A Common Fixed Point Theorem on Lohani and Bhadshah

V.Srinivas*1, R.Umamaheshwar Rao*2

Abstract -- The aim of this paper is to present a common fixed point theorem in a metric space which extends the results of P.C.Lohani V.H.Bhadshah using the weaker conditions such as Weakly compatible and Associated sequence.

Keywords-- Fixed point, Self maps, compatible mappings, weakly compatible, associated sequence.

AMS (2000) Mathematics Classification: 54H25, 47H10

1. INTRODUCTION:

G.Jungck gave a common fixed point theorem for commuting mapping maps, which generalizes the Banach's fixed point theorem. This result was further generalized and extended in various ways by many authors. S.Sessa[5] defined weak commutativity and proved common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting maps. Further G.Jungck [1] initiated the concept of compatible maps which is weaker than weakly commuting maps. After wards Jungck and Rhoades[4] defined weaker class of maps known as weakly compatible maps.

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem for four self maps using weakly compatible mappings.

Definitions and Preliminaries:

Definition 1.1 If S and T are mappings from a metric space (X,d) into itself, are called weakly commuting mappings on X, if $d(STx,TSx) \le d(Sx,Tx)$ for all x in X.

Definition 1.2 Two self maps S and T of a metric space are said to be compatible mappings if (X,d)

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in

X such that $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Clearly commuting mappings are weakly commuting, but the converse is not necessarily true.

Definition 1.3. Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X,d) are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point. i.e if Su=Tu for some $u \in X$ then STu = TSu.

It is clear that every compatible pair is weakly compatible but its converse need not be true.

P.C.Lohani and V.H.Badshah [6] proved the following theorem.

Theorem (A): Let P,Q,S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying the following conditions

$$S(X) \subset Q(X)$$
 and $T(X) \subset P(X)$ (1.5)

$$d(Sx,Ty) \le \alpha \frac{d(Qy,Ty)[1+d(Px,Sx)]}{[1+d(Px,Qy)]} + \beta d(Px,Qy)$$

....(1.6)

Page 57

for all x,y in X where $\alpha,\beta \ge 0$, $\alpha+\beta<1$.

One of P,Q,S and T is continuous(1.7)

Pairs S,P and T,Q are compatible on X(1.8)

ISSN: 2231-5373 http://www.ijmttjournal.org

^{*1} Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Saifabad, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India.

^{*2} Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institue of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar, R.R.Dist-501 301, India.

Then P,Q,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Associated Sequence: Suppose P,Q,S and T are self maps of a metric space (X,d) satisfying the condition (1.5). Then for an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ such that $Sx_0 = Qx_1$ and for this point x_1 , there exist a point x_2 in X such that $Tx_1 = Px_2$ and so on. Proceeding in the similar manner, we can define a sequence $\langle y_n \rangle$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Qx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Px_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}$ for $n \ge 0$. We shall call this sequence as an "Associated sequence of x_0 " relative to the four self maps P,Q,S and T.

Lemma: Let P,Q,S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X,d) into itself satisfying the conditions (1.5) and (1.6). Then the associated sequence $\{y_n\}$ relative to four self maps is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Proof: From (1.6), we have

$$\begin{split} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{d(Qx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})[1 + d(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n})}{[1 + d(Px_{2n}, Qy_{2n+1})]} \\ &+ \beta d(Px_{2n}, Qy_{2n+1}) \\ &= \alpha \frac{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})[1 + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})}{[1 + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})]} + \beta d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &= \alpha d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + \beta d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &(1 - \alpha) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \beta d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{\beta}{(1 - \alpha)} d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq h d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \quad where \quad h = \frac{\beta}{(1 - \alpha)} \end{split}$$

Now

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le h \ d(y_{n-1}, y_n)$$

$$\le h^2 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \le ...h^n \ d(y_0, y_1)$$

For every int eger p > 0, we get

$$\begin{split} d(y_n, y_{n+p}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + ... + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\ &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + ... + h^{n+p-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq h^n \left(1 + h + h^2 + ... + h^{p-1}\right) d(y_0, y_1) \end{split}$$

Since h<1, $h^n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, so that $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \to 0$. This

shows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in X and since X is a complete metric space; it converges to a limit, say $z \in X$.

