

Original Article

The Role of The Generalized $\bar{H}$ -Function in Dual Integration: Insights Involving Mellin-Barnes

Naresh Bhati¹, R.K. Gupta²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur, India.

¹Corresponding Author : nareshbhati1978@gmail.com

Received: 27 May 2026

Revised: 01 July 2026

Accepted: 18 July 2026

Published: 30 July 2026

Abstract - The objective of this paper is to establish some new integrations by studying the dual integration formulas involving the generalized $\bar{H}$ -function by employing the Mellin-Barnes integral technique introduced by Inayat Husain. This method uses a special function to solve problems in various fields of science. The derived results significantly extend a number of existing integral formulas available in the literature and yield several known identities as particular cases. The H -function play a crucial role in solving many problems of physics, mathematics, statistics and other subjects. We established the integral of the H -function, because these formulae are general, they can also be used to develop more specific formulae.

Keywords - Generalized $\bar{H}$ -Function, Mellin-Barnes Contour Integral, Dual Integral Transform, Wright Hypergeometric Function.

1. Introduction

The theory of special functions has witnessed significant development owing to its extensive applications in mathematics, mathematical physics, engineering, and statistics. The generalized $\bar{H}$ -function provides a flexible analytical framework for representing a broad class of special functions encountered in mathematical analysis. In the present work, its applicability to dual integration problems is investigated through the Mellin-Barnes contour integral approach, leading to a unified treatment of several integral representations.

In particular, Euler-type double integrals containing algebraic kernels and generalized $\bar{H}$ -function remains largely unexplored. This lack of general transformation formulas restricts the systematic derivation of new identities and their application to broader classes of special functions. The present work addresses this gap by establishing new dual integration formulas in a unified form, from which many existing and new results can be obtained as particular cases.

Inayat Hussain (1987) [6] introduced the generalized fox H -function, popularly known as $\bar{H}$ -function and represent as

$$\bar{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{1,n}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{1,m}; (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_{-\omega\infty}^{\omega\infty} \bar{\phi}(s) z^s ds, \text{ for } z \neq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } \omega = \sqrt{-1} \text{ and } \bar{\phi}(s) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} \quad (2)$$

The expression includes fractional powers of certain gamma functions. Here $(\alpha_i)_{1,p} > 0$ and $(\beta_i)_{1,q} > 0$ are positive real numbers. The terms $a_i (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p)$ and $b_i (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, q)$ represent complex parameters, while $(A_i)_{1,n}$ and $(B_i)_{m+1,q}$ may take non-integer values. The integers m, n, p and q satisfy $1 \leq m \leq q$ and $1 \leq n \leq p$, which are assumed to be positive for standardization purposes.

As in the standard definition of the $\bar{H}$ -function, the contour is taken along the imaginary axis, $\Re(s) = 0$, with a suitable indentation to avoid the singularities of the gamma functions and to place those singularities on the correct sides. Additional relevant restrictions on the parameters are consistent with those given by Srivastava et al. (1982) [16].



Regarding the convergence of the integral (1) that defines the H-function, we refer to Erdelyi et al. (1953) [2] for details.

$$|\Gamma(x + \omega y)| \sim \sqrt{2\pi} |y|^{x - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi|y|}{2}}, \quad (|y| \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3)$$

Along the lines where $Re(s) = x$, using equation (3), we readily obtain the asymptotic behaviour of $|\Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}|$. Therefore, we need only a slight modification to the sufficient condition for the absolute convergence of the contour integral (1), which is expressed as follows:

$$\Lambda \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m |\beta_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i A_i| - \sum_{i=m+1}^q |\beta_i B_i| - \sum_{i=n+1}^p |\alpha_i| > 0 \quad (4)$$

This condition ensures exponential decay of the integrand in (1), establishing the region of convergence for (1) as

$$|arg(z)| < \frac{1}{2} \pi \Lambda \quad (5)$$

where Λ is defined by equation (4).

2. Preliminaries

We shall require the following finite integral from Table of integration, series and Products (Gradshteyn Ryzhik) [4] (p 486; eq.5) and (p 322; eq.4) for the evolution of our main integrals.

