," Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, Stanford University, 2002. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[10] Peter T. Geach, "Identity," *Review of Metaphysics*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 3-12, 1967. [Publisher Link]

[11] H. Noonan, "Identity," Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, Stanford University, 2019. [Publisher Link]

[12] "The Problem of Identity: Relative Identity and Information," Information Philosopher, 2012. [Publisher Link]

[13] Andrei Rodin, "Identity and Categorification," *arXiv preprint math/0509596*, pp. 1-71, 2005. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[14] Richard A. Roberts, and Clifford T. Mullis, *Digital Signal Processing,* Handbook of Engineering Tables, CRC Press, 1987. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[15] Clifford J. Weinstein, "Quantization Effects in Digital Filters," *IEEE Signal Processing Standards*, 1969. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[16] Tektronix, "What is Quantization Error and How Does Signal to Noise Relate," *Tektronix Technical Documentation*, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.tek.com/en/support/faqs/what-quantization-error-and-how-does-signal-noise-relate

[17] Gan Feng et al., "Effect of Thermal Cycling-Dependent Cracks on Physical and Mechanical Properties of Granite for Enhanced Geothermal System," *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, vol. 134, 2020. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[18] Johan Lansinger, Thomas Hansson, and Olle Clevfors, "Fatigue Crack Growth under Combined Thermal Cycling and Mechanical Loading," *International Journal of Fatigue*, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 1383-1390, 2007. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[19] Seyed Fereidon Mousavi et al., "Thermal Cycles Behavior and Microstructure of AZ31/SiC Composite Fabricated by Stir Casting Process," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1-23, 2022. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[20] Sidhartha Goyal et al., "Dynamic Mutation–Selection Balance as an Evolutionary Attractor," *Genetics*, vol. 191, no. 4, pp. 1309-1319, 2012. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[21] Igor M. Rouzine, Éric Brunet, and Claus O. Wilke, "The Traveling-Wave Approach to Asexual Evolution: Muller's Ratchet and Speed of Adaptation," *Theoretical Population Biology*, vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 24-46, 2008. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[22] University College London, Mutation/Selection Balance, Genetics Course Materials, UCL Department of Genetics, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.ucl.ac.uk/~ucbhdjm/courses/b242/OneGene/MutSel.html
[23] Domenico Bruno et al., "An Investigation on Microscopic and Macroscopic Stability Phenomena of Composite Solids with Periodic Microstructure," *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 47, no. 20, pp. 2806-2824, 2010. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[24] Amir Burshtein, and Moshe Goldstein, "Quantum Simulation of the Microscopic to Macroscopic Crossover using Superconducting Quantum Impurities," *Physical Review B*, vol. 111, no. 17, pp. 1-8, 2025. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[25] Fatemeh Ghasemi, and Afshin Shafee, "A New Approach to Study the Zeno Effect for a Macroscopic Quantum System Under Frequent Interactions with a Harmonic Environment," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[26] J.F. Hall, and T.D. Todorov, "Ordered Fields, the Purge of Infinitesimals from Mathematics and the Rigorousness of Infinitesimal Calculus" *Bulgarian Journal of Physics*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 99-127, 2015. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[27] Guillaume Massas, "A Semiconstructive Approach to the Hyperreal Line," *The Australasian Journal of Logic*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 490-536, 2023. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[28] Piotr Błaszczyk, and Anna Petiurenko, "New Model of Non-Euclidean Plane," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.12768*, pp. 1-12, 2023. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[29] Mikhail G. Katz, "Episodes from the History of Infinitesimals," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.04313*, pp. 123-135, 2025. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[30] L.C. Paulson, The Formalisation of Nonstandard Analysis, Machine Logic Blog, Cambridge University, 2022. [Publisher Link]
[31] nLab Community, "Nonstandard Analysis," *nLab Encyclopedia*, 2013. [Publisher Link]
[32] M.G. Katz, "Mathematics, History, and Philosophy of Infinitesimals," University of Bar-Ilan Mathematics Department, 2023. [Publisher Link]
[33] E.W. Weisstein, "Nonstandard Analysis," MathWorld--A Wolfram Web Resource, Wolfram Research, 2003. [Publisher Link]

Appendix A: Grönwall-Type Bounds

Lemma 10.1 (Grönwall inequality). If $y'(t) \le a(t)y(t) + b(t)$ with $y(0) \ge 0$ and $a, b \ge 0$, then

$$y(T) \le y(0)e^{\int_0^T a(s)\mathrm{d}s} + \int_0^T b(s)e^{\int_s^T a(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau}\,\mathrm{d}s$$

Appendix B: Notational Glossary

- R real numbers; * R hyperreals; st standard-part map.
- ε infinitesimal with 0<|ε|<1/n for all standard n∈N.
- d_λ scale-indexed pseudometrics; ≡_λ identity at scale λ.
- u moisture field; h_s surface geometry; θ temperature field.
- C_λ (T) cumulative change at scale λ up to time T.