The converse of the Lemma is not true, that is P,Q,S and T are self maps of a metric space (X,d) satisfying (1.5) and (1.6), even if for $x_0 \in X$ and for associated sequence of x_0 converges, the metric space (X,d) need not be complete. The following example establishes this.

Example: Let X = (-1,1) with d(x,y) = |x-y|

$$Sx = Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } -1 < x < \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } \frac{1}{6} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Px = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } -1 < x < \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{6x+5}{36} & \text{if } \frac{1}{6} \le x < 1 \end{cases} Qx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } -1 < x < \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{3} - x & \text{if } \frac{1}{6} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

Then $S(X)=T(X)=\left\{\frac{1}{5},\frac{1}{6}\right\}$, while P(X)

$$= \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \cup \left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{11}{36} \right] \right\}, Q(X) = \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \cup \left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{-2}{3} \right] \right\} \text{ so that}$$

 $S(X) \subset Q(X)$ and $T(X) \subset P(X)$ proving the condition (1.5). Clearly (X,d) is not a complete metric space. It is easy to prove that the associated sequence

$$Sx_0, Tx_1, Sx_2, Tx_3, ..., Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}...,$$
 converges to $\frac{1}{5}$.

If $-1 < x < \frac{1}{6}$ or $\frac{1}{6} \le x < 1$, the associated sequence is

converges to $\frac{1}{6}$. Now we prove our theorem.

Theorem (B): Let P,Q, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X,d) satisfying (1.5), (1.6) and the conditions

The pairs (S, P) and (T,Q) are weakly compatible ..(1.7) Also

The associated sequence relative to four self maps P,Q, S and T such that the sequence $Sx_0,Tx_1,Sx_2,Tx_3,...$, $Sx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1},...$ converges to $z \in X$ as $n \to \infty$(1.8) Then P,Q,S and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

Proof: From the condition (1.8),

 $Sx_0,Tx_1,Sx_2,Tx_3,\ldots,Sx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1},\ldots$ converges to $z\in X$ as $n\to\infty$.

Since $S(X) \subset Q(X)$ then there exists $u \in X$ such that z=Qu we prove that Qu=Tu=z

we consider $d(Tu,z)=d(z,Tu)=d(Sx_{2n},Tu)$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \alpha \frac{d(Qu, Tu)[1 + d(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n})]}{[1 + d(Px_{2n}, Qu)]} + \beta d(Px_{2n}, Qu) \right\}$$

 $d(z,Tu) \le d(z,Tu) \Rightarrow (1-\infty) d(z,Tu) = 0 \Rightarrow z = Tu.$

∴Qu=Tu=z

Since (Q,T) is weakly compatible $QTu=TQu\Rightarrow Qz=Tz$ and $T(X) \subset P(X)$ there exists $v \in X$ such that z=Pv.

We solve Sv=Pv

Consider $d(Sv,Tx_{2n+1})$

$$\leq \left\{ \alpha \frac{d(Qx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})[1 + d(Pv, Sv)]}{[1 + d(Pv, Qx_{2n+1})]} + \beta d(Pv, Qx_{2n+1}) \right\}
\Rightarrow d(Sv, z) \leq 0 \Rightarrow d(Sv, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Sv = z$$

Since Sv=Pv=z and (S,P) is weakly compatible $SPv=PSv\Rightarrow Sz=Pz$.