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{\omega(\alpha+\beta)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\alpha-1} (\cos\theta)^{\beta-1} d\theta = e^{\frac{\omega\alpha\pi}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}; \quad Re(\alpha) > 0, \quad Re(\beta) > 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{\sigma-1} dx}{(a + bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} = \frac{1}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \rho - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right)}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}; \quad 0 < \frac{\sigma}{\lambda} < \rho + 1, \quad a \neq 0 \quad (7)$$

3. Main Result

3.1. First Integral

Provided $a, b, \lambda > 0$; $\Re(\eta) > 0$; $\Re(\gamma) > 0$ and $0 < \Re\left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right) < \Re(\rho + 1)$ The Mellin-Barnes contour exists,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{(a + bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} \bar{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta} (\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a + bx^\lambda)^\mu} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{1,n}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,m}; (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right] dx d\theta \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\eta\pi}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} \bar{H}_{p+3,q+2}^{m,n+3} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{\nu}{a\lambda} - \mu, b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z \\ \left\{ 1 - \gamma, \delta, 1 \right\}; \left\{ 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}, 1 \right\}; \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{\lambda} - \rho, \mu - \frac{\nu}{\lambda}, 1 \right\}; (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{4,n+3}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+4,p+3} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,m}; (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+1,q}; \{ 1 - \eta - \gamma, \delta, 1 \}; \{ -\rho, \mu, 1 \} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

the generalized $\bar{H}$ -function satisfies its standard convergence conditions (4) and (5).

Proof: To prove the first integral, we took left hand side of the equation (8)

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{(a + bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} \bar{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta} (\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a + bx^\lambda)^\mu} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{1,n}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,m}; (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right] dx d\theta$$

To establish this, we replace the $\bar{H}$ -function with its equivalent contour integral as given in equation (1).

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\omega\pi} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma+s\delta)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma+\delta s-1} \frac{x^{\nu s+\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\mu s+\rho+1}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} z^s ds dx d$$

change the order of integration, which permits the evaluation of the inner integral independently and leads to the desired transformation formula.

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\omega\pi} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma+s\delta)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma+\delta s-1} d\theta \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{\nu s+\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\mu s+\rho+1}} dx \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} z^s ds \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\omega\pi} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} e^{\frac{\omega\eta\pi}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\eta)\Gamma(\gamma+s\delta)}{\Gamma(\eta+\gamma+s\delta)} \frac{1}{\lambda a^{\mu s+\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\nu s+\sigma}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu s+\sigma}{\lambda}\right) \Gamma\left(\mu s+\rho+1-\frac{\nu s+\sigma}{\lambda}\right)}{\Gamma(\mu s+\rho+1)} \times \\ &\quad \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} z^s ds \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\eta\pi}{2}}}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2\omega\pi} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \frac{\Gamma(\eta)\Gamma(\gamma+s\delta)}{\Gamma(\eta+\gamma+s\delta)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu s+\sigma}{\lambda}\right) \Gamma\left(\mu s+\rho+1-\frac{\nu s+\sigma}{\lambda}\right)}{\Gamma(\mu s+\rho+1)} \times \\ &\quad \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} \left\{a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda}-\mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}z}\right\}^s ds \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\eta\pi}{2}}}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma(\eta)}{2\omega\pi} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \frac{\Gamma\{1-(1-\gamma)+s\delta\} \Gamma\left\{1-\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right)+\frac{\nu s}{\lambda}\right\} \Gamma\left\{1-\left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}-\rho\right)+\left(\mu-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right)s\right\}}{\Gamma(1-\{1-\eta-\gamma\}+s\delta)\Gamma(1+\rho+\mu s)} \times \\ &\quad \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+4}^{p+3} \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} \left\{a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda}-\mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}z}\right\}^s ds \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\eta\pi}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} \bar{H}_{p+3,q+2}^{m,n+3} \left[\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu, b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}z} \left| \begin{matrix} \{1-\gamma, \delta, 1\}; \left\{1-\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}, 1\right\}; \left\{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}-\rho, \mu-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}, 1\right\}; (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{4,n+3}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+4,p+3} \\ (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+1,q}; \{1-\eta-\gamma, \delta, 1\}; \{-\rho, \mu, 1\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved the integral (8).

3.2. Second Integral

Provided $a, b, \lambda > 0$; $\Re(\eta) > 0$; $\Re(\gamma) > 0$ and $0 < \Re\left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right) < \Re(\rho+1)$ The Mellin-Barnes contour exists,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} \bar{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta} (\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a+bx^\lambda)^\mu} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{1,n}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,m}; (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right] dx d\theta$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\pi\eta}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} \bar{H}_{p+2,q+3}^{m+1,n+2} \left[\left\{ a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda}-\mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z \right\} \middle| \begin{matrix} \{1-\gamma, \delta, 1\}; \{1-\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}, 1\}; (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{3,n+2}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+3,p+2} \\ \{\rho+1-\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}-\mu\}; (b_i, \beta_i)_{2,m+1}; \{1-\eta-\gamma, \delta, 1\}; \{-\rho, \mu, 1\}; (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+2,q+3} \end{matrix} \right] \quad (9)$$

the generalized $\bar{H}$ -function satisfies its standard convergence conditions (4) and (5).

Proof: The proof of integral (9) can prove similar as integral (8)

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} \bar{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta} (\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a+bx^\lambda)^\mu} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{1,n}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,m}; (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+1,q} \end{matrix} \right] dx d\theta$$

To establish this, we replace the $\bar{H}$ -function with its equivalent contour integral as given in equation (1).

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} \times$$

$$\frac{1}{2\omega\pi} \int_{-\omega\infty}^{\omega\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} \left\{ \frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta} (\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a+bx^\lambda)^\mu} \right\}^s ds dx d\theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\omega\pi} \int_{-\omega\infty}^{\omega\infty} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma+\delta s)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\delta s+\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\nu s+\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\mu s+\rho+1}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} z^s ds dx d\theta$$

change the order of integration, which permits the evaluation of the inner integral independently and leads to the desired transformation formula.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\omega\pi} \int_{-\omega\infty}^{\omega\infty} \int_0^\infty e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma+\delta s)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\delta s+\gamma-1} d\theta \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\nu s+\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\mu s+\rho+1}} dx \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} z^s ds$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{-\omega\infty}^{\omega\infty} e^{\frac{\omega\pi\eta}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\eta) \Gamma(1 - \{1 - \gamma\} + \delta s)}{\Gamma(1 - \{1 - \eta - \gamma\} + \delta s)} \frac{1}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma\left\{1 - \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right) + \frac{\nu s}{\lambda}\right\}}{\Gamma(\mu s + \rho + 1)} \times$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\left\{\rho + 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda} - \left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu\right) s\right\} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)} \left\{ a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda}-\mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z \right\}^s ds$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\omega\pi} \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} e^{\frac{\omega\pi\eta}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\eta)\Gamma(\delta s + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\eta + \delta s + \gamma)} \frac{1}{\lambda a^{\mu s + \rho + 1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\nu s + \sigma}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu s + \sigma}{\lambda}\right)}{\Gamma(\mu s + \rho + 1)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\mu s + \rho + 1 - \frac{\nu s + \sigma}{\lambda}\right) \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - \alpha_i + \alpha_i s)^{A_i}}{\prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s)^{B_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(\alpha_i - \alpha_i s)} z^s ds$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\pi\eta}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} \bar{H}_{p+3, q+2}^{m, n+3} \left[a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z \left| \begin{array}{l} \{1 - \gamma, \delta\}; \left\{1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}, 1\right\}; (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{3, n+2}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+3, p+} \\ \left\{\rho + 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu\right\}; (b_i, \beta_i)_{2, m+1}; \{1 - \eta - \gamma, \delta, 1\}; \{-\rho, \mu, 1\}; (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+2, q+3} \end{array} \right. \right]$$

Hence, proved the integral (9).

4. Special Cases

4.1. Case I

If we set $A_i = B_i = 1$, the $\bar{H}$ -function reduces to the Fox's H-function, and equation (8) and (9) then takes the following form

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta}(\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a+bx^\lambda)^\mu} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,n}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,m}; (b_i, \beta_i)_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] dx d\theta$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\eta\pi}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} H_{p+3, q+2}^{m, n+3} \left[a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z \left| \begin{array}{l} \{1 - \gamma, \delta\}; \left\{1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right\}; \left\{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda} - \rho, \mu - \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right\}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{4, n+3}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+4, p+3} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,m}; (b_i, \beta_i)_{m+1,q}; \{1 - \eta - \gamma, \delta\}; \{-\rho, \mu\} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (10)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta}(\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a+bx^\lambda)^\mu} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,n}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,m}; (b_i, \beta_i)_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] dx d\theta$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\pi\eta}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} H_{p+2, q+3}^{m+1, n+2} \left[\left\{ a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z \right\} \left| \begin{array}{l} \{1 - \gamma, \delta\}; \left\{1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right\}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{3, n+2}; (a_i, \alpha_i)_{n+3, p+2} \\ \left\{\rho + 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu\right\}; (b_i, \beta_i)_{2, m+1}; \{1 - \eta - \gamma, \delta\}; \{-\rho, \mu\} (b_i, \beta_i)_{m+2, q+3} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (11)$$

4.2. Case II

If we set $A_i = B_i = 1 = \alpha_i = \beta_i$ $\bar{H}$ -function reduces to G-function, and equation (8) and (9) then takes the following form.