Now consider d(Sz,z)=d(Sz,Tu)

$$d(Sz, z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Sz, Tu)$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \alpha \frac{d(Qu, Tu)[1 + d(Pz, Sz)]}{[1 + d(Pz, Qu)]} + \beta d(Pz, Qu) \right\}$$

$$= \beta d(Sz, z)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(Sz, z) \leq \beta d(Sz, z)$$

Since $\alpha+\beta\leq 1$, d(Sz,z)=0. This shows that Sz=z. This implies Sz=Pz therefore z is common fixed point of S and P

Again we consider

$$d(z,Tz) = d(Sz,Tz)$$

$$\leq \left\{ \alpha \frac{d(Qz,Tz)[1+d(Pz,Sz)]}{[1+d(Pz,Qz)]} + \beta d(Pz,Qz) \right\}$$

$$= \beta d(z,Tz)$$

This gives $d(z,Tz) \le \beta d(z,Tz)$, Since $\beta \ge 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$ giving that d(z,Tz)=0. Thus Tz=z.

Therefore z=Qz=Tz then z is common fixed point of T and Q, this gives $d(Sz,z) \le \beta d(Sz,z)$, Since $\beta \ge 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$ giving that d(Sz,z)=0. Thus Sz=z.

Hence Sz=Pz=z=Qu. This shows that 'z' is a common fixed point of P and S.

Therefore Pz=Qz=Sz=Tz=z, showing that 'z' is a common fixed point of P,Q,S and T.

The uniqueness of the fixed point can be easily proved.

Remark 1: From the example given above, clearly the pairs (S,P) and (T,Q) are weakly compatible as they commute at coincident points $\frac{1}{8}$ and $\frac{1}{10}$. But the pairs (S,P) and (T,Q) are not compatible For this, take a sequence $x_n = \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10^n}\right)$ for $n \ge 1$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Px_n = \frac{1}{10} \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} SPx_n = \frac{1}{8} \quad \text{also}$ $\lim_{n \to \infty} PSx_n = \frac{1}{10}. \text{ So that } \lim_{n \to \infty} d(SPx_n, PSx_n) \neq 0. \text{ Also}$ note that none of the mappings are continuous and the rational inequality holds for the values of

 $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$ and $\alpha + \beta \le 1$. Clearly $\frac{1}{6}$ is the unique common fixed point of P,Q,S and T.

Remark 2: Theorem (B) is a generalization of Theorem (A) by virtue of the weaker conditions such as weakly compatibility of the pairs (S,P) and (T,Q) in place of compatibility; and associated sequence relative to four self maps P,Q,S and T in place of the complete metric space.

REFERENCES

- [1]. G.Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. J.Math. & Math. Sci. 9,771 778,1986.
- [2]. R.P.Pant, A Common fixed point theorem under a new condition, Indian J. of Pure and App. Math., 30(2), 147-152, 1999.
- [3]. G.Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2), Internat.J.Math.&Math.Sci.11, 285-288, 1988.
- [4]. G.Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure.Appl.Math., 29 (3), 227-238, 1998.
- [5]. S.Sessa, On weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, Publ. Inst.Math.32 (46),149-153,1980.
- [6]. P.C.Lohani and V.H.Badshah, *Compatible mappings and common fixed point for four mappings*, Bull.Cal.Math. Soc., 90,301-308, 1998.
- [7]. B.Fisher, Common fixed points of four mappings, Bull.Inst.Math.Acad.Sinica, 11, 103, 1983.
- [8]. V.Srinivas and R.Umamaheshwar Rao, *A fixed point theorem for four self maps under weakly compatible maps*, Proceeding of world congress on engineering,vol.II,WCE 2008,London,U.K.
- [9]. Umamaheshwar Rao.R and V.Srinivas, *A generalization of Djoudi's common fixed point theorem*, International J. of Math. Sci.& Engg. Appls., Vol.1, (No.2), 229-238, 2007.
- [10]. Umamaheshwar Rao.R ,V.Srinivas and P.Srinkanth Rao, *A fixed point theorem on reciprocally continuous self maps*" Indian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol.3, No.2, 207-215, 2007.