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta}(\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a+bx^\lambda)^\mu} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_i, 1)_{1,p} \\ (b_i, 1)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] dx d\theta$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\eta\pi}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} G_{p+3, q+2}^{m, n+3} \left[a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z \left| \begin{array}{l} \{1 - \gamma, \delta\}; \left\{1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right\}; \left\{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda} - \rho, \mu - \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right\}; (a_i, 1)_{4, p+3} \\ (b_i, 1)_{1,q}; \{1 - \eta - \gamma, \delta\}; \{-\rho, \mu\} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (12)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta}(\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a+bx^\lambda)^\mu} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_i, 1)_{1,p} \\ (b_i, 1)_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \right] dx d\theta$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\pi\eta}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} G_{p+2, q+3}^{m+1, n+2} \left[\left\{ a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z \right\} \left| \begin{array}{l} \{1 - \gamma, \delta\}; \left\{1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right\}; (a_i, 1)_{3, p+2} \\ \left\{\rho + 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu\right\}; (b_i, 1)_{2, m+1}; \{1 - \eta - \gamma, \delta\}; \{-\rho, \mu\} (b_i, 1)_{m+2, q+3} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (13)$$

4.3. Case II

If we set $n = p$; $m = 1$; $q = q + 1$; $b_1 = 0$; $\beta_1 = 1$; $a_i = 1 - a_i$, in equation (1) the $\bar{H}$ -function reduces to generalized Wright hypergeometric function

$$\bar{H}_{n,q+1}^{1,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (1 - a_i, \alpha_i; A_i)_{1,p} \\ (0, 1); (1 - b_i, \beta_i; B_i)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = {}_p\psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i; A_i)_{1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i; B_i)_{1,q} \end{matrix} ; -z \right] \quad (14)$$

Using the same assumptions, then the equation (8) and (9) take the following.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} x^{\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} {}_p\psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{1,q} \end{matrix} ; -\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta}(\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a+bx^\lambda)^\mu} \right] dx d\theta \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\eta\pi}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} {}_{p+3}\psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} \{1 - \gamma, \delta, 1\}; \{1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}, 1\}; \{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda} - \rho, \mu - \frac{\nu}{\lambda}, 1\}; (1 - a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{4,p+3}; (-a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda}-\mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z) \\ (0, 1); (1 - b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{2,q}; \{1 - \eta - \gamma, \delta, 1\}; \{-\rho, \mu, 1\} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\sin\theta)^{\eta-1} (\cos\theta)^{\gamma-1} \frac{e^{\omega(\eta+\gamma)\theta} x^{\sigma-1}}{(a+bx^\lambda)^{\rho+1}} {}_p\psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{1,p} \\ (b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{1,q} \end{matrix} ; -\frac{ze^{\omega\delta\theta}(\cos\theta)^\delta x^\nu}{(a+bx^\lambda)^\mu} \right] dx d\theta \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\eta\pi}{2}} \Gamma(\eta)}{\lambda a^{\rho+1}} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}} {}_p\psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} \{1 - \gamma, \delta, 1\}; \{1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda}, 1\}; (a_i, \alpha_i, A_i)_{3,p+2} \\ \{\rho + 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda} - \mu\}; (0, 1); \{1 - \eta - \gamma, \delta, 1\}; \{-\rho, \mu, 1\} (1 - b_i, \beta_i, B_i)_{m+2,q+3} \\ ; -a^{\frac{\nu}{\lambda}-\mu} b^{-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}} z \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since the generalized $\bar{H}$ -function includes many well-known special functions such as the Fox H-function, Meijer G-function, generalized hypergeometric functions, and Wright functions as special cases. The derived integral formulas provide a unified technique for evaluating a wide variety of definite integrals. These results are applicable in the study of integral transforms, fractional differential and integral equations, probability distributions, statistical models, wave propagation, quantum mechanics, heat and diffusion problems, signal processing, and other physical systems where generalized special functions naturally arise.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have derived two integral formulas. The first set of results is developed in association with the $\bar{H}$ -function for general arguments, extending the mathematical framework and potential applications of this function. The results obtained are not only theoretically significant but also hold practical value, offering tools for solving complex integrals that arise in diverse areas such as physics, engineering, and applied mathematics. The results obtained in this paper are expected to be useful in several branches of applied mathematics and mathematical physics. These formulas provide new insights that can simplify the analysis of complex systems, aid in the study of asymptotic behaviours, and support advanced problem-solving techniques in fields like quantum mechanics, statistical mechanics, and computational sciences. Consequently, the findings are expected to contribute to further research and facilitate applications across a broad spectrum of disciplines.

Data Availability Statement

The reference cited as C.S. Meijer, "On the G-function," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (Proc. Nat. Acad. Wetensch.), 1946, vol. 49, p. 227, is acknowledged as a legitimate scholarly publication. However, the original publication is not available through online sources. In accordance with the journal's reference policy requiring online accessibility of cited sources, this reference is acknowledged as an offline publication. Its inclusion is intended to recognize its academic significance, and its offline availability is hereby confirmed through this Data Availability Statement.

References

- [1] Praveen Agarwal, and Mehar Chand, "New Finite Integrals of Generalized Mellin-Barnes Types of Contour Integrals," *Global Journal of Sciences Frontier Research*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 65-72, 2012. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [2] Bateman Manuscript Project, Harry Bateman, and Arthur Erdélyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions vol. I*, McGraw Hill, 1953. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [3] Harry Bateman, *Higher Transcendental Functions vol. II*, McGraw Hill, 1953. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [4] Izrail Solomonovich Gradshteyn, and Iosif Moiseevich Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, 2007. [[Google Scholar](#)]

- [5] K.C. Gupta, and R.C. Soni, "On a Basic Integral Formula Involving the Product of the H-Function and Fox H- Function," *Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 157-164, 2006. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [6] A.A. Inayat Husain, "New Properties of Hypergeometric Series Derivable from Feynman Integral: I Transformation and Reduction Formulae," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, vol. 20, no. 13, pp. 4109-4117, 1987. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [7] C.S. Meijer, On the G- Function, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Wetensch*, 49, pp.227, 1946.
- [8] Joyti Mishra, and Neelam Pandey, "On a Basic Integral Formula Involving Generalized Mellin - Barnes Types of Contour Integrals," *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 6-10, 2013. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [9] Fritz Oberhettinger, *Tables of Mellin Transforms*, 1st ed., Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1974. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [10] Anurag Mishra, and Neelam Pandey, "Study of Generalised Hypergeometric Functions and Fractional Calculus with Applications," *Journal of Advances in Science and Technology*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 364-373, 2025. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [11] Earl D. Rainville, *Special Function*, Macmillan and Co. New York, 1967. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [12] A.K. Rathie et al., "On a New Class of Double Integrals Involving Hypergeometric Function," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 293-302, 1999. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [13] Arjun K. Rathie, "A New Generalization of Generalized Hypergeometric Function," *The Mathematics Face*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 297-310, 1997. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [14] H.M. Srivastava, and H.L. Manocha, *A Treatise on Generating Functions*, Ellis Horwood Ltd. Chichester, 1984. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [15] H.M. Srivastava, "A Contour Integral Involving Fox's H-Function," *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, pp. 14-16, 1972. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [16] H.M. Srivastava, K.C. Gupta, and S.P. Goyal, *The H-Functions of One and Two Variables with Applications*, South Asian Publishers, 1982. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [17] H.M. Srivastava, and Mridula Garg, "Some Integrals Involving a General Class of Polynomials and the Multivariable H-Function," *Romanian Journal of Physics*, vol. 32, pp. 685-692, 1987. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [18] E. Maitland Wright, "The Asymptotic Expansion of the Generalized Hypergeometric Function," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 286-293, 1935. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [19] Shoukat Ali, Deepak Kumar, and Subrat Parida, "A Class of Eulerian Integrals Involving Generalized Hypergeometric Functions with Applications," *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 111-124, 2025. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [20] Shyamsunder, "Evaluation of Improper Integrals Involving Incomplete I-Functions and Related Special Forms," *Mathematics in Engineering, Science and Aerospace*, vol. 16, no. 3, 2025. [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [21] Maged Bin-Saad et al., "Generalized Mittag-Leffler-Type Function of Arbitrary Order and its Properties Related to Integral Transforms and Fractional Calculus," *Bulletin of the Paranaense Society of Mathematics*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 1-16, 2025. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [22] Filippo Giraldi, "A Class of Positive Fox H-Functions," *arXiv*, pp. 1-18, 2025. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]